# Memorandum 

## DATE: October 17, 2013

## TO: Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization

## FROM: Seth Asante, MPO Staff

## RE: Route 30 Arterial Segment Study in Framingham and Natick

## 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) identified Route 30 in Framingham and Natick as one of the priority arterial segments in need of maintenance, modernization, safety, and mobility improvements. The arterial segment of Route 30 from Ring Road in Framingham to the TJX Companies driveway in Natick was selected for study because MassDOT and the Towns of Framingham and Natick cited serious mobility and safety issues for pedestrians, bicycles, and motorists in the segment, as well as congestion. An advisory task force composed of representatives from MassDOT and the Towns of Framingham and Natick was established to participate in this study. MPO staff met with the task force two times: once to discuss the work scope and finalize the existing conditions and problems, and another time to present improvement recommendations for comments. The purposes of this study were to inventory existing problems in the arterial segment under study and develop transportation improvements to address those problems.
This memorandum is organized into seven sections: 1) executive summary, 2) background, 3) data collection, 4) existing conditions analyses, 5) future traffic growth, 6) recommended improvements, and 7) conclusions and next steps. Several types of data were collected in the field or obtained from other sources and used to evaluate the existing conditions-including data and information on operations, safety, mobility, and land use. The MassDOT Highway and Planning Division, Town of Framingham and Town of Natick provided most of the data used in this study.

### 1.1 Existing Problems

1. Two of the five signalized intersections selected for study had a large number of crashes:

- Route 30 at Whittier Street had 63 crashes between 2007 and 2012, although its intersection crash rate of 0.76 per million entering vehicles (MEV) was lower than the MassDOT Highway Division

District 3 average crash rate for signalized intersection, which is 0.89 MEV.

- Route 30 at Speen Street had 85 crashes between 2007 and 2012 and a crash rate of 0.70 MEV .

The prevalent crash types were rear-end and angle collisions caused by unexpected stops in reaction to the recurrent traffic queues and failure to yield right-of-way. Between 34 and 50 percent of total crashes occurred during peak periods ${ }^{1}$-when there are high traffic volumes and long queues in the corridor.
2. The segment of Route 30 west of Speen Street with a two-way left-turn lane (TWLTL), experiences a large number of crashes; this segment, approximately 300 feet long, had 22 crashes between 2007 and 2012. The majority crashes in this segment were of the angle type, involving motorists turning into or out of driveways. The primary contributing factors were failure to yield right-of-way and changing lanes.
3. There is poor mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists in the study area. The existing network of sidewalks has gaps in the vicinity of MassPike rampRoute 30 junctions. Footprints in this area show some usage and the need to close this gap; and this need should become even more critical when the Cochituate Rail Trail (CRT) is completed.
4. There is lack of accommodations for bicyclists in the study area. Bicyclists need to share travel lanes with motorists, as there are no usable shoulders or bike lanes in the area; yet no signage or pavement markings have been provided to alert motorists to sharing the road.
5. High traffic demands during peak travel periods heading to and from the businesses in the study area and the MassPike create traffic capacity issues, especially at the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection. This is the most critical intersection in the arterial segment as its traffic queues affect traffic operations at other intersections, such as:

- Route 30 and TJX Companies driveway intersection in Natick-where a police detail conducts traffic during the PM peak period to allow employees to exit the site
- Route 30 and MassPike off-ramp that joins eastbound Route 30
- Speen Street and Chrysler Road intersection in Natick
- Speen Street and Leggat McCall Connector Road intersection in Framingham

[^0]6. Traffic on the MassPike on-ramp near the Red Roof Inn occasionally backs up onto Route 30 westbound during the PM peak period. This condition has an impact on traffic operations at the Speen Street intersection by slowing traffic heading westbound on Route 30 and creating a queue that spills into the Speen Street intersection. This contributes to the congestion and queues at the intersection, especially Speen Street northbound and Route 30 westbound.
7. Delays, queues and congestion at the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection inhibit safe and free-flow access from and to commercial establishments. For example, although the intersection of the TJX driveway and Route 30 is signalized, a traffic surge because of employee traffic (5:00 PM to 5:45 PM) and high volume of traffic on Route 30, and a traffic queue on the Route 30 westbound approach require a police officer controls PM peak-hour traffic in order for employee traffic to exit safely.
8. Traffic on the side streets, Whittier Street southbound and Burr Street southbound, experience long delays and queues upon entering Route 30 during the PM peak period. The delays on these side streets are so significant that they are in the unacceptable level-of-service (LOS) range.
9. In the near future, a proposed Cochituate Rail Trail will cross busy Route 30 at the Framingham and Natick town line near the Speen Street intersection; and both communities are concerned about what types of crossings would ensure safety for all users in the vicinity of the new trail. There are operational and safety issues that need to be addressed for trail users such as: 1) trail crossings should follow pedestrian desire lines, 2) bicyclists should not have to walk their bikes to an intersection, 3) crossings should avoid busy driveways, and 4) crossings should avoid site conditions that pose risks for trail users, e.g., crossing busy Route 30 at-grade.

### 1.2 Proposed Improvements

### 1.2.1 Pedestrian and Bicyclist Accommodation

Because of the serious mobility and safety issues facing pedestrians and bicyclists in the arterial segment, MPO staff developed three options for addressing gaps in sidewalks on Route 30 in the vicinity of the MassPike, and for providing shared-use paths for bicyclists. Cost and effectiveness (i.e., safety for pedestrians and bicyclists) are the two primary factors for selecting a preferred alternative. The three options are:

- Option 1: Improving existing sidewalks on Burr Street and Leggat McCall Connector Road for accessing the Cochituate Rail Trail.
- Option 2: Constructing a new sidewalk on the south side of Route 30 in the vicinity of the MassPike connector ramps to provide a continuous sidewalk for accessing businesses near the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection and the Cochituate Rail Trail.
- Option 3: Constructing a new sidewalk and multiuse path around the outer loop of the MassPike connector south of Route 30 for accessing businesses near the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection and the Cochituate Rail Trail.

In addition, MPO staff suggests the following improvements to accommodate pedestrians and bicyclists.

- Adding buffers between the sidewalks and roadway curbs along Route 30 to provide protection and comfort for pedestrians.
- Installing shared-lane markings (sharrows) in the rightmost through lanes on Route 30 for bicyclists.
- Installing bicycle detectors and bicycle-detector pavement markings at the signalized intersections.


### 1.2.2 Retime Traffic Signals

Analysis and field observations identified three traffic signals that were operating unsatisfactorily during the peak periods. MPO staff recommends adjusting the existing traffic signal timings at the following intersections to improve traffic flow:

- Route 30 and Speen Street intersection, where the existing cycle length and phase splits need optimization for the AM and PM peak periods.
- Route 30 and Burr Street intersection, where the side street (Burr Street) experiences long delays during the PM peak period and the yellow change interval increased to four seconds from three seconds.
- Route 30 and Whittier Street intersection, where the side street (Whittier Street) experiences long delays during the PM peak period and the allred interval increased to two seconds from one second.


### 1.2.3 Safety Improvements in the Segment with Two-way Left-Turn Lane

The segment of Route 30 with the two-way center left-turn lane had a large number of angle-type crashes involving motorists turning into or out of driveways (primary contributing factors attributed to failure to yield right-of-way
and changing lanes). MPO staff recommends the following safety improvements:

- The Town of Framingham should work with business owners to manage driveway spacing effectively in this segment by consolidating and sharing driveways.
- Or, the town should consider redeveloping the existing uses with improved access.
- Or, it should eliminate the two-way, left-turn lane in the segment, install a median, and restrict access/egress to business driveways to right-turn-in and right-turn out only.
- Finally, the town could add raised median to separate Route 30 eastbound left-turn lane from the westbound lanes, and to prevent motorists from using it to change lanes to head eastbound.


### 1.2.4 Medium- and Long-term Improvement Concepts for Route 30 and Speen Street Intersection

Currently, the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection is operating at capacity during peak periods, and analysis shows that significant improvement in capacity resulting from traffic signal retiming is not anticipated. Peak period traffic demands at the intersection are so great that a traffic queue is created on each approach. In addition, wetlands and businesses adjacent to the intersection place constraints on further widening the space. An evaluation of traffic flow patterns indicated that on average about 70 percent of the traffic heading westbound on Route 30 just west of the Speen Street intersection proceeds to the MassPike. Based on this observation, MPO staff assumed that the majority of the highvolume northbound left-turn, southbound right-turn, and, to a lesser degree, westbound straight-through movements at the Speen Street intersection are destined to the MassPike.

## Medium-Term Improvement Concepts

For a medium-term improvement at this location, MPO staff tested adding a traffic lane in the westbound direction of Route 30 . The lane would start at the TJX driveway, cross Speen Street, and continue as a third lane between Speen Street and the l-90 on ramp, which would become a two-lane ramp.
Comparison of intersection delays and queues with and without the third lane showed a reduction in delay per vehicle of up to $25 \%$ and queue lengths of up to $40 \%$. These estimates vary by traffic movement, approach, traffic signal design parameters, and peak hour.
Potential affects from adding a third westbound lane would be land takings, and reduced safety at access/egress points at business driveways along the Route

30 segment, where the widening would occur. To make this improvement work successfully, MPO staff recommends that the two-way left-turn lane in this segment be replaced with a raised median, and access/egress points to business driveways be restricted to right-turn-in and right-turn-out to improve safety.

## Long-Term Improvement Concepts

For long-term improvements, MPO staff developed five concepts for discussion, and the future consideration of Natick, Framingham, and MassDOT. These conceptual designs exhibit potential advantages and disadvantages regarding driveway access/egress, constructability, cost, environmental impacts, traffic operations, and other considerations. The five concepts are:

- Concept 1: Speen Street over Route 30 (northbound and southbound through movements)
- Concept 2: Grade-separated Speen Street northbound left turn and Route 30 eastbound exclusive double right-turn lanes
- Concept 3: Grade-separated median left turn from Speen Street northbound to MassPike (eastbound and westbound)
- Concept 4: Grade-separated median left-turn from Speen Street northbound to MassPike (eastbound only)
- Concept 5: Convert the south leg of Speen Street into a continuousflow intersection


### 1.2.5 Cochituate Rail-Trail Crossing at Route 30

MPO staff analyzed four crossing alternatives to improve safety for trail users at the Route 30 crossing.

- Alternative 1: Trail users would cross Route 30 at the Speen Street intersection
- Alternative 2:Trail users would cross Route 30 at the TJX Companies driveway intersection
- Alternative 3: Trail users would cross Route 30 at the existing track alignment
- Alternative 4: Trail users would cross Route 30 at the existing track alignment using accessible pedestrian bridge

Cost and effectiveness (i.e., trail user safety) are the two primary factors for selecting the preferred option.

- Alternative 4 is the most effective, and it is recommended by MPO staff, but would be the most expensive to install. If Alternative 4 is considered, the pedestrian bridge must be accessible for use by bicyclists and people with disabilities and be of the appropriate height for trucks and other heavy vehicles to travel under it.
- Alternative 1 or 2 would require short-term and low-cost improvements (crosswalks and sidewalks) but they would not be effective. A potential difficulty with implementing Alternatives 1 and 2 is channeling trail users to an intersection to avoid unsafe midblock crossing. Both alternatives would increase delay for trail users, as they would be diverted from the trail to an intersection and back to the trail for a total distance of 700 feet to 1,150 feet. Both alternatives would interrupt Route 30 traffic; however, trail users crossing at the Speen Street intersection would present the worst impact on traffic operations.
- Alternative 3 would require short-term, medium-cost improvements. This alternative provides trail users with a direct crossing along the path of the trail. However, site conditions such as a long crosswalk, high traffic volumes, and traffic queues that could affect trail users' sight lines are some of the safety issues that make this alternative less effective compared to Alternative 4.


### 1.2.6 Summary, Conclusions, and Next Steps

The study of the Route 30 segment between the TJX driveway and the intersection at Ring Road/Shopper's World Way examined existing conditions and identified short- and long-term improvement concepts.

The main concerns in this segment relate to connections to the MassPike; peak hour delays and queues, especially at the Route 30/Speen Street intersection during the PM peak period; incomplete network for bicyclist and pedestrian circulation, including the crossing of the Cochituate Rail Trail at Route 30, east of Speen Street; and congestion and safety related to driveway access, including the TJX driveway.

The study identified three options for closing the gaps in the existing bicycle and pedestrian circulation, including recommendations for signs and markings; traffic signal retiming schemes at four signalized intersections; traffic management options for the Route 30 segment between Speen Street and the MassPike on ramp; and, six medium- and long-term concepts for reconfiguring the connection of Speen Street and Route 30 to the MassPike, including adding a westbound traffic lane to Route 30 from the TJX driveway to the I-90 connector.

Long-term improvements in the Route 30 study segment hinge on improved regional connections between the MassPike and the local network that serves

Framingham and Natick, and other municipalities. Examining the design and feasibility of various connection options of Route 30 and Speen Street to the MassPike is particularly timely. MassDOT is planning to remove the toll plazas throughout the MassPike and replace them with an automatic toll collection system, All Electronic Tolling (AET). Connection options to I-90 from Framingham and Natick should be designed to include the parameters and assumptions built into MassDOT's AET project.

## 2 BACKGROUND AND SCOPE

The arterial segment of Route 30 between Ring Road in Framingham and the TJX Companies driveway in Natick (Figure 1) was selected for study because the Boston Region MPO's Long-Range Transportation Plan identified Route 30 as one of the priority arterial segments in need of maintenance, modernization, safety and mobility improvements, transit-service enhancements, and modernization. Moreover, MassDOT's Highway Division District 3 cites serious mobility and safety issues for pedestrians, bicycles, and motorists in the segment. To help identify solutions for addressing problems in priority arterial segments, an arterial segment study was included in the federal fiscal year (FFY) 2013 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP). ${ }^{2}$

An arterial segment study is usually a logical way to multimodal transportation needs in a corridor. Typically, an arterial segment study uses a holistic approach. It analyzes services, and makes associated recommendations within the roadway's right-of-way, taking into account the needs of all abutters and users-pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists, public-transportation users, and the like. Implementing the recommendations in this report would result in an improved roadway corridor; one where it is safe to cross the street; walk or cycle to shops and recreational areas; and one that is safer for motorists.

### 2.1 Study Purpose

The purposes of this study were to inventory existing problems in the arterial segment under study and develop multimodal transportation improvements to address those problems. MPO staff worked closely with an advisory task force composed of representatives from MassDOT and the Towns of Framingham and Natick. MPO staff met with the task force twice: once to discuss the work scope and finalize the existing conditions and problems, and another time to present the improvement recommendations for comments.

[^1]

### 2.2 Organization

This memorandum is organized into seven sections: an executive summary and six sections. Section 2 gives a brief background of the study. Section 3 describes the data collection methods and sources while Section 4 presents the existing conditions analyses. Section 5 presents future traffic growth while Section 6 describes the future conditions and improvements. Section 7 is presents the study conclusions and next steps.

## 3 DATA COLLECTION

Several types of data were collected in the field or obtained from other sources and were used to evaluate the existing conditions-they include data and information on operations, safety, mobility, and land use.

### 3.1 Vehicle, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Counts

The MassDOT Highway Division's Traffic Data Collection collected turningmovement counts (TMCs) at the study intersections in November 2012, when schools were in session. The counts were conducted during weekday morning and evening peak travel periods. Heavy vehicles (with six or more tires), including school buses, transit buses, and trucks, were counted separately. Pedestrian and bicycle counts were conducted simultaneously with the TMCs. In addition, MassDOT Highway Division's Traffic Data Collection conducted automatic traffic recorder (ATR) ${ }^{3}$ counts for the MassPike on- and off-ramps (see Appendix A).

### 3.2 Intersection Geometry and Traffic Signal Information

The town of Framingham provided existing signal timings, as-built traffic signal plans, and phase sequences of the study intersections. The signal timing plan and phase sequence for the intersection of Route 30 and the TJX Companies driveway were measured in the field. A field inventory of intersection geometrics, lane configurations, and pedestrian and bicyclists amenities were conducted for this study. (Traffic signal timing information and intersection geometrics are located in Appendix B.)

### 3.3 Crash Data

MPO staff used crash data-from January 2008 through December 2012obtained from the Framingham Police Department and the Natick Police Department, along with data from MassDOT's Registry of Motor Vehicles.

[^2]
### 3.4 Land Use and Development

The towns of Framingham and Natick provided information on land use, proposed developments, mitigation actions, and improvements.

## 4 EXISTING CONDITIONS EVALUATION

### 4.1 Roadway

The arterial segment of Route 30 under study is functionally classified as a principal arterial roadway. It is town owned and generally runs in an east-west direction (Figure 1). In Framingham, Route 30 is called Cochituate Road and it has two 11-foot travel lanes in each direction, with wider exclusive turn lanes at the signalized intersections. The segment just west of Speen Street has a 300 -foot long two-way, left-turn lane. In Natick, Route 30 is called Commonwealth Road and it has an 11-foot travel lane in each direction with wider turn lanes at its intersection with TJX Companies driveway. There is no shoulder or bicycle lane in the study segment. The posted speed limit is generally 40 miles per hour (mph) in the Framingham segment and 35 mph in the Natick segment. There are sidewalks on both sides of Route 30 for most part of the segment under study; however, major gaps exist in sidewalks in the vicinity of the MassPike. There are five signalized intersections in the segment; four of which are under the jurisdiction of Framingham, and one located at the TJX Companies driveway, which is under the jurisdiction of Natick.

### 4.2 Intersections

### 4.2.1 Route 30 at TJX Companies Driveway

Route 30 intersects the TJX Companies driveway to form a T-intersection. At the intersection, Route 30 provides one through travel lane in each direction, an exclusive left-turn lane on the eastbound approach, and an exclusive right-turn lane on the westbound approach. The TJX Companies driveway has exclusive right-turn and left-turn lanes. The intersection curb radii are adequate for truck traffic. Although the intersection


Route 30 at TJX Companies Driveway
has a fully actuated traffic signal with pedestrian signals and pushbuttons, a police detail conducts traffic at the intersection during the PM peak hour to assist employees exiting from the driveway onto Route 30 because of a recurrent traffic queue that blocks the intersection. In addition, there are documented concerns expressed by TJX that its business lacks good access to Route 30 and I-90 because of congestion through Speen Street and beyond. There is a crosswalk on the west leg of the intersection. The land use in the vicinity of the intersection is commercial and recreational-with Cochituate State Park located on the south side of Route 30.

### 4.2.2 Route 30 at Speen Street

Route 30 intersects Speen Street to form a four-leg signalized intersection. The eastbound Route 30 approach has four lanes (exclusive leftturn lane, through lane, shared through/right-turn lane, and exclusive right-turn lane), while the westbound approach has three lanes (exclusive left-turn lane, through lane, shared through/right-turn lane). The Speen Street southbound approach has four lanes (exclusive left-turn lane, two


Route 30 at Speen Street through lanes, and exclusive right-turn lane), while the northbound approach consists of four lanes (exclusive double left-turn lanes, through lane, and shared through/right-turn lane). The intersection curb radii are adequate for truck traffic. The intersection has a fully actuated traffic signal with pedestrian signals and pushbuttons and an emergency preemption system; but it is not coordinated with other Route 30 or Speen Street intersections because the MassPike traffic disrupts platoon progression on Route 30. There are no sidewalks on Route 30 or Speen Street in the southwest quadrant of the intersection and the east and north legs of the intersection lack crosswalks. The land use in the vicinity is commercial.

### 4.2.3 Route 30 at Burr Street

Route 30 intersects Burr Street to form a four-leg intersection. The Route 30 eastbound approach consists of three travel lanes (exclusive left-turn lane, through lane, and shared through/right-turn lane). The westbound approach has three lanes (two through lanes and an exclusive right-turn lane). The Burr

Street southbound approach consists of two lanes (exclusive left turn lane and shared left/through/right lane), while the northbound approach consists of two lanes (shared left/through lane and channelized right turn lane). The intersection curb radii are adequate for truck traffic. The intersection has a fully actuated and coordinated traffic signal with an emergency vehicle preemption system. The sidewalks on Route 30


Route 30 at Burr Street terminate at the intersection, which lacks crosswalk and pedestrian signals. The land use in the vicinity is commercial.

### 4.2.4 Route 30 at Whittier Street/Shopper's World Drive

Route 30 intersects Whittier Street/Shopper's World Drive to form a four-leg intersection. The eastbound approach of Route 30 has five lanes (exclusive left turn lane, two through lanes, and exclusive double right-turn lanes), while the westbound approach has three lanes (exclusive left-turn lane, through lane, and shared through/right-turn lane). The intersection curb radii are adequate for truck traffic. The Whittier Street southbound approach consists of four lanes (exclusive left turn lane, shared left/through lane, through lane, and exclusive right-turn lane). The Shopper's World Drive northbound approach consists of three lanes (shared left/through lane, through lane, and exclusive right-turn lane). The intersection has a fully actuated traffic signal with coordination, an emergency vehicle preemption system, and pedestrian signals with pushbuttons. There are crosswalks on all except for the east leg. The land use in


Route 30 at Whittier Street the vicinity is commercial.

### 4.2.5 Route 30 at Ring Road/Shopper's World Way

Route 30 intersects Ring Road and Shopper's World Way to form a four-leg intersection. Each approach of Route 30 has an exclusive left-turn lane, two through lanes, and an exclusive right-turn lane. The Ring Road northbound approach consists of a three lanes (double left-turn lanes and a shared through/right- turn lane), while the Shopper's World Way southbound approach has three lanes (exclusive left-turn lane, shared left/through lane, and exclusive right-turn lane). The intersection curb radii are adequate for truck traffic. The intersection has a fully actuated traffic signal with coordination, emergency vehicle preemption system, and pedestrian signals with pushbuttons. The intersection has crosswalks on all except for the west leg. The


Route 30 at Ring Road land use in the vicinity is commercial.

### 4.3 Land Use and Developments

### 4.3.1 Land Use

The seen in the land use map (Figure 2) the area surrounding the roadway segment under study is zoned for both commercial and industrial use in Framingham and Natick. The area, known as the Golden Triangle, is a desirable shopping and industrial site. It is designated as a high-priority business development area, and has direct access to the MassPike, Route 9, and Route 30.

### 4.3.2 Developments

Based on discussions with representatives from Framingham and Natick, the following developments are proposed or expected in the area:

- Chrysler Apartments: Construction of 404 apartment units to be located off of Chrysler Road in Natick
- FedEx Ground: Proposed Distribution Facility, Natick
- Boston Scientific Warehouse Reuse: Fully occupied, Natick
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FIGURE 2
Land Use

- Potential redevelopment of some of the existing parcels along Route 30 and Speen Street in Framingham
- Future reuse/conversion of some of the existing properties


### 4.4 Pedestrian and Bicyclist Accommodation

There are sidewalks on Route 30 in the Framingham and Natick segments; however, they are not continuous or connected. Gaps exist in the sidewalk network in the vicinity of the MassPike and Route 30 ramp junctions. In the Framingham section, the sidewalk on the north side of Route 30 terminates at Burr Street and picks up at the Red Roof Inn near the Speen Street intersection. Similarly, the sidewalk on the south side of Route 30 terminates just east of the FedEx driveway and picks up at the Margaritas restaurant on the southeast corner of the Speen Street intersection. Figure 3 shows the existing sidewalks and gaps near the MassPike-Route 30 ramp junctions.

In the segment under study, pedestrian crossings are permitted only at signalized intersections and crosswalks that are accessible with Americans with Disabilities Act compliant ramps; and pedestrian signals with pushbuttons have been provided at those intersections. The closely spaced intersections make midblock crossing unnecessary. Table 1 presents the number of pedestrians and bicyclists observed at the study intersections during AM and PM peak travel periods when the TMC and ATR counts were conducted. The low pedestrian and bicyclist volumes might have resulted from the colder weather and shorter daylight in November and the high volume of traffic during peak periods. In addition, the low numbers might have been a result of the lack of amenities that intend to provide safety and comfort for bicyclists, such as:

- Shoulders or bicycle lanes
- Shared-lane pavement markings (sharrows) alerting motorists
- Bicycle detection devices (video or loops)

When the proposed CRT is completed and gaps in the sidewalk network are connected, the low pedestrian and bicyclist volumes reported in Table 1 should increase significantly.


TABLE 1
Pedestrian and Bicycle Counts at the Study Intersections

|  | Pedestrian <br> Count: AM <br> Peak Period | Pedestrian <br> Count: PM <br> Peak Period | Bicyclist <br> Count: AM <br> Peak Period | Bicyclist <br> Count: PM <br> Peak Period |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Route 30 at TJX Co. Driveway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Route 30 at Speen Street | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Route 30 at Burr Street | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Route 30 at Whittier Street | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Route 30 at Ring Road | 6 | 13 | 0 | 1 |

Note: The AM peak period is 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM, and the PM peak period is 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM.
Source: Central Transportation Planning Staff.

### 4.5 Transit

There are five Metro West Regional Transit Authority (MWRTA) bus services operating within the study area:

- Route 2 Framingham Circuit (clockwise)
- Route 3 Framingham Circuit (counter clockwise)
- Route 10 Natick Daily
- Route 11 Natick
- Natick Commuter Shuttle

Routes 2 and 3 connect South Framingham to Shopper's World and the Natick Mall along a long circuit route; with Route 2 traveling clockwise around the circuit and Route 3 operating counter clockwise. Routes 2 and 3 cross Cochituate Road at Whittier Street and Shopper's World Drive and briefly run along Cochituate Road from Concord Street to Shopper's World. Both routes circulate through Shopper's World and the Natick Mall.
Routes 10, 11, and the Natick Commuter Shuttle operate along Cochituate Road from North Main Street (Route 27) to Speen Street. Routes 10 and 11 connect downtown Natick to the Natick Mall along a circuit route; with Route 10 operating counter clockwise around the loop and Route 11 operating clockwise. The Natick Commuter Bus operates to and from MBTA commuter rail stations in Natick to several major employers in Natick, including MathWorks.

Routes 2, 3, and 10 operate Monday to Saturday, while Route 11 and the Natick Commuter Shuttle operate Monday to Friday only. Routes 2 and 3 operate every 60 to 75 minutes from 6:30 AM to 7:55 PM weekdays and 9:30 AM to 5:30 PM Saturday. Routes 10 and 11 operate every 85 minutes from 6:30 AM to 8:11 PM weekdays. Route 10 also operates on Saturday from 9:30 AM to 5:30 PM. The Natick Commuter Shuttle operates several trips in the AM and PM peak periods.

The MWRTA offers a flag stop where buses stop only on an as-needed or asrequested basis. The average daily ridership from the stop at Macy's at the Natick Mall is 45 riders; the stop at the shelter at Shoppers World has an average of 15 boardings per day. MWRTA has adjusted schedules since 2008 to account for longer travel times in the peak periods. The 2008 weekday data collected on MWRTA routes by MPO staff showed 160 passengers on Route 2; 206 passengers on Route 3; 117 passengers on Route 10; 43 passengers on Route 11; and 69 passengers on the Natick Commuter Shuttle. MWRTA ridership has increased since 2008, but new data by route is not yet available.

### 4.6 Proposed Cochituate Rail Trail (CRT) Crossing at Route 30

The CRT is a proposed multi-use trail that would extend from the Village of Saxonville in Framingham to Natick Center, a distance of four miles. At the time this writing, the Framingham section of the trail is paved, but not opened to the public, although it is being used now. The Natick section of the trail is in the planning stage. Because of its close proximity to office buildings, shopping centers, and residential areas, the trail is expected to provide residents with an attractive alternative to driving. In addition, a planned connection to the commuter rail station in Natick Center will open the door for even greater transportation use. The Framingham section will terminate at Route 30 near Home Depot and Cochituate State Park. The Natick section will start at the point where the Framingham section ends, and will terminate at the commuter rail station in Natick Center. The Natick section also will have a spur connecting the Cochituate Rail Trail to the Natick Mall.

The relationship between this study and the Cochituate Rail Trail is the crossing of the trail at Route 30 and what impact that would have on the study area. The trail crossing is complicated by the following site conditions:

- Located in a roadway section with high traffic volume, recurrent congestion, and traffic queues. The roadway section has average daily traffic of 22,000 vehicles (both directions) and peak hour traffic of about 2,000 vehicles (both directions).
- Located between two closely spaced traffic signals; one at the Speen Street intersection and the other at the intersection at the TJX Companies driveway.
- Located near the Home Depot and Cumberland Farms driveways on Route 30.

The primary concern of Framingham and Natick is the increased exposure and risk for trail users because of the conditions listed above, as well as the effect the trail might have by crossing over traffic operations in the vicinity. One of the objectives of this study was to evaluate the alternatives for trail users to cross

Route 30 safely while considering what the delay consequences for both trail users and motorists would be.

### 4.7 Safety Conditions

MPO staff used crash data obtained from the Framingham and Natick Police Departments and from the MassDOT Registry of Motor Vehicles to evaluate safety for motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists. The crash data cover the period from January 2007 through December 2012. The details of the crashes in terms of severity, manner of collision, and ambient light conditions at each of the study intersections are presented in Table 2. The crash rates for the study intersections presented at the bottom of Table 2 were calculated per MassDOT methodology. The MassDOT Highway Division's District 3 average crash rate (published by MassDOT based on crash information queried on January 23, 2013) is 0.89 crashes per million entering vehicles for signalized intersections. The crash rate worksheets and collision diagrams are located din Appendix C. Using the District 3 crash rate data as a threshold, none of the study intersections was identified as a high-crash location.

Although the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection had 85 crashes within the six-year period, it did not meet the District 3 threshold because of the high ADT volumes at the intersection. The most prevalent crash types were angle and rearend collisions because of running red lights, failure to yield right-of-way, and following too close, inattention, and inability to stop in reaction to a traffic queue.

In addition, between 24 and 30 percent of the crashes occurred during peak travel periods-when there are congestion and traffic queues. In addition, the segment of Route 30 west of Speen Street, with the two-way center left-turn lane (TWLTL) had 22 crashes.


Two-Way Left-Turn Lane (TWLTL) on Route 30 Near Speen Street

TABLE 2
Crash Summaries and Rates for Study Intersections
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\hline & \begin{array}{r}\text { Route 30 } \\ \text { at Speen } \\ \text { Street }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Route 30 } \\ \text { at Burr } \\ \text { Street }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Route 30 } \\ \text { at Whittier } \\ \text { Street }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Route 30 } \\ \text { at Ring } \\ \text { Road }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Route 30 } \\ \text { at TJX }\end{array} \\ \text { Driveway }\end{array}\right\}$

* The AM peak period is 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM, and the PM peak period is 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM.

Source: Central Transportation Planning Staff.

### 4.8 Traffic Operations Conditions

The average weekday traffic volumes on Route 30 ranged between 22,000 and 40,000 vehicles per day. The highest ADT occurred in the segment between Speen Street and the MassPike and the lowest ADT occurred in the segment between the TJX Companies driveway and the Speen Street intersection. The percentage of trucks in the study-area intersections during the AM and PM peak periods ranged between $1.0 \%$ and $2.8 \%$ (Table 3). These truck rates are not considered particularly high for peak-period traffic conditions. Also, staff did
not detect any roadway geometry-such as turning radii, which would inhibit truck traffic flow-other than the extreme traffic congestion that exists in this area during peak hours and affects all traffic. The peak-hour turning movement volumes for the study intersections and the MassPike ramps are presented in Figure 4.

Using the data and information collected, MPO staff built a traffic analysis network for the AM and PM peak periods (with Synchro Studio ${ }^{4}$ ) to assess the capacity and quality of traffic flow in the arterial segment under study.

TABLE 3
Percentage of Heavy Vehicles at Study Intersections during Peak Periods*

| Intersection/Approach | Percent of Heavy <br> Vehicles |
| :--- | ---: |
| Route 30 at TJX Companies Driveway: | -- |
| Route 30 Eastbound | $1.2 \%$ |
| Route 30 Westbound | 1.0 |
| TJX Driveway Southbound | 0.0 |
| Route 30 at Speen Street: | -- |
| Route 30 Eastbound | $2.0 \%$ |
| Route 30 Westbound | 2.1 |
| Speen Street Northbound | 2.2 |
| Speen Street Southbound | 1.6 |
| Route 30 Burr Street: | -- |
| Route 30 Eastbound | $2.0 \%$ |
| Route 30 Westbound | 2.0 |
| Burr Street Northbound | 1.4 |
| Burr Street Southbound | 0.7 |
| Route 30 at Whittier Street: | -- |
| Route 30 Eastbound | $2.4 \%$ |
| Route 30 Westbound | 2.5 |
| Whittier Street Northbound | 1.0 |
| Whittier Street Southbound | 0.9 |
| Route 30 at Shopper's World Way/Ring Road: | -- |
| Route 30 Eastbound | $2.8 \%$ |
| Route 30 Westbound | 1.8 |
| Shopper's World Way Southbound | 2.4 |
| Ring Road Northbound | 2.4 |

* The AM peak period is 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM, and the PM peak period is 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM.

Source: Central Transportation Planning Staff.

[^3]

The analyses were conducted in a manner consistent with the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodologies (included in Appendix D). The HCM methodology demonstrates the driving conditions at signalized intersections it in terms of LOS ratings from A through F. LOS A represents the best operating conditions (little to no delay), while LOS F represents the worst operating conditions (very long delay). LOS E represents operating conditions at capacity (limit of acceptable delay). The control delays associated with each level of service for signalized intersections are presented in Table 4.

TABLE 4
Levels of Service and Control Delays at Signalized Intersection

| Level of Service | Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) |
| :--- | ---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>20-35$ |
| D | $>35-55$ |
| E | $>55-80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

Source: Central Transportation Planning Staff.
Table 5 presents the peak-hour performance measures for the existing conditions, summarized below:

1. The Route 30 and Speen Street intersection is the most critical in the corridor. It operates at capacity (LOS E or F) during AM and PM peak periods, when long queues form on its approaches, interrupting traffic flow at the TJX Companies driveway, Speen Street, and Route 30. The most severe delays and queues are in the PM peak period, especially for the Speen Street northbound left-turning traffic.
2. The intersection of Route 30 and TJX Companies driveway operates at LOS F during the PM peak period, when a traffic surge as a result of employee traffic (5:00 PM to 5:45 PM) and queues on the east leg of the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection spills into it, making it difficult for employees to exit from the company driveway onto Route 30. A police detail conducts traffic at that intersection during the PM peak period, sidestepping the traffic signal control.
3. The intersection of Route 30 and Whittier Street operates at an unacceptable LOS F during the PM peak hour. During this time, traffic on the Whittier Street southbound approach operates at LOS F and experiences a long traffic queue.

TABLE 5
Peak-Hour Level of Service, Delay, and Queue: Existing versus Retimed Traffic Signals

| Intersection/ Approach | Movement | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{AM} \\ \text { Existing } \\ \mathrm{LOS} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AM } \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\qquad$ | AM Retimed LOS | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \mathrm{AM} \\ \text { Retimed } \\ \text { Queue } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \mathrm{AM} \\ \text { Retimed } \\ \text { Queue } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline P M \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { LOS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{PM} \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{PM} \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { Queue } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { PM } \\ \text { Retimed } \\ \text { LOS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { PM } \\ \text { Retimed } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { PM } \\ \text { Retimed } \\ \text { Queue } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route 30 at TJX Driveway: | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - |
| Route 30 Eastbound | L | C | 27 | \#587 | C | 22 | \#574 | B | 10 | 20 | B | 10 | 20 |
| Route 30 Eastbound | T | A | 6 | 450 | A | 5 | 450 | A | 6 | \#335 | A | 6 | 335 |
| Route 30 Westbound | T | C | 37 | \#550 | C | 33 | \#550 | E | 55 | \#559 | E | 55 | \#559 |
| Route 30 Westbound | R | B | 20 | 51 | A | 19 | 51 | B | 14 | 12 | B | 14 | 12 |
| TJX Driveway | L | D | 44 | 25 | D | 42 | 25 | D | 39 | \#122 | C | 39 | \#122 |
| TJX Driveway | R | B | 14 | 15 | A | 13 | 15 | D | 40 | \#540 | D | 40 | \#540 |
| Intersection Total | All | C | 21 | -- | B | 18 | -- | C | 32 | -- | C | 32 | -- |
| Route 30 at Speen Street: | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | --- | -- | -- | -- | --- | -- | -- |
| Route 30 Eastbound | L | E | 77 | \#410 | E | 71 | \#414 | E | 66 | 155 | E | 68 | \#170 |
| Route 30 Eastbound | T+R | E | 62 | \#859 | E | 76 | \#801 | F | 87 | \#541 | E | 60 | \#415 |
| Route 30 Eastbound | R | C | 22 | 513 | C | 27 | \#818 | F | 85 | \#1159 | E | 62 | \#979 |
| Route 30 Westbound | L | F | 84 | \#315 | E | 73 | \#289 | E | 76 | \#529 | F | 84 | \#541 |
| Route 30 Westbound | T+R | D | 43 | 264 | D | 44 | 255 | D | 51 | \#535 | D | 42 | 468 |
| Speen St. Northbound | L | E | 60 | \#434 | E | 55 | \#414 | F | 131 | \#715 | F | 115 | \#620 |
| Speen St. Northbound | T+R | F | 120 | \#715 | F | 80 | \#625 | D | 42 | 341 | D | 42 | 316 |
| Speen St. Southbound | L | E | 71 | \#193 | E | 75 | \#223 | E | 76 | \#190 | E | 64 | 158 |
| Speen St. Southbound | T | F | 97 | \#324 | E | 71 | \#296 | E | 65 | \#450 | F | 97 | \#465 |
| Speen St. Southbound | R | D | 36 | 43 | C | 32 | 40 | E | 59 | \#449 | E | 70 | \#444 |
| Intersection Total | All | E | 67 | -- | E | 60 | -- | E | 76 | --- | E | 70 | -- |
| Route 30 at Burr Street: | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | --- | -- | -- | --- | --- | -- | -- | - |
| Route 30 Eastbound | L | E | 57 | m176 | D | 45 | m126 | D | 45 | m72 | D | 50 | m79 |
| Route 30 Eastbound | T+R | A | 5 | 124 | A | 10 | 124 | A | 8 | m15 | C | 28 | m450 |
| Route 30 Westbound | T | B | 15 | 250 | B | 16 | 257 | C | 27 | 582 | D | 43 | \#706 |
| Route 30 Westbound | R | E | 56 | \#676 | E | 59 | \#675 | B | 16 | 73 | C | 20 | 87 |
| Burr St. Northbound | L+T | E | 65 | \#105 | D | 49 | 93 | F | 97 | \#130 | D | 54 | 101 |
| Burr St. Northbound | R | A | 1 | 0 | A | 1 | 0 | A | 1 | 0 | A | 1 | 0 |
| Burr St. Southbound | L | D | 39 | 75 | D | 38 | 68 | E | 148 | \#492 | D | 50 | \#402 |
| Burr St. Southbound | L+T+R | D | 37 | 62 | D | 38 | 69 | F | 143 | \#478 | D | 49 | \#385 |
| Intersection Total | All | C | 29 | -- | C | 30 | -- | D | 37 | -- | D | 35 | -- |
| Route 30 at Whittier Street: | --- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - |
| Route 30 Eastbound | L | D | 41 | \#94 | D | 54 | \#65 | E | 66 | m88 | E | 71 | m\#80 |
| Route 30 Eastbound | T | C | 35 | \#370 | C | 30 | \#479 | C | 30 | m\#182 | D | 51 | m302 |
| Route 30 Eastbound | R | B | 18 | 0 | B | 18 | 0 | C | 34 | m16 | C | 34 | m13 |
| Route 30 Westbound | L | D | 42 | \#240 | D | 45 | \#240 | C | 26 | m\#525 | E | 55 | m\#460 |
| Route 30 Westbound | T+R | A | 10 | \#547 | B | 11 | \#547 | B | 19 | m\#725 | B | 13 | m\#656 |
| Shopper's World Dr. | L+T | D | 36 | 54 | D | 36 | 54 | F | > 180 | \#259 | F | 101 | \#234 |
| Shopper's World Dr. | R | C | 22 | 0 | C | 22 | 0 | C | 24 | 9 | C | 22 | 84 |
| Whittier St. Southbound | L | D | 44 | \#131 | D | 44 | \#131 | F | > 180 | \#375 | E | 64 | \#336 |


| Intersection/ Approach | Movement | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AM } \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { LOS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AM } \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { Delay } \end{array}$ | AM Existing Queue | AM Retimed LOS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AM } \\ \text { Retimed } \\ \text { Queue } \end{array}$ | AM <br> Retimed Queue | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{PM} \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { LOS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PM } \\ \text { Existing } \\ \text { Delay } \end{array}$ | $P M$ Existing Queue | PM Retimed LOS | PM <br> Retimed Delay | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PM } \\ \text { Retimed } \\ \text { Queue } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whittier St. Southbound | L+T | D | 39 | \#96 | D | 40 | \#96 | F | > 180 | \#353 | E | 72 | \#282 |
| Whittier St. Southbound | R | C | 28 | 0 | C | 28 | 0 | D | 38 | 14 | C | 34 | 15 |
| Intersection Total | All | C | 26 | -- | C | 26 | -- | F | 81 | -- | D | 47 | -- |
| Route 30 at Ring Road: | -- | -- | -- | --- | --- | -- | --- | -- | -- | --- | -- | -- | -- |
| Route 30 Eastbound | L | C | 33 | m\#171 | D | 47 | m\#172 | E | 64 | \#303 | C | 33 | \#274 |
| Route 30 Eastbound | T | B | 11 | \#480 | A | 10 | \#446 | B | 26 | 312 | B | 16 | 307 |
| Route 30 Eastbound | R | B | 11 | m17 | B | 11 | m4 | D | 51 | 91 | C | 25 | 87 |
| Route 30 Westbound | L | D | 38 | m26 | C | 28 | m26 | E | 59 | m74 | D | 37 | m68 |
| Route 30 Westbound | T | D | 41 | \#435 | C | 33 | \#442 | D | 39 | m436 | D | 52 | m\#463 |
| Route 30 Westbound | R | B | 15 | m67 | B | 13 | m67 | C | 28 | m80 | F | 86 | m95 |
| Shopper's World Way | L | D | 36 | 23 | D | 36 | 23 | E | 77 | \#132 | D | 51 | \#121 |
| Shopper's World Way | T+R | D | 38 | 52 | D | 38 | 52 | F | >180 | \#284 | F | 131 | \#288 |
| Ring Road Southbound | L | D | 37 | 41 | D | 38 | 41 | E | 77 | \#148 | E | 60 | \#125 |
| Ring Road Southbound | L+T | D | 37 | 42 | D | 38 | 42 | E | 77 | \#154 | E | 60 | \#129 |
| Ring Road Southbound | R | D | 36 | 0 | D | 36 | 0 | D | 49 | 24 | C | 31 | 31 |
| Intersection Total | All | C | 29 | -- | C | 24 | -- | E | 56 | -- | D | 46 | -- |

[^4]4. Traffic on the Burr Street southbound approach operates at an unacceptable LOS F during the PM peak hour and experiences a long traffic queue.
5. A traffic queue on the MassPike on-ramp near the Red Roof Inn sometimes backs up onto Route 30 westbound during the PM peak period. To a lesser degree, this has been happening in the AM peak period because of solar glare and heavy volumes on the eastbound MassPike. This condition slows traffic heading westbound on Route 30 and contributes to the congestion and queues at the Speen Street intersection.

### 4.9 Previous and Proposed Mitigation Improvements

The following are previous and proposed mitigation improvements; not all of these improvements are in the study area but are listed here because they affect flow into and out of the study area.

1. The Lowe's Companies, Inc. development on Route 30, implemented several mitigation actions to improve traffic safety, operations, and aesthetics on Route 30 (see Appendix E for full list of mitigation actions), among which were:

- Upgrade of the Beacon Street traffic signal (completed)
- Geometric and signal retiming improvements at the intersection of Route 30 and Ring Road and Lowe's/Target driveway (completed)
- A secondary driveway on Route 30 at the western edge of the Lowe's site to access the store (completed).
- Curb improvements between Caldor Road and Whittier Street (completed)
- Landscape improvements between Route 126 and Caldor Road (completed)

2. Traffic signals on Route 30 from Beacon Street to Burr Street were retimed and coordinated in 2007.
3. A traffic signal upgrade and intersection improvements have been planned for the intersection of Route 30 and Route 27 in Wayland. This project has been programmed into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the federal fiscal year (FFY) 2016 and it currently is in design.
4. The proposed FedEx distribution facility in Natick has discussed traffic mitigation measures with the Town of Natick to improve safety, operations, and mobility on Speen Street, which include modifying the
signal timing plan for the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection to reduce delay. (See Appendix E for full list of traffic mitigation measures.)
5. MassDOT proposes to convert and replace the I-90 Western Turnpike interchange-based manual cash and electronic toll collection systems with its new system All Electronic Tolling system. The project will include both roadway tolling infrastructure and toll collection system technology.

## 5. FUTURE CONDITIONS

To systematically forecast future traffic volumes resulting from changes in a transportation network or land use, a planning model is used. For this study, staff used the Boston Region MPO's most-recently adopted regional travel demand model set, with socioeconomic components based on forecasts produced by the Metropolitan Area Planning Council. The model is calibrated at a regional level for 164 cities and towns, which include all of the 101 cities and towns in the MPO region. The primary tool used in model calibration is the transportation planning model set implemented in EMME software. ${ }^{5}$ Total average traffic growth in the study area is projected to be approximately 3.0 percent by 2020; this factor was used to expand existing peak-hour turningmovement volumes into 2020 future turning-movement volumes (Figure 5).

## 6 IMPROVEMENTS

### 6.1 Pedestrian Accommodation

### 6.1.1 Sidewalks/Multiuse Path

Three options were proposed to address gaps in sidewalks on Route 30 in the vicinity of the MassPike:

- Option 1: Improve existing sidewalks on Burr Street and Leggat McCall Connector Road for access to Speen Street and the Cochituate Rail Trail (Figure 6), and add shared-lane markings on these streets for bicyclists.
- Option 2: Construct new sidewalks on the south side of Route 30 in the vicinity of the MassPike connector ramps to provide continuous and connected sidewalk for accessing businesses near the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection and the Cochituate Rail Trail (Figure 7).

[^5]





- Option 3: Construct new sidewalks and multiuse paths around the outer loop of the MassPike connector south of Route 30 for accessing businesses near the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection and the Cochituate Rail Trail (Figure 8 above).

Cost and effectiveness are the two primary factors for selecting the preferred option. Option 1 offers the least cost, makes use of existing sidewalks, avoids crossing busy MassPike connector ramps, connects to the Cochituate Rail Trail, and it is on a roadway where pedestrians would encounter far less traffic than on Route 30. However, Option 1 lacks direct connections to the businesses at the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection.

Option 2 crosses two busy MassPike connector ramps, requires crosswalk warning devices for crossing the MassPike ramps safely, and costs much more than Option 1. However, Option 2 provides direct access to businesses at the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection and to the Cochituate Rail Trail.

Option 3 provides access to businesses at the Speen Street intersection and to the Cochituate Rail Trail and avoids crossing busy MassPike connector ramps. In addition, Option 3 has greater potential for attracting bicyclists to the Cochituate Rail Trail.

In addition to closing gaps in the sidewalk network, MPO staff also suggests the installation of sidewalk buffers, countdown pedestrian signal timers, shared-lane markings, and bicycle detectors, which are described in the following sections.

### 6.1.2 Sidewalk Buffers

Install a two-to-three foot wide buffer between the roadway curb and existing sidewalks on Route 30 between Ring Road and Burr Street. A sidewalk buffer provides a comfortable separation and safety for pedestrians from the street and vehicles. A sidewalk buffer is desirable along Route 30 in the study area, where on-street parking is prohibited, and shoulders and bike lanes are absent. This improvement also could be considered as a mitigation project.


Sidewalk without a buffer on Route 30


Sidewalk with grass buffer on Whittier Street

### 6.1.3 Countdown Timer Displays

Pedestrian countdown signals improve safety by helping pedestrians make informed decision about crossing streets at signalized intersections. MPO staff recommends adding countdown displays to the existing pedestrian signal heads, especially on the traffic signal at the intersection of Route 30 and Ring Road/Shopper's World Way, which appears to have the largest number of pedestrian crossings.

### 6.2 Bicycle Accommodation

Install shared-lane markings (sharrows) in the rightmost through travel lanes on Route 30 to inform motorists to share the road, and reduce the speed limit to 35 mph from 40 mph . This also would benefit Cochituate Rail Trail users, as Route 30 would be one of the connecting roadways to the trail. Sharrows on Burr Street and Leggat McCall Connector Road would benefit bicyclists, if sidewalk Option 1 is selected.

Install bicycle detectors (video or loop) at the signalized intersections and provide bicycle detector pavement markings or signs indicating to bicyclists where to stop to wait for the green indication. Bicycle detectors at the intersection of Leggat McCall Connector Road and Speen Street would benefit bicyclists, if sidewalk Option 1 is selected.

These treatments could be extended east and west of the study area in order to increase benefits and effectiveness.


Bicycle detector pavement marking


Shared-lane markings (sharrows)

### 6.3 Traffic Operations

### 6.3.1 Retime Traffic Signals

Traffic signals on Route 30 from Beacon Street to Burr Street in Framingham were retimed and coordinated in 2007, in order to establish efficient traffic flow processing. Using Synchro Studio, MPO staff evaluated the new timing plans, including those for signals at the Speen Street and TJX Companies driveway. The process was performed for both the AM and PM peak periods; and the results-including intersection levels of service, delays, and queues-are presented in Table 5. The results show that:

- The existing cycle length, phase sequence, and offsets for the coordinated traffic signal systems work well both in the AM and PM peak period. However, fine tuning is needed to increase green times during the PM peak period for the side streets of Burr and Whittier Streets.
- In addition, MPO staff suggests the following improvements:
- Increase the yellow change interval to 4 seconds from 3 seconds for the Route 30 and Burr Street intersection
- Increase the all-red time to 2 seconds from 1 second for the Route 30 and Whittier Street intersection
- Increase the yellow change interval to 4 seconds from 3.2 seconds for the Route 30 and Ring Road intersection

Increasing the yellow change and all-red clearance intervals could reduce the angle crashes at the signalized intersections.

- As a short-term improvement, the Speen Street signal could benefit somewhat from retiming. Analysis shows that the overall intersection delay could be reduced to 60 seconds per vehicle (LOS E) from 67 seconds per vehicle (LOS E) during the AM peak period. Similarly, the overall intersection delay could be reduced to 70 seconds per vehicle (LOS E) from 76 seconds per vehicle (LOS E) during the PM peak period. The improvement resulting from signal retiming would not reduce congestion significantly at the intersection-other strategies in addition to the retiming are needed to increase capacity and improve safety for the long term.
- The traffic signal at the TJX Companies driveway intersection operates satisfactorily during the AM peak-hour. The mediocre traffic operation during the PM peak period results from a traffic queue created on the Route 30 westbound approach at Speen Street that spills into this intersection. Analysis shows that the signal at the TJX Companies driveway would operate satisfactorily during the PM peak
period if a queue does not extend into it. Retiming of the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection would not reduce the queue enough to require the police detail at the intersection to be removed.


### 6.3.2 Consolidate Driveways on Route 30 Segment with the TWLTL

The segment of Route 30 with the two-way left-turn lane had a large number of angle-type crashes involving motorists turning into or out of driveways, with primary contributing factors as failure to yield right-of-way and changing lanes. This segment carries an ADT of 40,000-to-44,000 vehicles per day (both directions). TWLTLs are less effective and have high crash rates in locations where there is a density of commercial closely spaced driveway. In addition, TWLTLs start to lose their effectiveness when traffic volumes on a roadway are high—studies indicate that operating degradation occurs between an ADT of 24,000-to-28,000 vehicles per day (both directions). ${ }^{6,7}$

MPO staff suggests the following safety improvement options for consideration (Figure 9):

- The Town of Framingham should work with the business owners in the area to effectively manage driveway spacing in this segment by consolidating some of the driveways. This will require business owners in the vicinity to share driveways and rearranging some of the existing parking spaces to ensure safety and efficient internal circulation.
- Or, the town should consider redeveloping the existing land uses.
- Or, the town should consider replacing the TWLTL with a raised median and restrict access/egress to business driveways in the segment to right-turn-in and right-turn-out.
- In addition, a raised median or traversable granite cobble median should be installed to separate the eastbound left-turn lanes from the opposing westbound through lanes, thus preventing motorists from cutting across the left-turn lane to go eastbound.

[^6]

### 6.3.3 Improvement Concepts for Route $\mathbf{3 0}$ and Speen Street Intersection

Currently, the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection is operating at capacity during peak periods; and analysis shows that a traffic signal retiming strategy likely would not improve capacity. Peak period traffic demands at the intersection are so high that a traffic queue is created on each approach, which last for two hours or more.

In addition, wetlands and businesses adjacent to the intersection place constraints on further widening the roadway. An evaluation of traffic flow patterns in the vicinity of the intersection indicated that on average, about 70 percent of traffic heading westbound on Route 30 just west of the Speen Street intersection proceeds to the MassPike. Based on this observation, MPO staff expects that the majority of the high-volume northbound left-turn, southbound right-turn, and, to a lesser degree, westbound straight-through traffic at the Speen Street intersection are destined to the MassPike.
In addition, MassDOT proposes to convert and replace the I-90 Western Turnpike interchange-based manual cash and electronic toll collection systems with its new All Electronic Tolling system. The project will include both roadway tolling infrastructure and toll collection system technology. Discussions with MassDOT Highway Division District 3 officials indicated that the District is interested a global approach to alleviate congestion at Route 30 and Speen Street intersection

MPO staff developed one medium- and five long-term improvement concepts for consideration (Figures 10 through 15), each of which would need further analysis to determine their effect on congestion, access to businesses, and the environment.

## Medium-term Concept

At the recommendation of the task force, MPO staff tested the addition of a traffic lane in the westbound direction of Route 30 from the TJX driveway through the Speen Street intersection, continuing as a third lane west of Speen Street up to the existing I-90 on-ramp, which would be reconstructed as two lanes (Figure 10).

Intersection analysis (Appendix D) showed that the level of service at the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection would improve slightly and delays per vehicle and queues would be reduced by as much as $20 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively. The exact reductions vary with peak period, approach, traffic movement, and traffic signal design parameters.

The addition of a third through lane westbound would have impacts in the areas of right-of-way and safety:






## BOSTON



- Taking land from private properties along Route 30—including Cumberland Farms, Dunkin' Donuts, and Red Roof Inn—likely would be required.
- There would be probable escalation of existing crashes between vehicles traveling along Route 30 and vehicles entering and exiting driveways.

In order for the medium-term improvement to work successfully, MPO staff recommends that the two-way left-turn lane in the segment just west of Speen Street be replaced with a raised median, and access/egress to business driveways is restricted to right-turn-in and right-turn-out only.

## Long-term Concepts

Concept 1: Speen Street northbound and southbound through movements over Route 30 (Figure 11). Figure 11 shows only 2020 no-build volumes because peak-period driveway volumes to/from the businesses on the north leg of Speen Street were not available for estimating 2020 build volumes. ${ }^{8}$

Concept 1 would reduce congestion at the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection because the new bridge would take Speen Street through traffic out of the intersection, and the savings in green time could be allocated to other movements at the intersection in order to reduce congestion. Concept 1 would have impacts in the areas of right-of-way and access and egress, particularly on the north leg of Speen Street. The width of the right-of-way on the north leg of Speen Street varies from 40 feet to 90 feet wide, and it would not provide enough space to accommodate a two-lane bridge plus access roads for the businesses. In addition, the bridge would restrict access and egress to businesses on the north leg of Speen Street to right-turn-in and right-turn-out only.

Concept 2: Grade-separated Speen Street northbound left turn and Route 30 eastbound exclusive double right-turn lanes (Figure 12). As part of Concept 2, the MassPike westbound on-ramp would be reconstructed as two lanes. An evaluation of traffic flow patterns in the vicinity of the Route 30/Speen Street intersection indicated that on average, about 70 percent of traffic heading westbound on Route 30 west of the Speen Street intersection proceeds to the MassPike. Consequently, the 2020 build volumes presented in Figure 12 are based on the assumption that about 70 percent of the Speen Street northbound left-turn movement would head to the MassPike via the grade-separation.

Concept 2 would reduce congestion at the Route 30/Speen Street intersection because the grade-separation would take the majority of the high-volume

[^7]Speen Street northbound left turns out of the intersection, and the savings in green time could be allocated to other movements at the intersection in order to improve traffic operations. Concept 2 would have impacts in the areas of right-of-way and access and egress to businesses along Route 30, including Dunkin' Donuts and Red Roof Inn. The right-of-way on Route 30 where the new overpass would land is approximately 100 feet wide and it would not provide enough space to accommodate existing travel lanes and landing of the new overpass (one travel lane, 28 feet wide from parapet to parapet). The overpass would also restrict access and egress to businesses on the north side of Route 30.

Concept 3: Grade-separated median left turn from Speen Street northbound to MassPike (eastbound and westbound) (Figure 13). The 2020 build volumes and ramp volumes presented in Figure 13 are based on the same assumption described in Concept 2. In addition, Concept 3 would reduce congestion at Route 30/Speen Street intersection in the same way as in Concept 2.

Concept 3 would have impacts in the area of right-of-way because its construction would require land taking in the northwest quadrant of the intersection, which would impact properties of the Red Roof Inn and the building at 111 Speen Street. In addition, the right-of-way on the south leg of Speen Street varies in width from about 100 feet in the Framingham segment to about 80 feet in the Natick segment, which is not sufficient to accommodate two travel lanes in each direction plus grade-separation (one travel lane, 28 feet from parapet to parapet), horizontal clearances, shoulders, and sidewalks.

Concept 4: Grade-separated median left-turn from Speen Street northbound to MassPike (eastbound only) (Figure 14). The 2020 build volumes in Figure 14 are based on following two assumptions:

- Approximately, seventy percent of the Speen Street northbound leftturn movement heads to the MassPike.
- Directional split of traffic heading to the MassPike north of the toll plaza (from existing ramp counts conducted in 2010) is approximately 80 percent eastbound and 20 percent westbound during the AM peak hour; during the PM peak hour, the split is about 50 percent in each direction.

Concept 4 would reduce congestion at the Route 30/Speen Street intersection in the same way as in Concept 3 . In addition, Concept 4 would have similar impacts in the area of right-of-way as in Concept 3.

Concept 5: Convert the south leg of Speen Street into a continuous-flow intersection (Figure 15).

A continuous-flow intersection allows opposing left turns and through movements to take place simultaneously using one signal controller at the main
intersection. For example, while east-west traffic on Route 30 is moving, northbound, left turns on Speen Street cross over oncoming traffic at a midblock intersection. When the north-south signals on Speen Street turn green, both through and left-turn movements can go at the same time, because leftturn movement is already on the opposite side of the opposing through movement.

Concept 5 would reduce congestion at the Route 30/Speen Street intersection by servicing both through and left-turn movements at the same time. Concept 5 would have an impact in the area of right-of-way on the south leg of Speen Street. For efficient traffic operations, it is expected that in Concept 5 there would be two left-turn lanes, two through lanes in each direction, and an additional lane on the south leg of Speen Street to receive the high-volume of eastbound Route 30 right turns, which be a free-turn type. Currently, the AM and PM peak hour volumes for the eastbound Route 30 right turns are: 930 vehicles and 935 vehicles, respectively.

Considering the seven travel lanes in addition to median/traffic islands to separate or channel traffic, sidewalks, and utilities, the existing right-of-way (80 to 100 feet wide) would not be sufficient. More space on the south leg of Speen Street would therefore be needed to construct the improvements.

Table 6 presents results of the initial qualitative evaluation of the long-term concepts in terms of congestion reduction, access to businesses, and impacts on wetland, right-of-way, and aesthetics. MPO staff did not perform modeling and LOS analyses for the five long-term concepts because those tasks were not included in the work program for this study.

## Next Steps

The Route 30 and Speen Street intersection is the most critical intersection in the study area. Retiming this intersection would only provide short-term benefits, hence other strategies providing medium- and long-term benefits would be needed to address safety and traffic operations problems and provide relief to adjacent intersections.
A medium-term solution that adds a lane in the westbound direction from the TJX driveway to the MassPike on-ramp, which would be constructed as two lanes, was tested by MPO staff and found to improve intersection levels of service slightly but delays per vehicle and queues would be reduced by as much as $20 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively.

MPO staff recommends that the Towns of Framingham and Natick advance the long-term concepts toward further analysis and design by working closely with MassDOT to tie the medium- and long-term improvements at the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection with MassDOT's AET project.

TABLE 6

## Comparison of Long-Term Concepts

| Concept Description | Impact on Access and Egress | Impact on Wetland | Constructability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concept 1-Speen Street over Route 30 (northbound and southbound) | Impacts access and egress to businesses on the north leg of Speen Street because of vertical clearance for landing the new bridge | Some wetland impacts. Grade separation of the northbound and southbound movements would require more space in the median of Speen Street to construct, which may impact adjacent wetland. | There is limited area on Speen Street for construction staging and traffic work zones, especially on the north leg. <br> Traffic management during construction could worsen congestion on Speen Street and Route 30. |
| Concept 2-GradeSeparated Speen Street Northbound Left Turn and Route 30 Eastbound Exclusive Right Lanes. | Impacts access and egress to businesses in the northwest quadrant (Red Roof Inn, Sherwin William, Dunkin' Donuts, and Mobil) with driveways access on Route 30. Vertical clearance required for landing the new bridge would make access to those businesses very difficult. In addition, access on Speen Street to the Margaritas restaurant would be affected. | Minimal wetland impacts. In this concept, Speen Street northbound left turns would exit from the right hand side, which would reduce impact on wetland in the southwest quadrant of the intersection. | There is limited area for construction staging and traffic work zones on Speen Street and Route 30. <br> Traffic management during construction could worsen congestion on Speen Street and Route 30. |
| Concept 3-GradeSeparated Median Left Turn from Speen Street Northbound to MassPike (eastbound and westbound) | Does not affect existing access and egress from businesses on Route 30 or Speen Street. <br> The grade separation is located in the median on the south leg of Speen Street. It connects to the MassPike in the northwest quadrant of Route 30 and Speen Street intersection to avoid negative impacts on access and egress to businesses. | Minimal wetland impacts, grade separation of the northbound left turn would require slightly more space in the median of Speen Street to construct, which may impact adjacent wetland. | Construction staging in the median of busy Speen Street may prove difficult because of limited space. In addition there is limited area on Speen Street for traffic work zones. Management of traffic during construction could worsen congestion on Route 30 and Speen Street. |

Impact on Right-of-Way Requires land takings on Speen Street. The width of the new bridge plus clearances and travel lanes to service other at-grade movements at ine incerection would require more space on Speen Street to construct. On the south leg of Speen Street, the right-ofway varies from 80 feet in the Natick segment to 100 feet wide in the Framingham segment. On the north leg of Speen Street the right-ofway varies from about feet to 90 feet wide. Requires land laking in the
northwest and southeast quadrants On the west quadroute 30, the right-of-way is about 100 feet wide, while on the south leg of Speen Street the right-of-way varies from 80 feet wide in the Natick segment to 100 feet wide in the Framingham segment.
Requires land takings in the northwest quadrant, which would affect properties of Red Roof Inn and the office building at 111 Speen Street. In addition, it would
require land taking on the south leg of Speen Street; on the south leg of Speen Street the right-of-way varies from 80 feet wide in the Natick segment to 100 feet
wide in the wide in the Framingham segment.

Aesthetic/Visual Impacts Grade separation (overpass) presents aesthetics and visual impacts, as the aesthetic appeal of bridges is becoming increasingly Minimizing or preve negative visual impacts because of new overpass expected in this concept.

Grade separation (overpass) visual impacts, as the aesthetic appeal of bridges is becoming increasingly important to people. Minimizing or preventing negative visual impacts due to bridge is expected in this concept.

Grade-separation (overpass)
presents aesthetics and visual impacts, as the
aesthetic appeal of bridges is becoming increasingly important to people. Minimizing or preventing negative visual impacts because of new overpass is expected in this concept.

Wpact on Traffic Operations Would reduce congestion at Route 30 and Speen Street intersection. The new overpass will take the majority of the
Speen Street through traffic out of the Speen Street through traffic out of the intersection. The savings in green time turns on Speen Street to improve traffic operations

Cost
High-cost
improvement
because of
grade
separat

## separation.

Require construction of a two-lane on-
ramp to the MassPike to plaza to avoid traffic merge on the westbound on-ramp.

Would reduce congestion at Route 30 Speen Street intersection. The new overpass will take the majority of the high-volume Speen Street northbound lefts out of the intersection. The savings in green time would be allocated to Route 30 and Speen Street movements to improve traffic operations.
Connection to the MassPike may introduce a tight curve becau

Traffic heading to MassPike westbound would have to weave across a travel lane, which could slow down traffic or create safety problems. With the all-electronic-toll system, traffic is expected to move faster and reduce congestion at the toll plaza.
Would reduce congestion at Route 30 and Speen Street intersection. The new overpass will take the majority of the lefts out of the inter Street northbound in green time would be allocated to Route 30 and Speen Street movements to improve traffic operations.

| Concept Description | Impact on Access and Egress | Impact on Wetland | Constructability | Impact on Right-of-Way | Aesthetic/Visual Impacts | Impact on Traffic Operations | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concept 4-GradeSeparated Median LeftTurn from Speen Street Northbound to MassPike (eastbound only) | Concept 4 is a variation of Concept 3 and it does not affect existing access and egress from businesses on Route 30 or Speen Street. | Minimal wetland impacts, grade separation of the northbound left turn would require slightly more space in the median to construct, which may impact adjacent wetland. | Construction staging in the median of busy Speen Street may prove difficult. In addition there is limited area for traffic work zones. Management of traffic during construction could worsen congestion on Route 30 and Speen Street. | Requires land takings in the northwest quadrant of Route 30 and Speen Street intersection, which may affect properties of Red Roof Inn and office building at 111 Speen Street. In addition, it would require land taking on the south leg of Speen Street; on the south leg of Speen Street the right-ofway varies from 80 feet wide in the Natick segment to 100 feet wide in the Framingham segment. | Grade separation (overpass) presents aesthetics and visual impacts, as the aesthetic appeal of bridges is becoming increasingly important to people. Minimizing or preventing negative visual impacts because of new overpass is expected in this concept. | Connection to the MassPike may introduce a tight curve because of the alignment of the new ramp. <br> Concept 4 avoids traffic weaving across to proceed to MassPike westbound; however, it would be difficult to prohibit/prevent motorists on the new ramp from doing so. <br> Concept 4 may not reduce congestion at Route 30 and Speen Street intersection during the PM peak period; because about $50 \%$ of the northbound Speen Street left turn movement would be expected to head westbound on the MassPike during the PM peak period. | High-cost improvement because of grade separation. |
| Concept 5-Continuous Flow Intersection on the South Leg of Speen Street | Would not impact access and egress to businesses at the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection because the improvement would be constructed only on the south leg of Speen Street. | Some wetland impacts; the improvement would require widening on the south leg of Speen Street in order to provide a free right turn for the high-volume Route 30 eastbound right turn movement. | Does not appear to present traffic management problems during construction, as the improvement involves mainly the reconfiguration of the Speen Street northbound left turn lanes by moving them to the left of the opposing through lanes. | Requires land takings along the south leg of Speen Street. For efficient operations, providing free right turns from Route 30 eastbound and maintaining proper alignment of the Speen Street through movements would require more space on the south leg of Speen Street. | Does not involve grade separation; all movements at the intersection will remain atgrade. | Would reduce congestion at the Route 30 and Speen Street intersection because the Speen Street northbound left and through movements can be serviced at the same time. <br> May result in a longer crosswalk on the south leg of Speen Street, which may affect pedestrians. | Low-cost improvement, involves no grade separation. |

### 6.4 Cochituate Rail Trail (CRT) Crossing at Route 30

### 6.4.1 Crossing Alternatives

Four different crossing alternatives were analyzed as part of this study:

- Alternative 1: Trail users would cross Route 30 at the Speen Street intersection (Figure 16)
- Alternative 2: Trail users would cross Route 30 at the TJX Companies driveway intersection (Figure 16)
- Alternative 3: Trail users would cross Route 30 at the existing track alignment (Figure 17)
- Alternative 4: Trail users would cross Route 30 at the existing track alignment using a pedestrian bridge accessible to all trail users, including people with disabilities (Figure 17)

The first three alternatives were analyzed qualitatively in a CRT study conducted for the Town of Natick in 2009. ${ }^{9}$ The detour diversion distances involved with Alternative 1 and Alternative 2 are 700 feet and 1150 feet, respectively.

### 6.4.2 Impacts of Alternatives

Trail usage counts are important for planning, especially for trail-highway crossings. The Boston Region MPO estimates trail usage based on the number of people living within one-half mile of the trail (population), the number of people who walk and bicycle in the community, the number and places of employment within a mile of the trail, and usage on other nearby trails. See Appendix F for the 2007 trail user counts on trails across Massachusetts. The Boston Region MPO projects that the CRT could generate about 100 trail users (all types of users combined) during peak hours on weekend day, and about 700 users per day. Table 7 presents safety impacts for trail users for each crossing alternative.

In summary, the notable safety impacts include but are not limited to:

- The lack of shoulders or bike lanes in the vicinity of the trail crossing would require bikers to walk their bikes to the intersections and share existing sidewalks with pedestrians.

[^8]


- The large number of trail users that would be exposed to high-volume traffic on Route 30 and driveways in the vicinity could create safety problem for trail users.
- Recurring PM peak period traffic queues in the vicinity of the trailhighway crossing could affect sight distance and safety for trail users.
- Overhead wires in the vicinity of the existing tracks could pose problems for construction of a pedestrian bridge.
- Site conditions present challenges for people with disabilities and the elderly.

MPO staff analyzed the traffic operations impacts of each crossing alternative and the results of the analysis presented in Table 8.

### 6.4.3 Preferred Alternative

MPO staff analyzed four crossing options to improve safety for trail users at the Route 30 crossing.

- Alternative 4 is the most effective and recommended by MPO staff, although it would be more expensive to install. If Alternative 4 is considered, the pedestrian bridge must be accessible for use by bicyclists and people with disabilities and be the appropriate height for trucks and other heavy vehicles (see insert above).
- Alternatives 1 and 2 are short-term and low-cost improvements but they are not effective. A potential difficulty with implementing Alternatives 1 and 2 would be channeling trail users to an intersection to avoid unsafe midblock crossing. In both alternatives, trail users would be diverted from the trail to an intersection and back to the trail for a total distance of 700 feet to 1,150 feet, which introduces significant delay for trail users.
- Alternative 3 is a short-term, medium-cost improvement and it provides trail users with a direct crossing along the path of the trail. Site conditions including long crosswalk, high traffic volumes, and traffic queues that could affect trail users' sight lines are some of the safety issues that make this alternative less effective compared to Alternative 4.


## Cochituate Rail-Trail Crossing Alternatives: Impacts

| Alternative | Operations and Safety Impacts |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alternative 1: <br> Crossing at Route 30 and Speen Street intersection | - Does not follow pedestrian desire lines <br> - Would have the greatest impact on traffic operations during peak periods <br> - Home Depot, Margaritas, and Cumberland Farms driveways could pose problems for trail users <br> - Bicyclists would need to walk their bikes to the intersection |
| Alternative 2: <br> Crossing at Route 30 and TJX driveway intersection | - Does not follow pedestrian desire lines <br> - Would pose lesser traffic operations impacts than Alternative 1 <br> - Bicyclists would need to walk their bikes to the intersection |
| Alternative 3: <br> A midblock crossing | - Follows pedestrian desire lines <br> - Would disrupt traffic on Route 30 <br> - No need to walk bicycles to and from an intersection <br> - Midblock crossing is complicated by: <br> - Long crosswalk (crossing four to five travel lanes) <br> - High volume of traffic on Route 30 <br> - Home Depot driveway could prevent installation of a pedestrian hybrid beacon (HAWK signals) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Alternative 4: Pedestrian bridge | - Follow pedestrian desire lines <br> - No need to walk bicycles to and from an intersection <br> - Would not interrupt traffic flow on Route 30 <br> - Overhead wires could pose problems for construction <br> - Space required for construction-may require some land takings |

Source: Central Transportation Planning Staff.

10 In reference to the 2009 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), guidance in Section 4F.02, when an engineering study finds that installation of a pedestrian hybrid beacon is justified, then: A: The pedestrian hybrid beacon should be installed at least 100 feet from side streets or driveways that are controlled by STOP or YIELD signs.

TABLE 8
Impacts of Trail Crossing Alternatives on Operations: Traffic Delay with and without CRT Trail Crossing

| Alternative | Without Crossing (seconds per vehicle) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { With } \\ \text { Crossing } \\ \text { (seconds } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { vehicle) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Change (seconds per vehicle) | Intersection Volume (vehicles) | Total <br> Increase in <br> Vehicle Delay (seconds) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour Intersection Vehicle Delay: | -- | -- | -- | -- | - |
| Alternative 1: Crossing at the TJX intersection | 18 | 21 | 3 | 1970 | 5910 |
| Alternative 2: Crossing at Speen Street intersection | 97 | 120 | 23 | 4800 | 110,400 |
| Alternative 3: Crossing at existing track alignment* | 0 | 10 | 10 | 1970 | 19,700 |
| Alternative 4: Crossing via pedestrian bridge | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PM Peak Hour Intersection Vehicle Delay: | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Alternative 1: Crossing at the TJX intersection | 38 | 44 | 6 | 1720 | 10,320 |
| Alternative 2: Crossing at Speen Street intersection | 71 | 91 | 20 | 5020 | 100,400 |
| Alternative 3:Crossing at existing track alignment* | 0 | 9 | 9 | 1790 | 16,110 |
| Alternative 4: Crossing via pedestrian bridge | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Crossing at pedestrian-activated signal or beacon. CRT = Cochituate Ral Notes: 1) Analysis was conducted with existing traffic counts. 2) Pedestria Source: Central Transportation Planning Staff. | rail. <br> alls per hour $=$ |  |  |  |  |



Example of accessible pedestrian bridge (Arthur Fiedler Bridge, Boston)

## 7 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND NEXT STEPS

The study of the Route 30 segment between the TJX driveway and the intersection at Ring Road/Shopper's World Way examined existing conditions and identified short- and long-term improvement concepts.
The main concerns in this segment relate to connections to the MassPike; peak hour delays and queues, especially at the Route 30/Speen Street intersection during the PM peak period; an incomplete network for bicyclist and pedestrian circulation, including crossing the Cochituate Rail Trail at Route 30, east of Speen Street; and congestion and safety concerns related to driveway access, including the TJX driveway.

The study identified three options for closing the gaps in the existing bicycle and pedestrian circulation, including recommendations for signs and markings; traffic signal retiming schemes at four signalized intersections; traffic management options for the Route 30 segment between Speen Street and the MassPike onramp; and, six medium- and long-term concepts for reconfiguring the connection of Speen Street and Route 30 to the MassPike, including adding a westbound traffic lane to Route 30 from the TJX driveway to the I-90 connector.

Long-term improvements in the studied Route 30 segment hinge upon improved regional connections between the MassPike and the local network that serves Framingham and Natick, and other municipalities. Examining the design and feasibility of various connection options of Route 30 and Speen Street to the MassPike is particularly timely. MassDOT is planning to remove the toll plazas throughout the MassPike by replacing them with MassDOT's All Electronic Tolling system. Connection options to I-90 from Framingham and Natick should be designed including the parameters and assumptions built into MassDOT's AET project.
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## Appendix A: Turning Movement and Automatic Traffic Recorder Counts

# Massachusetts Department of Transpartation-Fighway Division <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection 

Framingham
Route 30 at Speen Street
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 4

File Name: S12-078TM4
Site Code : 86815
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Car - Truck - Motorcycle

|  | Speen Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Speen Street From South |  |  |  | Eastbound Street From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 82 | 76 | 29 | 187 | 5 | 51 | 17 | 73 | 55 | 73 | 188 | 316 | 119 | 132 | 29 | 280 | 856 |
| 07:15 AM | 108 | 57 | 20 | 185 | 6 | 64 | 25 | 95 | 72 | 82 | 194 | 348 | 106 | 164 | 18 | 288 | 916 |
| 07:30 AM | 81 | 80 | 17 | 178 | 5 | 71 | 24 | 100 | 79 | 88 | 152 | 319 | 127 | 157 | 49 | 333 | 930 |
| 07:45 AM | 56 | 106 | 17 | 179 | 10 | 63 | 25 | 98 | 85 | 125 | 178 | 388 | 182 | 164 | 46 | 392 | 1057 |
| Total | 327 | 319 | 83 | 729 | 26 | 249 | 91 | 366 | 291 | 368 | 712 | 1371 | 534 | 617 | 142 | 1293 | 3759 |
| 08:00 AM | 58 | 84 | 24 | 166 | 13 | 75 | 34 | 122 | 70 | 91 | 168 | 329 | 226 | 223 | 71 | 520 | 1137 |
| 08:15 AM | 44 | 91 | 22 | 157 | 13 | 72 | 43 | 128 | 71 | 135 | 121 | 327 | 245 | 196 | 60 | 501 | 1113 |
| 08:30 AM | 44 | 80 | 23 | 147 | 18 | 93 | 40 | 151 | 79 | 136 | 143 | 358 | 237 | 219 | 59 | 515 | 1171 |
| 08:45 AM | 50 | 80 | 13 | 143 | 24 | 78 | 34 | 136 | 112 | 132 | 121 | 365 | 218 | 257 | 93 | 568 | 1212 |
| Total | 196 | 335 | 82 | 613 | 68 | 318 | 151 | 537 | 332 | 494 | 553 | 1379 | 926 | 895 | 283 | 2104 | 4633 |


| 04:00 PM | 101 | 99 | 16 | 216 | 9 | 156 | 81 | 246 | 40 | 72 | 185 | 297 | 202 | 109 | 25 | 336 | 1095 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 85 | 89 | 26 | 200 | 13 | 137 | 61 | 211 | 42 | 68 | 213 | 323 | 190 | 108 | 26 | 324 | 1058 |
| 04:30 PM | 128 | 137 | 13 | 278 | 8 | 140 | 66 | 214 | 29 | 92 | 190 | 311 | 198 | 83 | 24 | 305 | 1108 |
| 04:45 PM | 134 | 112 | 15 | 261 | 17 | 179 | 65 | 261 | 37 | 97 | 201 | 335 | 198 | 107 | 14 | 319 | 1176 |
| Total | 448 | 437 | 70 | 955 | 47 | 612 | 273 | 932 | 148 | 329 | 789 | 1266 | 788 | 407 | 89 | 1284 | 4437 |
| 05:00 PM | 150 | 112 | 25 | 287 | 10 | 209 | 74 | 293 | 45 | 89 | 205 | 339 | 212 | 100 | 20 | 332 | 1251 |
| 05:15 PM | 157 | 128 | 28 | 313 | 10 | 187 | 86 | 283 | 41 | 71 | 173 | 285 | 245 | 120 | 19 | 384 | 1265 |
| 05:30 PM | 158 | 150 | 27 | 335 | 10 | 165 | 65 | 240 | 45 | 104 | 207 | 356 | 232 | 102 | 17 | 351 | 1282 |
| 05:45 PM | 105 | 149 | 14 | 268 | 9 | 168 | 78 | 255 | 48 | 94 | 161 | 303 | 234 | 105 | 18 | 357 | 1183 |
| Total | 570 | 539 | 94 | 1203 | 39 | 729 | 303 | 1071 | 179 | 358 | 746 | 1283 | 923 | 427 | 74 | 1424 | 4981 |
| Grand Total | 1541 | 1630 | 329 | 3500 | 180 | 1908 | 818 | 2906 | 950 | 1549 | 2800 | 5299 | 3171 | 2346 | 588 | 6105 | 17810 |
| Apprch \% | 44 | 46.6 | 9.4 |  | 6.2 | 65.7 | 28.1 |  | 17.9 | 29.2 | 52.8 |  | 51.9 | 38.4 | 9.6 |  |  |
| Total \% | 8.7 | 9.2 | 1.8 | 19.7 | 1 | 10.7 | 4.6 | 16.3 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 15.7 | 29.8 | 17.8 | 13.2 | 3.3 | 34.3 |  |
| Car | 1527 | 1597 | 321 | 3445 | 175 | 1871 | 798 | 2844 | 927 | 1524 | 2734 | 5185 | 3103 | 2294 | 583 | 5980 | 17454 |
| \% Car | 99.1 | 98 | 97.6 | 98.4 | 97.2 | 98.1 | 97.6 | 97.9 | 97.6 | 98.4 | 97.6 | 97.8 | 97.9 | 97.8 | 99.1 | 98 | 98 |
| Truck | 14 | 33 | 8 | 55 | 5 | 37 | 20 | 62 | 23 | 25 | 66 | 114 | 68 | 51 | 5 | 124 | 355 |
| \% Truck | 0.9 | 2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 2 | 2 |
| Motorcycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \% Motorcycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# Massachusetts Department of Transpartation-Ftighway Divisian <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection 

File Name: S12-078TM4
Site Code : 86815
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 2

|  | Speen Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Speen Street From South |  |  |  | Eastbound Street From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 58 | 84 | 24 | 166 | 13 | 75 | 34 | 122 | 70 | 91 | 168 | 329 | 226 | 223 | 71 | 520 | 1137 |
| 08:15 AM | 44 | 91 | 22 | 157 | 13 | 72 | 43 | 128 | 71 | 135 | 121 | 327 | 245 | 196 | 60 | 501 | 1113 |
| 08:30 AM | 44 | 80 | 23 | 147 | 18 | 93 | 40 | 151 | 79 | 136 | 143 | 358 | 237 | 219 | 59 | 515 | 1171 |
| 08:45 AM | 50 | 80 | 13 | 143 | 24 | 78 | 34 | 136 | 112 | 132 | 121 | 365 | 218 | 257 | 93 | 568 | 1212 |
| Total Volume | 196 | 335 | 82 | 613 | 68 | 318 | 151 | 537 | 332 | 494 | 553 | 1379 | 926 | 895 | 283 | 2104 | 4633 |
| \% App. Total | 32 | 54.6 | 13.4 |  | 12.7 | 59.2 | 28.1 |  | 24.1 | 35.8 | 40.1 |  | 44 | 42.5 | 13.5 |  |  |
| PHF | . 845 | . 920 | . 854 | . 923 | . 708 | . 855 | . 878 | . 889 | . 741 | . 908 | . 823 | . 945 | . 945 | . 871 | . 761 | . 926 | . 956 |



# Massachusetts Department of Jranspartation-Fighway Division <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection 

File Name: S12-078TM4
Site Code : 86815
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 3

|  | Speen Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Speen Street From South |  |  |  | Eastbound Street From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 150 | 112 | 25 | 287 | 10 | 209 | 74 | 293 | 45 | 89 | 205 | 339 | 212 | 100 | 20 | 332 | 1251 |
| 05:15 PM | 157 | 128 | 28 | 313 | 10 | 187 | 86 | 283 | 41 | 71 | 173 | 285 | 245 | 120 | 19 | 384 | 1265 |
| 05:30 PM | 158 | 150 | 27 | 335 | 10 | 165 | 65 | 240 | 45 | 104 | 207 | 356 | 232 | 102 | 17 | 351 | 1282 |
| 05:45 PM | 105 | 149 | 14 | 268 | 9 | 168 | 78 | 255 | 48 | 94 | 161 | 303 | 234 | 105 | 18 | 357 | 1183 |
| Total Volume | 570 | 539 | 94 | 1203 | 39 | 729 | 303 | 1071 | 179 | 358 | 746 | 1283 | 923 | 427 | 74 | 1424 | 4981 |
| \% App. Total | 47.4 | 44.8 | 7.8 |  | 3.6 | 68.1 | 28.3 |  | 14 | 27.9 | 58.1 |  | 64.8 | 30 | 5.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 902 | . 898 | . 839 | . 898 | . 975 | . 872 | . 881 | . 914 | . 932 | . 861 | . 901 | . 901 | . 942 | . 890 | . 925 | . 927 | . 971 |



## Massachusetts Department of Transpartation-Ftighway Diuisian <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 at Speen Street
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 4

File Name: S12-078TM4
Site Code : 86815
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Car

|  | Speen Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Speen Street From South |  |  |  | Eastbound Street From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 82 | 75 | 29 | 186 | 5 | 48 | 17 | 70 | 53 | 71 | 185 | 309 | 111 | 129 | 29 | 269 | 834 |
| 07:15 AM | 108 | 57 | 20 | 185 | 6 | 63 | 25 | 94 | 69 | 77 | 190 | 336 | 98 | 161 | 18 | 277 | 892 |
| 07:30 AM | 79 | 77 | 17 | 173 | 5 | 70 | 23 | 98 | 78 | 88 | 147 | 313 | 122 | 151 | 49 | 322 | 906 |
| 07:45 AM | 56 | 100 | 16 | 172 | 9 | 60 | 25 | 94 | 83 | 122 | 172 | 377 | 177 | 159 | 44 | 380 | 1023 |
| Total | 325 | 309 | 82 | 716 | 25 | 241 | 90 | 356 | 283 | 358 | 694 | 1335 | 508 | 600 | 140 | 1248 | 3655 |
| 08:00 AM | 57 | 84 | 24 | 165 | 13 | 71 | 30 | 114 | 66 | 90 | 162 | 318 | 217 | 212 | 71 | 500 | 1097 |
| 08:15 AM | 44 | 89 | 20 | 153 | 13 | 72 | 41 | 126 | 70 | 132 | 113 | 315 | 242 | 193 | 60 | 495 | 1089 |
| 08:30 AM | 41 | 75 | 22 | 138 | 18 | 91 | 37 | 146 | 76 | 132 | 136 | 344 | 232 | 212 | 59 | 503 | 1131 |
| 08:45 AM | 49 | 79 | 13 | 141 | 23 | 76 | 34 | 133 | 108 | 129 | 117 | 354 | 216 | 253 | 92 | 561 | 1189 |
| Total | 191 | 327 | 79 | 597 | 67 | 310 | 142 | 519 | 320 | 483 | 528 | 1331 | 907 | 870 | 282 | 2059 | 4506 |


| 04:00 PM | 100 | 98 | 16 | 214 | 8 | 152 | 77 | 237 | 39 | 72 | 183 | 294 | 195 | 107 | 25 | 327 | 1072 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 83 | 84 | 23 | 190 | 13 | 131 | 60 | 204 | 42 | 68 | 211 | 321 | 186 | 107 | 26 | 319 | 1034 |
| 04:30 PM | 126 | 134 | 13 | 273 | 8 | 137 | 64 | 209 | 28 | 90 | 188 | 306 | 196 | 78 | 24 | 298 | 1086 |
| 04:45 PM | 134 | 110 | 15 | 259 | 16 | 177 | 64 | 257 | 36 | 97 | 195 | 328 | 194 | 107 | 14 | 315 | 1159 |
| Total | 443 | 426 | 67 | 936 | 45 | 597 | 265 | 907 | 145 | 327 | 777 | 1249 | 771 | 399 | 89 | 1259 | 4351 |
| 05:00 PM | 150 | 111 | 24 | 285 | 10 | 206 | 74 | 290 | 45 | 88 | 202 | 335 | 210 | 100 | 20 | 330 | 1240 |
| 05:15 PM | 156 | 128 | 28 | 312 | 10 | 185 | 86 | 281 | 41 | 70 | 170 | 281 | 245 | 120 | 19 | 384 | 1258 |
| 05:30 PM | 158 | 148 | 27 | 333 | 9 | 164 | 63 | 236 | 45 | 104 | 204 | 353 | 231 | 100 | 16 | 347 | 1269 |
| 05:45 PM | 104 | 148 | 14 | 266 | 9 | 168 | 78 | 255 | 48 | 94 | 159 | 301 | 231 | 105 | 17 | 353 | 1175 |
| Total | 568 | 535 | 93 | 1196 | 38 | 723 | 301 | 1062 | 179 | 356 | 735 | 1270 | 917 | 425 | 72 | 1414 | 4942 |
| Grand Total | 1527 | 1597 | 321 | 3445 | 175 | 1871 | 798 | 2844 | 927 | 1524 | 2734 | 5185 | 3103 | 2294 | 583 | 5980 | 17454 |
| Apprch \% | 44.3 | 46.4 | 9.3 |  | 6.2 | 65.8 | 28.1 |  | 17.9 | 29.4 | 52.7 |  | 51.9 | 38.4 | 9.7 |  |  |
| Total \% | 8.7 | 9.1 | 1.8 | 19.7 | 1 | 10.7 | 4.6 | 16.3 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 15.7 | 29.7 | 17.8 | 13.1 | 3.3 | 34.3 |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Jranspartation-Ftighway Division <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 at Speen Street
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 4

File Name: S12-078TM4
Site Code : 86815
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Truck

|  | Speen Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Speen Street From South |  |  |  | Eastbound Street From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 22 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 24 |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 24 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 34 |
| Total | 2 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 36 | 26 | 17 | 2 | 45 | 104 |
| 08:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 40 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 24 |
| 08:30 AM | 3 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 40 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 23 |
| Total | 5 | 8 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 25 | 48 | 19 | 25 | 1 | 45 | 127 |


| 04:00 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 2 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 22 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 |
| Total | 5 | 11 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 15 | 8 | 25 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 85 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 13 |
| 05:45 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 |
| Total | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 39 |
| Grand Total | 14 | 33 | 8 | 55 | 5 | 37 | 20 | 62 | 23 | 25 | 66 | 114 | 68 | 51 | 5 | 124 | 355 |
| Apprch \% | 25.5 | 60 | 14.5 |  | 8.1 | 59.7 | 32.3 |  | 20.2 | 21.9 | 57.9 |  | 54.8 | 41.1 | 4 |  |  |
| Total \% | 3.9 | 9.3 | 2.3 | 15.5 | 1.4 | 10.4 | 5.6 | 17.5 | 6.5 | 7 | 18.6 | 32.1 | 19.2 | 14.4 | 1.4 | 34.9 |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Iranspartation-FHighway Diuisian

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 at Speen Street
File Name: S12-078TM4
Counted by Miovision
Site Code : 86815
S12-078 TMC \# 4
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Motorcycle

|  | Speen Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Speen Street From South |  |  |  | Eastbound Street From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |


| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Jranspartation-Ftighway Division

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
File Name: S12-078TM4
Route 30 at Speen Street
Site Code : 86815
Counted by Miovision
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- People


| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |


| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | . | 11 | 0 | 01 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | 4 |


| Grand Total | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apprch \% | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |
| Total \% | 12.5 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Sranspartation-Ftighway Diuisian <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 at Speen Street
File Name : S12-078TM4

Counted by Miovision
Site Code : 86815

S12-078 TMC \# 4
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Pedal Bike (Crosswalk)


| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apprch \% | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Sranspartation-Highway Diuisian <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 at Burr Street
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 3

File Name: S12-078TM3
Site Code : 86814
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

|  | Burr Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From East |  |  |  | Burr Street From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 2 | 25 | 28 | 71 | 158 | 0 | 229 | 52 | 8 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 267 | 15 | 282 | 599 |
| 07:15 AM | 1 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 71 | 202 | 0 | 273 | 74 | 10 | 0 | 84 | 1 | 297 | 18 | 316 | 688 |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 2 | 23 | 27 | 103 | 211 | 0 | 314 | 57 | 4 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 234 | 14 | 248 | 650 |
| 07:45 AM | 6 | 6 | 25 | 37 | 161 | 270 | 1 | 432 | 58 | 21 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 249 | 25 | 274 | 822 |
| Total | 10 | 14 | 83 | 107 | 406 | 841 | 1 | 1248 | 241 | 43 | 0 | 284 | 1 | 1047 | 72 | 1120 | 2759 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 8 | 25 | 35 | 187 | 229 | 0 | 416 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 196 | 39 | 236 | 736 |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 3 | 21 | 27 | 225 | 263 | 0 | 488 | 37 | 20 | 1 | 58 | 2 | 184 | 24 | 210 | 783 |
| 08:30 AM | 5 | 8 | 19 | 32 | 282 | 264 | 1 | 547 | 44 | 18 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 155 | 28 | 184 | 825 |
| 08:45 AM | 8 | 6 | 14 | 28 | 313 | 234 | 2 | 549 | 33 | 28 | 2 | 63 | 1 | 171 | 49 | 221 | 861 |
| Total | 18 | 25 | 79 | 122 | 1007 | 990 | 3 | 2000 | 155 | 74 | 3 | 232 | 5 | 706 | 140 | 851 | 3205 |


| 04:00 PM | 23 | 14 | 80 | 117 | 50 | 263 | 0 | 313 | 104 | 17 | 3 | 124 | 5 | 227 | 18 | 250 | 804 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 27 | 15 | 53 | 95 | 63 | 300 | 1 | 364 | 96 | 14 | 4 | 114 | 1 | 223 | 14 | 238 | 811 |
| 04:30 PM | 8 | 17 | 100 | 125 | 55 | 302 | 3 | 360 | 95 | 21 | 3 | 119 | 2 | 256 | 29 | 287 | 891 |
| 04:45 PM | 18 | 6 | 79 | 103 | 66 | 314 | 0 | 380 | 97 | 20 | 5 | 122 | 3 | 226 | 27 | 256 | 861 |
| Total | 76 | 52 | 312 | 440 | 234 | 1179 | 4 | 1417 | 392 | 72 | 15 | 479 | 11 | 932 | 88 | 1031 | 3367 |
| 05:00 PM | 10 | 6 | 138 | 154 | 54 | 320 | 1 | 375 | 118 | 13 | 3 | 134 | 5 | 256 | 13 | 274 | 937 |
| 05:15 PM | 16 | 18 | 101 | 135 | 66 | 333 | 0 | 399 | 116 | 15 | 4 | 135 | 4 | 235 | 21 | 260 | 929 |
| 05:30 PM | 24 | 15 | 117 | 156 | 62 | 319 | 0 | 381 | 74 | 13 | 0 | 87 | 4 | 247 | 18 | 269 | 893 |
| 05:45 PM | 20 | 15 | 99 | 134 | 98 | 352 | 1 | 451 | 77 | 21 | 3 | 101 | 5 | 182 | 27 | 214 | 900 |
| Total | 70 | 54 | 455 | 579 | 280 | 1324 | 2 | 1606 | 385 | 62 | 10 | 457 | 18 | 920 | 79 | 1017 | 3659 |
| Grand Total | 174 | 145 | 929 | 1248 | 1927 | 4334 | 10 | 6271 | 1173 | 251 | 28 | 1452 | 35 | 3605 | 379 | 4019 | 12990 |
| Apprch \% | 13.9 | 11.6 | 74.4 |  | 30.7 | 69.1 | 0.2 |  | 80.8 | 17.3 | 1.9 |  | 0.9 | 89.7 | 9.4 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.3 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 9.6 | 14.8 | 33.4 | 0.1 | 48.3 | 9 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 11.2 | 0.3 | 27.8 | 2.9 | 30.9 |  |
| Car | 170 | 145 | 924 | 1239 | 1908 | 4226 | 10 | 61.44 | 1155 | 248 | 28 | 1431 | 34 | 3526 | 376 | 3936 | 12750 |
| \% Car | 97.7 | 100 | 99.5 | 99.3 | 99 | 97.5 | 100 | 98 | 98.5 | 98.8 | 100 | 98.6 | 97.1 | 97.8 | 99.2 | 97.9 | 98.2 |
| Truck | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 19 | 107 | 0 | 126 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 78 | 3 | 82 | 238 |
| \% Truck | 2.3 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1 | 2.5 | 0 | 2 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 2 | 1.8 |
| Motorcycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| \% Matorcycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# Massachusetts Department of Transpartation-Fighway Division <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection 

File Name: S12-078TM3
Site Code : 86814
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 2

|  | Burr Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From East |  |  |  | Burr Street From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for E | tire In | sectio | Begin | at 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 8 | 25 | 35 | 187 | 229 | 0 | 416 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 196 | 39 | 236 | 736 |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 3 | 21 | 27 | 225 | 263 | 0 | 488 | 37 | 20 | 1 | 58 | 2 | 184 | 24 | 210 | 783 |
| 08:30 AM | 5 | 8 | 19 | 32 | 282 | 264 | 1 | 547 | 44 | 18 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 155 | 28 | 184 | 825 |
| 08:45 AM | 8 | 6 | 14 | 28 | 313 | 234 | 2 | 549 | 33 | 28 | 2 | 63 | 1 | 171 | 49 | 221 | 861 |
| Total Volume | 18 | 25 | 79 | 122 | 1007 | 990 | 3 | 2000 | 155 | 74 | 3 | 232 | 5 | 706 | 140 | 851 | 3205 |
| \% App. Total | 14.8 | 20.5 | 64.8 |  | 50.3 | 49.5 | 0.2 |  | 66.8 | 31.9 | 1.3 |  | 0:6 | 83 | 16.5 |  |  |
| PHF | . 563 | . 781 | . 790 | . 871 | . 804 | . 938 | . 375 | . 911 | . 881 | . 661 | . 375 | . 921 | . 625 | . 901 | . 714 | . 901 | . 931 |



## Massachusetts Department of Jranspartation-Ftighway Division

File Name : S12-078TM3
Site Code : 86814
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 3

|  | Burr Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From East |  |  |  | Burr Street From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for E | tire In | sectio | Begin | at 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 10 | 6 | 138 | 154 | 54 | 320 | 1 | 375 | 118 | 13 | 3 | 134 | 5 | 256 | 13 | 274 | 937 |
| 05:15 PM | 16 | 18 | 101 | 135 | 66 | 333 | 0 | 399 | 116 | 15 | 4 | 135 | 4 | 235 | 21 | 260 | 929 |
| 05:30 PM | 24 | 15 | 117 | 156 | 62 | 319 | 0 | 381 | 74 | 13 | 0 | 87 | 4 | 247 | 18 | 269 | 893 |
| 05:45 PM | 20 | 15 | 99 | 134 | 98 | 352 | 1 | 451 | 77 | 21 | 3 | 101 | 5 | 182 | 27 | 214 | 900 |
| Total Volume | 70 | 54 | 455 | 579 | 280 | 1324 | 2 | 1606 | 385 | 62 | 10 | 457 | 18 | 920 | 79 | 1017 | 3659 |
| \% App. Total | 12.1 | 9.3 | 78.6 |  | 17.4 | 82.4 | 0.1 |  | 84.2 | 13.6 | 2.2 |  | 1.8 | 90.5 | 7.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 729 | . 750 | . 824 | . 928 | . 714 | . 940 | . 500 | . 890 | . 816 | 738 | . 625 | . 846 | 900 | . 898 | . 731 | . 928 | . 976 |



## Massachusetts Department of Iranspartation-Ftighway Division

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 at Burr Street
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 3

File Name: S12-078TM3
Site Code : 86814
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Car

|  | Burr Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From East |  |  |  | Burr Street From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 2 | 25 | 28 | 69 | 152 | 0 | 221 | 52 | 8 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 259 | 15 | 274 | 583 |
| 07:15 AM | 1 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 71 | 193 | 0 | 264 | 74 | 10 | 0 | 84 | 1 | 295 | 17 | 313 | 675 |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 2 | 23 | 27 | 101 | 205 | 0 | 306 | 52 | 3 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 226 | 14 | 240 | 628 |
| 07:45 AM | 6 | 6 | 25 | 37 | 158 | 263 | 1 | 422 | 58 | 21 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 235 | 25 | 260 | 798 |
| Total | 10 | 14 | 82 | 106 | 399 | 813 | 1 | 1213 | 236 | 42 | 0 | 278 | 1 | 1015 | 71 | 1087 | 2684 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 8 | 23 | 31 | 185 | 216 | 0 | 401 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 187 | 38 | 226 | 707 |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 3 | 20 | 26 | 222 | 251 | 0 | 473 | 37 | 19 | 1 | 57 | 2 | 181 | 24 | 207 | 763 |
| 08:30 AM | 5 | 8 | 19 | 32 | 281 | 251 | 1 | 533 | 41 | 18 | 0 | 59 | 1 | 145 | 28 | 174 | 798 |
| 08:45 AM | 8 | 6 | 14 | 28 | 312 | 229 | 2 | 543 | 33 | 28 | 2 | 63 | 1 | 167 | 48 | 216 | 850 |
| Total | 16 | 25 | 76 | 117 | 1000 | 947 | 3 | 1950 | 152 | 73 | 3 | 228 | 5 | 680 | 138 | 823 | 3118 |


| 04:00 PM | 23 | 14 | 79 | 116 | 50 | 261 | 0 | 311 | 101 | 17 | 3 | 121 | 5 | 222 | 18 | 245 | 793 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 26 | 15 | 53 | 94 | 60 | 286 | 1 | 347 | 94 | 14 | 4 | 112 | 1 | 219 | 14 | 234 | 787 |
| 04:30 PM | 8 | 17 | 100 | 125 | 55 | 300 | 3 | 358 | 92 | 21 | 3 | 116 | 2 | 253 | 29 | 284 | 883 |
| 04:45 PM | 18 | 6 | 79 | 103 | 65 | 309 | 0 | 374 | 96 | 19 | 5 | 120 | 2 | 224 | 27 | 253 | 850 |
| Total | 75 | 52 | 311 | 438 | 230 | 1156 | 4 | 1390 | 383 | 71 | 15 | 469 | 10 | 918 | 88 | 1016 | 3313 |
| 05:00 PM | 9 | 6 | 138 | 153 | 54 | 318 | 1 | 373 | 117 | 13 | 3 | 133 | 5 | 255 | 13 | 273 | 932 |
| 05:15 PM | 16 | 18 | 101 | 135 | 66 | 329 | 0 | 395 | 116 | 15 | 4 | 135 | 4 | 234 | 21 | 259 | 924 |
| 05:30 PM | 24 | 15 | 117 | 156 | 62 | 316 | 0 | 378 | 74 | 13 | 0 | 87 | 4 | 244 | 18 | 266 | 887 |
| 05:45 PM | 20 | 15 | 99 | 134 | 97 | 347 | 1 | 445 | 77 | 21 | 3 | 101 | 5 | 180 | 27 | 212 | 892 |
| Total | 69 | 54 | 455 | 578 | 279 | 1310 | 2 | 1591 | 384 | 62 | 10 | 456 | 18 | 913 | 79 | 1010 | 3635 |
| Grand Total | 170 | 145 | 924 | 1239 | 1908 | 4226 | 10 | 6144 | 1155 | 248 | 28 | 1431 | 34 | 3526 | 376 | 3936 | 12750 |
| Apprch \% | 13.7 | 11.7 | 74.6 |  | 31.1 | 68.8 | 0.2 |  | 80.7 | 17.3 | 2 |  | 0.9 | 89.6 | 9.6 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.3 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 9.7 | 15 | 33.1 | 0.1 | 48.2 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 11.2 | 0.3 | 27.7 | 2.9 | 30.9 |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Sranspartation-Fighway Division <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 at Burr Street
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 3

File Name: S12-078TM3
Site Code : 86814
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Truck

|  | Burr Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From East |  |  |  | Burr Street From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 16 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 13 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 22 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 24 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 28 | 0 | 35 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 33 | 75 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 29 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 27 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 11 |
| Total | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 43 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 28 | 87 |


| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 23 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 27 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 53 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 23 |
| Grand Total | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 19 | 107 | 0 | 126 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 78 | 3 | 82 | 238 |
| Apprch \% | 44.4 | 0 | 55.6 |  | 15.1 | 84.9 | 0 |  | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0 |  | 1.2 | 95.1 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.7 | 0 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 8 | 45 | 0 | 52.9 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 0 | 8.8 | 0.4 | 32.8 | 1.3 | 34.5 |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Transpartation-Highway Diwision

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 at Burr Street
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 3

File Name: S12-078TM3
Site Code : 86814
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Motorcycle

|  | Burr Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road .From East |  |  |  | Burr Street From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |


| 04:15 PM \| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 05:30 PM \| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 50 |  |

Massachusetts Department of Sranspartation-Highway Diuisian
Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 at Burr Street
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 3

File Name: S12-078TM3
Site Code : 86814
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

|  | Burr Street From North |  | Cochituate Road From East |  | Burr Street From South |  | Cochituate Road From West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. T | Int. Total |


| 08:30 AM \| | 1 | 1 \| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:30 PM \| | 1 | 1 \| | 0 | 01 | 0 | 01 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand Total Apprch \% Total \% | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 100 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 2 |

## Massachusetts Department of Sranspartation-Fighway Divisian <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection

| Framingham | File Name: : 12 -078TM3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Route 30 at Burr Street | Site Code $: 86814$ |
| Counted by Miovision | Start Date $: 11 / 29 / 2012$ |
| S12-078 TMC \# 3 | Page No :1 |


| Groups Printed- Pedal Bike (Crosswalk) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Burr Street From North |  | Cochituate Road From East |  | Burr Street From South |  | Cochituate Road From West |  |  |
| Start Time | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total |  |



# Massachusetts Department of Sranspartation-Htighway Diuision 

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Rte 30 @ Shoppers World Dr/Whittier St.
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 2

File Name: S12-078TM2
Site Code : 86813
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Car - Truck - Motorcycle

|  | Whittier Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Shoppers World Drive From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 4 | 12 | 47 | 63 | 18 | 114 | 23 | 155 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 15 |  | 246 | 2 | 252 | 485 |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 29 | 62 | 95 | 23 | 162 | 34 | 219 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 17 | 8 | 247 | 5 | 260 | 591 |
| 07:30 AM | 11 | 25 | 43 | 79 | 20 | 162 | 26 | 208 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 15 | 14 | 208 | , | 226 | 528 |
| 07:45 AM | 8 | 33 | 54 | 95 | 24 | 207 | 39 | 270 | 5 | 19 | 7 | 31 | 18 | 222 | 19 | 259 | 655 |
| Total | 27 | 99 | 206 | 332 | 85 | 645 | 122 | 852 | 23 | 37 | 18 | 78 | 44 | 923 | 30 | 997 | 2259 |
| 08:00 AM | 6 | 28 | 35 | 69 | 29 | 163 | 40 | 232 | 6 | 21 | 5 | 32 | 16 | 188 | 23 | 227 | 560 |
| 08:15 AM | 11 | 31 | 32 | 74 | 31 | 205 | 43 | 279 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 177 | 18 | 202 | 572 |
| 08:30 AM | 11 | 39 | 41 | 91 | 31 | 184 | 59 | 274 | 8 | 34 | 10 | 52 | 13 | 154 | 15 | 182 | 599 |
| 08:45 AM | 7 | 37 | 25 | 69 | 52 | 148 | 47 | 247 | 7 | 30 | 9 | 46 | 16 | 167 | 15 | 198 | 560 |
| Total | 35 | 135 | 133 | 303 | 143 | 700 | 189 | 1032 | 23 | 96 | 28 | 147 | 52 | 686 | 71 | 809 | 2291 |


| 04:00 PM | 18 | 79 | 65 | 162 | 49 | 192 | 80 | 321 | 28 | 62 | 20 | 110 | 19 | 138 | 9 | 166 | 759 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 16 | 72 | 48 | 136 | 53 | 211 | 78 | 342 | 23 | 60 | 24 | 107 | 26 | 178 | 9 | 213 | 798 |
| 04:30 PM | 18 | 93 | 65 | 176 | 47 | 190 | 76 | 313 | 22 | 59 | 21 | 102 | 31 | 177 | 5 | 213 | 804 |
| 04:45 PM | 25 | 65 | 59 | 149 | 52 | 210 | 77 | 339 | 23 | 68 | 22 | 113 | 30 | 159 | 5 | 194 | 795 |
| Total | 77 | 309 | 237 | 623 | 201 | 803 | 311 | 1315 | 96 | 249 | 87 | 432 | 106 | 652 | 28 | 786 | 3156 |
| 05:00 PM | 28 | 88 | 102 | 218 | 41 | 210 | 73 | 324 | 22 | 49 | 29 | 100 | 34 | 142 | 4 | 180 | 822 |
| 05:15 PM | 26 | 91 | 67 | 184 | 50 | 213 | 78 | 341 | 33 | 55 | 24 | 112 | 29 | 143 | 18 | 190 | 827 |
| 05:30 PM | 24 | 92 | 75 | 191 | 65 | 238 | 94 | 397 | 28 | 64 | 25 | 117 | 20 | 173 | 12 | 205 | 910 |
| 05:45 PM | 17 | 77 | 48 | 142 | 45 | 275 | 76 | 396 | 32 | 62 | 25 | 119 | 26 | 134 | 24 | 184 | 841 |
| Total | 95 | 348 | 292 | 735 | 201 | 936 | 321 | 1458 | 115 | 230 | 103 | 448 | 109 | 592 | 58 | 759 | 3400 |
| Grand Total | 234 | 891 | 868 | 1993 | 630 | 3084 | 943 | 4657 | 257 | 612 | 236 | 1105 | 311 | 2853 | 187 | 3351 | 11106 |
| Apprch \% | 11.7 | 44.7 | 43.6 |  | 13.5 | 66.2 | 20.2 |  | 23.3 | 55.4 | 21.4 |  | 9.3 | 85.1 | 5.6 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.1 | 8 | 7.8 | 17.9 | 5.7 | 27.8 | 8.5 | 41.9 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 9.9 | 2.8 | 25.7 | 1.7 | 30.2 |  |
| Car | 231 | 880 | 865 | 1976 | 625 | 2995 | 921 | 4541 | 254 | 607 | 233 | 1094 | 308 | 2782 | 180 | 3270 | 10881 |
| \% Car | 98.7 | 98.8 | 99.7 | 99.1 | 99.2 | 97.1 | 97.7 | 97.5 | 98.8 | 99.2 | 98.7 | 99 | 99 | 97.5 | 96.3 | 97.6 | 98 |
| Truck | 3 | 11 | 3 | 17 | 5 | 89 | 22 | 116 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 70 | 7 | 80 | 224 |
| \% Truck | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1 | 1 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 2 |
| Motorcycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \% Motorcycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Massachusetts Department of Iranspartation-FHighway Diuision

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

File Name: S12-078TM2
Site Code : 86813
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 2

|  | Whittier Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Shoppers World Drive From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 8 | 33 | 54 | 95 | 24 | 207 | 39 | 270 | 5 | 19 | 7 | 31 | 18 | 222 | 19 | 259 | 655 |
| 08:00 AM | 6 | 28 | 35 | 69 | 29 | 163 | 40 | 232 | 6 | 21 | 5 | 32 | 16 | 188 | 23 | 227 | 560 |
| 08:15 AM | 11 | 31 | 32 | 74 | 31 | 205 | 43 | 279 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 177 | 18 | 202 | 572 |
| 08:30 AM | 11 | 39 | 41 | 91 | 31 | 184 | 59 | 274 | 8 | 34 | 10 | 52 | 13 | 154 | 15 | 182 | 599 |
| Total Volume | 36 | 131 | 162 | 329 | 115 | 759 | 181 | 1055 | 21 | 85 | 26 | 132 | 54 | 741 | 75 | 870 | 2386 |
| \% App. Total | 10.9 | 39.8 | 49.2 |  | 10.9 | 71.9 | 17.2 |  | 15.9 | 64.4 | 19.7 |  | 6.2 | 85.2 | 8.6 |  |  |
| PHF | . 818 | . 840 | . 750 | . 866 | . 927 | . 917 | . 767 | . 945 | . 656 | . 625 | . 650 | . 635 | . 750 | . 834 | . 815 | . 840 | . 911 |



# Massachusetts Department of Transportation-Ftighway Division <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection 

File Name : S12-078TM2
Site Code : 86813
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 3

|  | Whittier Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Shoppers World Drive From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for E | tire Int | sectio | Begin | at 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 28 | 88 | 102 | 218 | 41 | 210 | 73 | 324 | 22 | 49 | 29 | 100 | 34 | 142 | 4 | 180 | 822 |
| 05:15 PM | 26 | 91 | 67 | 184 | 50 | 213 | 78 | 341 | 33 | 55 | 24 | 112 | 29 | 143 | 18 | 190 | 827 |
| 05:30 PM | 24 | 92 | 75 | 191 | 65 | 238 | 94 | 397 | 28 | 64 | 25 | 117 | 20 | 173 | 12 | 205 | 910 |
| 05:45 PM | 17 | 77 | 48 | 142 | 45 | 275 | 76 | 396 | 32 | 62 | 25 | 119 | 26 | 134 | 24 | 184 | 841 |
| Total Volume | 95 | 348 | 292 | 735 | 201 | 936 | 321 | 1458 | 115 | 230 | 103 | 448 | 109 | 592 | 58 | 759 | 3400 |
| \% App. Total | 12.9 | 47.3 | 39.7 |  | 13.8 | 64.2 | 22 |  | 25.7 | 51.3 | 23 |  | 14.4 | 78 | 7.6 |  |  |
| PHF | . 848 | . 946 | . 716 | . 843 | . 773 | . 851 | . 854 | . 918 | . 871 | . 898 | . 888 | . 941 | . 801 | . 855 | . 604 | . 926 | . 934 |



# Massachusetts Department of Transpartation-Fighway Division 

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Rte 30 @ Shoppers World Dr/Whittier St.
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 2
File Name: S12-078TM2
Site Code : 86813
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Car

|  | Whittier Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Shoppers World Drive From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 4 | 11 | 47 | 62 | 18 | 107 | 21 | 146 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 239 | 1 | 244 | 467 |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 29 | 62 | 95 | 23 | 154 | 33 | 210 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 8 | 242 | 4 | 254 | 574 |
| 07:30 AM | 9 | 25 | 43 | 77 | 20 | 159 | 23 | 202 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 15 | 14 | 200 | 3 | 217 | 511 |
| 07:45 AM | 8 | 33 | 54 | 95 | 24 | 200 | 39 | 263 | 5 | 17 | 7 | 29 | 18 | 212 | 19 | 249 | 636 |
| Total | 25 | 98 | 206 | 329 | 85 | 620 | 116 | 821 | 22 | 35 | 17 | 74 | 44 | 893 | 27 | 964 | 2188 |
| 08:00 AM | 6 | 27 | 35 | 68 | 28 | 158 | 36 | 222 | 6 | 20 | 5 | 31 | 14 | 179 | 23 | 216 | 537 |
| 08:15 AM | 11 | 29 | 32 | 72 | 30 | 193 | 41 | 264 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 172 | 17 | 196 | 549 |
| 08:30 AM | 10 | 39 | 41 | 90 | 30 | 172 | 58 | 260 | 8 | 34 | 9 | 51 | 13 | 147 | 12 | 172 | 573 |
| 08:45 AM | 7 | 36 | 25 | 68 | 52 | 143 | 47 | 242 | 7 | 30 | 9 | 46 | 16 | 163 | 15 | 194 | 550 |
| Total | 34 | 131 | 133 | 298 | 140 | 666 | 182 | 988 | 23 | 95 | 27 | 145 | 50 | 661 | 67 | 778 | 2209 |


| 04:00 PM | 18 | 79 | 64 | 161 | 49 | 190 | 80 | 319 | 28 | 61 | 20 | 109 | 19 | 135 | 9 | 163 | 752 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 16 | 72 | 48 | 136 | 52 | 201 | 74 | 327 | 23 | 60 | 24 | 107 | 26 | 175 | 9 | 210 | 780 |
| 04:30 PM | 18 | 91 | 65 | 174 | 47 | 189 | 75 | 311 | 21 | 59 | 21 | 101 | 31 | 177 | 5 | 213 | 799 |
| 04:45 PM | 25 | 64 | 59 | 148 | 51 | 206 | 75 | 332 | 23 | 68 | 21 | 112 | 30 | 156 | 5 | 191 | 783 |
| Total | 77 | 306 | 236 | 619 | 199 | 786 | 304 | 1289 | 95 | 248 | 86 | 429 | 106 | 643 | 28 | 777 | 3114 |
| 05:00 PM | 28 | 87 | 101 | 216 | 41 | 208 | 73 | 322 | 22 | 49 | 29 | 100 | 34 | 141 | 4 | 179 | 817 |
| 05:15 PM | 26 | 91 | 67 | 184 | 50 | 209 | 78 | 337 | 33 | 54 | 24 | 111 | 29 | 143 | 18 | 190 | 822 |
| 05:30 PM | 24 | 90 | 74 | 188 | 65 | 237 | 92 | 394 | 28 | 64 | 25 | 117 | 19 | 170 | 12 | 201 | 900 |
| 05:45 PM | 17 | 77 | 48 | 142 | 45 | 269 | 76 | 390 | 31 | 62 | 25 | 118 | 26 | 131 | 24 | 181 | 831 |
| Total | 95 | 345 | 290 | 730 | 201 | 923 | 319 | 1443 | 114 | 229 | 103 | 446 | 108 | 585 | 58 | 751 | 3370 |
| Grand Total | 231 | 880 | 865 | 1976 | 625 | 2995 | 921 | 4541 | 254 | 607 | 233 | 1094 | 308 | 2782 | 180 | 3270 | 10881 |
| Apprch \% | 11.7 | 44.5 | 43.8 |  | 13.8 | 66 | 20.3 |  | 23.2 | 55.5 | 21.3 |  | 9.4 | 85.1 | 5.5 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.1 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 18.2 | 5.7 | 27.5 | 8.5 | 41.7 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 10.1 | 2.8 | 25.6 | 1.7 | 30.1 |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Transpartation-Fighway Diuision

## Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Rte 30 @ Shoppers World Dr/Whittier St.
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 2

File Name: S12-078TM2
Site Code : 86813
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Truck

|  | Whittier Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Shoppers World Drive From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 18 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 17 |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 17 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 19 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 6 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 33 | 71 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 23 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 23 |
| 08:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 26 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| Total | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 34 | 7 | 44 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 25 | 4 | 31 | 82 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 17 |
| $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 41 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 30 |
| Grand Total | 3 | 11 | 3 | 17 | 5 | 89 | 22 | 116 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 70 | 7 | 80 | 224 |
| Apprch \% | 17.6 | 64.7 | 17.6 |  | 4.3 | 76.7 | 19 |  | 27.3 | 45.5 | 27.3 |  | 3.8 | 87.5 | 8.8 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.3 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 7.6 | 2.2 | 39.7 | 9.8 | 51.8 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 31.2 | 3.1 | 35.7 |  |

## Massackusetts Department of Jranspartation-Fighway Division

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Rte 30 @ Shoppers World Dr/Whittier St.
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 2

File Name: S12-078TM2
Site Code : 86813
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Motorcycle

|  | Whittier Street From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Shoppers World Drive From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |


| 04:15 PM \| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 |  |

Framingham
Rte 30 @ Shoppers World Dr/Whittier St.
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 2

File Name: S12-078TM2
Site Code : 86813
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No :1

Groups Printed- People

|  | Whittier Street From North |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  | Shoppers World Drive From South |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 AM 08:30 AM 08:45 AM | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 2 1 0 | 0 0 1 | 0 0 1 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 | 3 1 1 |
| Total | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 04:00 PM } \\ & \text { 04:15 PM } \end{aligned}$ | 1 0 | 1 0 | 1 | 1 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 1 | 0 1 | 2 1 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 05:15 PM \| | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 0 | 01 | 1 | 1 \| | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Grand Total Apprch \% Total \% | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 100 \\ 44.4 \end{array}$ | 4 44.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 100 \\ 22.2 \end{array}$ | 2 22.2 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 100 \\ 33.3 \end{array}$ | 3 33.3 | 9 |

## Massachusetts Department of Sranspartation-Ftighway Diuision

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Rte 30 @ Shoppers World Dr/Whittier St.
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \# 2

File Name : S12-078TM2
Site Code : 86813
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Pedal Bike (Crosswalk)


| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apprch \% | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Iranspartation-Ftighway Duieision

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 @ Ring Road/Shoppers World Way
Counted by Miovision
File Name: S12-078TM1
Site Code : 86812
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Car - Truck - Motorcycle

|  | Shoppers World Way From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Ring Road From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 13 | 1 | 6 | 20 | 5 | 111 | 4 | 120 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 19 | 9 | 232 | 16 | 257 | 416 |
| 07:15 AM | 16 | 1 | 5 | 22 | 13 | 154 | 2 | 169 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 241 | 12 | 257 | 461 |
| 07:30 AM | 14 | 5 | 7 | 26 | 4 | 164 | 7 | 175 | 11 | 4 | 9 | 24 | 4 | 205 | 15 | 224 | 449 |
| 07:45 AM | 18 | 1 | 5 | 24 | 23 | 194 | 5 | 222 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 21 | 12 | 246 | 30 | 288 | 555 |
| Total | 61 | 8 | 23 | 92 | 45 | 623 | 18 | 686 | 25 | 24 | 28 | 77 | 29 | 924 | 73 | 1026 | 1881 |
| 08:00 AM | 22 | 2 | 11 | 35 | 16 | 162 | 2 | 180 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 19 | 21 | 208 | 25 | 254 | 488 |
| 08:15 AM | 29 | 4 | 13 | 46 | 19 | 185 | 9 | 213 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 17 | 19 | 176 | 35 | 230 | 506 |
| 08:30 AM | 27 | 6 | 12 | 45 | 26 | 169 | 10 | 205 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 27 | 11 | 167 | 31 | 209 | 486 |
| 08:45 AM | 30 | 6 | 16 | 52 | 14 | 133 | 9 | 156 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 35 | 17 | 173 | 33 | 223 | 466 |
| Total | 108 | 18 | 52 | 178 | 75 | 649 | 30 | 754 | 28 | 41 | 29 | 98 | 68 | 724 | 124 | 916 | 1946 |


| 04:00 PM | 40 | 12 | 19 | 71 | 23 | 176 | 19 | 218 | 19 | 36 | 48 | 103 | 45 | 143 | 46 | 234 | 626 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 57 | 12 | 25 | 94 | 35 | 208 | 10 | 253 | 12 | 28 | 40 | 80 | 25 | 169 | 35 | 229 | 656 |
| 04:30 PM | 54 | 19 | 29 | 102 | 27 | 201 | 12 | 240 | 12 | 37 | 42 | 91 | 32 | 166 | 35 | 233 | 666 |
| 04:45 PM | 55 | 13 | 21 | 89 | 32 | 214 | 9 | 255 | 12 | 28 | 45 | 85 | 42 | 158 | 44 | 244 | 673 |
| Total | 206 | 56 | 94 | 356 | 117 | 799 | 50 | 966 | 55 | 129 | 175 | 359 | 144 | 636 | 160 | 940 | 2621 |
| 05:00 PM | 46 | 19 | 29 | 94 | 31 | 218 | 11 | 260 | 8 | 34 | 49 | 91 | 38 | 145 | 32 | 215 | 660 |
| 05:15 PM | 43 | 9 | 29 | 81 | 37 | 197 | 16 | 250 | 17 | 25 | 38 | 80 | 31 | 141 | 38 | 210 | 621 |
| 05:30 PM | 46 | 20 | 19 | 85 | 37 | 233 | 20 | 290 | 14 | 27 | 49 | 90 | 33 | 172 | 39 | 244 | 709 |
| 05:45 PM | 51 | 14 | 14 | 79 | 33 | 271 | 15 | 319 | 4 | 25 | 43 | 72 | 29 | 163 | 41 | 233 | 703 |
| Total | 186 | 62 | 91 | 339 | 138 | 919 | 62 | 1119 | 43 | 111 | 179 | 333 | 131 | 621 | 150 | 902 | 2693 |
| Grand Total | 561 | 144 | 260 | 965 | 375 | 2990 | 160 | 3525 | 151 | 305 | 411 | 867 | 372 | 2905 | 507 | 3784 | 9141 |
| Apprch \% | 58.1 | 14.9 | 26.9 |  | 10.6 | 84.8 | 4.5 |  | 17.4 | 35.2 | 47.4 |  | 9.8 | 76.8 | 13.4 |  |  |
| Total \% | 6.1 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 10.6 | 4.1 | 32.7 | 1.8 | 38.6 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 9.5 | 4.1 | 31.8 | 5.5 | 41.4 |  |
| Car | 546 | 143 | 251 | 940 | 368 | 2907 | 153 | 3428 | 139 | 301 | 406 | 846 | 370 | 2842 | 502 | 3714 | 8928 |
| \% Car | 97.3 | 99.3 | 96.5 | 97.4 | 98.1 | 97.2 | 95.6 | 97.2 | 92.1 | 98.7 | 98.8 | 97.6 | 99.5 | 97.8 | 99 | 98.2 | 97.7 |
| Truck | 14 | 1 | 8 | 23 | 7 | 83 | 7 | 97 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 21 | 2 | 61 | 5 | 68 | 209 |
| \% Truck | 2.5 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Motorcycle | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| \% Motorcycle | 0.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 |

## Massachusetts Department of Sranspartation-Ftighway Diuision

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

File Name: S12-078TM1
Site Code : 86812
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 2

|  | Shoppers World Way From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Ring Road From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 18 | 1 | 5 | 24 | 23 | 194 | 5 | 222 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 21 | 12 | 246 | 30 | 288 | 555 |
| 08:00 AM | 22 | 2 | 11 | 35 | 16 | 162 | 2 | 180 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 19 | 21 | 208 | 25 | 254 | 488 |
| 08:15 AM | 29 | 4 | 13 | 46 | 19 | 185 | 9 | 213 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 17 | 19 | 176 | 35 | 230 | 506 |
| 08:30 AM | 27 | 6 | 12 | 45 | 26 | 169 | 10 | 205 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 27 | 11 | 167 | 31 | 209 | 486 |
| Total Volume | 96 | 13 | 41 | 150 | 84 | 710 | 26 | 820 | 23 | 29 | 32 | 84 | 63 | 797 | 121 | 981 | 2035 |
| \% App. Total | 64 | 8.7 | 27.3 |  | 10.2 | 86.6 | 3.2 |  | 27.4 | 34.5 | 38.1 |  | 6.4 | 81.2 | 12.3 |  |  |
| PHF | . 828 | . 542 | . 788 | . 815 | . 808 | . 915 | . 650 | . 923 | . 719 | . 659 | . 727 | . 778 | . 750 | . 810 | . 864 | . 852 | . 917 |



## Massachusetts Department of $\mathcal{T}$ ranspartation-Fighway Division

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

File Name : S12-078TM1
Site Code : 86812
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 3

|  | Shoppers World Way From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Ring Road From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 46 | 19 | 29 | 94 | 31 | 218 | 11 | 260 | 8 | 34 | 49 | 91 | 38 | 145 | 32 | 215 | 660 |
| 05:15 PM | 43 | 9 | 29 | 81 | 37 | 197 | 16 | 250 | 17 | 25 | 38 | 80 | 31 | 141 | 38 | 210 | 621 |
| 05:30 PM | 46 | 20 | 19 | 85 | 37 | 233 | 20 | 290 | 14 | 27 | 49 | 90 | 33 | 172 | 39 | 244 | 709 |
| 05:45 PM | 51 | 14 | 14 | 79 | 33 | 271 | 15 | 319 | 4 | 25 | 43 | 72 | 29 | 163 | 41 | 233 | 703 |
| Total Volume | 186 | 62 | 91 | 339 | 138 | 919 | 62 | 1119 | 43 | 111 | 179 | 333 | 131 | 621 | 150 | 902 | 2693 |
| \% App. Total | 54.9 | 18.3 | 26.8 |  | 12.3 | 82.1 | 5.5 |  | 12.9 | 33.3 | 53.8 |  | 14.5 | 68.8 | 16.6 |  |  |
| PHF | . 912 | . 775 | . 784 | . 902 | . 932 | . 848 | . 775 | . 877 | . 632 | . 816 | . 913 | . 915 | . 862 | . 903 | . 915 | . 924 | . 950 |



Route 30 @ Ring Road/Shoppers World Way
File Name: S12-078TM1
Counted by Miovision
Site Code : 86812
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Car

|  | Shoppers World Way From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Ring Road From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 12 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 4 | 107 | 3 | 114 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 17 | 9 | 225 | 16 | 250 | 399 |
| 07:15 AM | 16 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 12 | 147 | 1 | 160 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 237 | 12 | 253 | 447 |
| 07:30 AM | 12 | 5 | 7 | 24 | 4 | 159 | 6 | 169 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 19 | 4 | 199 | 15 | 218 | 430 |
| 07:45 AM | 17 | 1 | 4 | 22 | 22 | 188 | 5 | 215 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 21 | 12 | 237 | 30 | 279 | 537 |
| Total | 57 | 8 | 20 | 85 | 42 | 601 | 15 | 658 | 21 | 23 | 26 | 70 | 29 | 898 | 73 | 1000 | 1813 |
| 08:00 AM | 20 | 2 | 8 | 30 | 16 | 155 | 2 | 173 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 17 | 21 | 201 | 25 | 247 | 467 |
| 08:15 AM | 27 | 4 | 13 | 44 | 17 | 176 | 8 | 201 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 14 | 19 | 172 | 35 | 226 | 485 |
| 08:30 AM | 27 | 6 | 12 | 45 | 25 | 157 | 8 | 190 | 5 | 11 | 10 | 26 | 11 | 158 | 30 | 199 | 460 |
| 08:45 AM | 26 | 5 | 15 | 46 | 14 | 129 | 9 | 152 | 9 | 16 | 8 | 33 | 17 | 170 | 32 | 219 | 450 |
| Total | 100 | 17 | 48 | 165 | 72 | 617 | 27 | 716 | 23 | 39 | 28 | 90 | 68 | 701 | 122 | 891 | 1862 |


| 04:00 PM | 40 | 12 | 19 | 71 | 23 | 173 | 19 | 215 | 18 | 35 | 48 | 101 | 44 | 141 | 46 | 231 | 618 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 57 | 12 | 24 | 93 | 35 | 198 | 10 | 243 | 12 | 28 | 40 | 80 | 25 | 167 | 35 | 227 | 643 |
| 04:30 PM | 53 | 19 | 29 | 101 | 27 | 200 | 11 | 238 | 12 | 37 | 42 | 91 | 32 | 165 | 33 | 230 | 660 |
| 04:45 PM | 54 | 13 | 21 | 88 | 32 | 209 | 9 | 250 | 12 | 28 | 45 | 85 | 42 | 155 | 43 | 240 | 663 |
| Total | 204 | 56 | 93 | 353 | 117 | 780 | 49 | 946 | 54 | 128 | 175 | 357 | 143 | 628 | 157 | 928 | 2584 |
| 05:00 PM | 46 | 19 | 29 | 94 | 30 | 217 | 11 | 258 | 8 | 34 | 49 | 91 | 38 | 144 | 32 | 214 | 657 |
| 05:15 PM | 43 | 9 | 29 | 81 | 37 | 194 | 16 | 247 | 17 | 25 | 37 | 79 | 30 | 141 | 38 | 209 | 616 |
| 05:30 PM | 46 | 20 | 19 | 85 | 37 | 232 | 20 | 289 | 12 | 27 | 49 | 88 | 33 | 170 | 39 | 242 | 704 |
| 05:45 PM | 50 | 14 | 13 | 77 | 33 | 266 | 15 | 314 | 4 | 25 | 42 | 71 | 29 | 160 | 41 | 230 | 692 |
| Total | 185 | 62 | 90 | 337 | 137 | 909 | 62 | 1108 | 41 | 111 | 177 | 329 | 130 | 615 | 150 | 895 | 2669 |
| Grand Total | 546 | 143 | 251 | 940 | 368 | 2907 | 153 | 3428 | 139 | 301 | 406 | 846 | 370 | 2842 | 502 | 3714 | 8928 |
| Apprch \% | 58.1 | 15.2 | 26.7 |  | 10.7 | 84.8 | 4.5 |  | 16.4 | 35.6 | 48 |  | 10 | 76.5 | 13.5 |  |  |
| Total \% | 6.1 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 32.6 | 1.7 | 38.4 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 9.5 | 4.1 | 31.8 | 5.6 | 41.6 |  |

# Massachusetts Department of Transpartation-Ftighway Diuisian 

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 @ Ring Road/Shoppers World Way
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \#1
File Name: S12-078TM1
Site Code : 86812
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Truck

|  | Shoppers World Way From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Ring Road From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Tolal | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 17 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| 07:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 17 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 18 |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 22 | 3 | 28 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 66 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 21 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 21 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 26 |
| 08:45 AM | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 16 |
| Total | 8 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 32 | 3 | 38 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 25 | 84 |


| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| 04:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 10 |
| Total | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 36 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 05:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 23 |


| Grand Total | 14 | 1 | 8 | 23 | 7 | 83 | 7 | 97 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 21 | 2 | 61 | 5 | 68 | 209 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apprch \% | 60.9 | 4.3 | 34.8 |  | 7.2 | 85.6 | 7.2 |  | 57.1 | 19 | 23.8 |  | 2.9 | 89.7 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Total \% | 6.7 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 11 | 3.3 | 39.7 | 3.3 | 46.4 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 10 | 1 | 29.2 | 2.4 | 32.5 |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Sranspartation-Fighway Diuisian

Statewide Traffic Data Collection

Framingham
Route 30 @ Ring Road/Shoppers World Way
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \#1

File Name : S12-078TM1
Site Code : 86812
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Motorcycle

|  | Shoppers World Way From North |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  |  |  | Ring Road From South |  |  |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. | Right | Thru | Left | App. T | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. T | Int. Total |


| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | $1 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 \| | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 \| | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | . 1 | 1 \| | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Grand Total Apprch \% Total \% | 1 50 25 | 0 0 0 | 1 50 25 | 2 50 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 2 100 50 | 0 0 0 | 2 50 | 4 |

Framingham
Route 30 @ Ring Road/Shoppers World Way
Counted by Miovision
S12-078 TMC \#1

File Name: S12-078TM1
Site Code : 86812
Start Date : 11/29/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- People

|  | Shoppers World Way From North |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East |  | Ring Road From South |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |


| 04:00 PM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Grand Total | 4 | 4 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 19 |
| Apprch \% | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |
| Total \% | 21.1 | 21.1 | 57.9 | 57.9 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 5.3 | 5.3 |  |

## Massachusetts Department of Transportation-Fighway Division <br> Statewide Traffic Data Collection

| Framingham | File Name : S12-078TM1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Route 30 @ Ring Road/Shoppers World Way | Site Code :86812 |
| Counted by Miovision | Start Date :11/29/2012 |
| S12-078 TMC \#1 | Page No :1 |

Groups Printed- Pedal Bike (Crosswalk)

|  | Shoppers World Way From North | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From East | Ring Road From South |  | Cochituate Road (Rte 30) From West |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Peds App. Total | Peds App. Total | Peds | App. Total | Peds App. Total | Int. Total |


| 05:30 PM \| | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 \| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 \| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand Total Apprch \% Total \% | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 100 100 | 1 100 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 |







Mass Highway Department
WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR LANE 2
Starting: $11 / 26 / 2012$$\quad$ Page: $\quad 2$

STA. 5 WB

Site Reference: 120780000482
Site ID: 000000000503
Location: RTE. 30, BTWN RAMP $1 \& 2$ UNDER OVERPASS Direction:

| TIME | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MON } \\ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TUE } \\ 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WED } \\ 28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { THU } \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { FRI } \\ 30 \end{array}$ | WKDAY <br> AVG | SAT | SUN | WEEK <br> AVG | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01:00 |  | 31 | 30 | 37 | 34 | 33 |  |  | 33 | 132 |
| 02:00 |  | 25 | 19 | 26 | 29 | 24 |  |  | 24 | 99 |
| 03:00 |  | 16 | 10 | 10 | 22 | 14 |  |  | 14 | 58 |
| 04:00 |  | 12 | 15 | 20 | 16 | 15 |  |  | 15 | 63 |
| 05:00 |  | 28 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 30 |  |  | 30 | 120 |
| 06:00 |  | 46 | 51 | 52 | 43 | 48 |  |  | 48 | 192 |
| 07:00 |  | 126 | 121 | 114 | 129 | 122 |  |  | 122 | 490 |
| 08:00 |  | 267 | 243 | 260 | 260 | 257 |  |  | 257 | 1030 |
| 09:00 |  | 417 | 436 | 433 | 418 | 426 |  |  | 426 | 1704 |
| 10:00 |  | 492 | 497 | 455 |  | 481 |  |  | 481 | 1444 |
| 11:00 | 493 | 516 | 512 | 470 |  | 497 |  |  | 497 | 1991 |
| 12:00 | 581 | 556 | 528 | 570 |  | 558 |  |  | 558 | 2235 |
| 13:00 | 672 | 630 | 774 | 684 |  | 690 |  |  | 690 | 2760 |
| 14:00 | 600 | 500 | 636 | 609 |  | 586 |  |  | 586 | 2345 |
| 15:00 | 524 | 506 | 536 | 527 |  | 523 |  |  | 523 | 2093 |
| 16:00 | 534 | 494 | 514 | 500 |  | 510 |  |  | 510 | 2042 |
| 17:00 | 458 | 508 | 502 | 526 |  | 498 |  |  | 498 | 1994 |
| 18:00 | 550 | 456 | 471 | 528 |  | 501 |  |  | 501 | 2005 |
| 19:00 | 427 | 472 | 502 | 477 |  | 469 |  |  | 469 | 1878 |
| 20:00 | 310 | 312 | 381 | 377 |  | 345 |  |  | 345 | 1380 |
| 21:00 | 223 | 201 | 264 | 238 |  | 231 |  |  | 231 | 926 |
| 22:00 | 186 | 171 | 168 | 203 |  | 182 |  |  | 182 | 728 |
| 23:00 | 91 | 95 | 112 | 116 |  | 103 |  |  | 103 | 414 |
| 24:00 | 53 | 56 | 66 | 60 |  | 58 |  |  | 58 | 235 |
| TOTALS | 5702 | 6933 | 7420 | 7323 | 980 | 7201 | 0 | 0 | 7201 | 28358 |
| \% AVG WKDY | 79.1 | 96.2 | 103 | 101.6 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% AVG WEEK | 79.1 | 96.2 | 103 | 101.6 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Times | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 09:00 | 12:00 |  |  | 12:00 |  |
| AM Ṗeaks | 581 | 556 | 528 | 570 | 418 | 558 |  |  | 558 |  |
| PM Times | 13:00 | 13:00 | 13:00 | 13:00 |  | 13:00 |  |  | 13:00 |  |
| PM Peaks | 672 | 630 | 774 | 684 |  | 690 |  |  | 690 |  |

## Route/Lane Schematic



1977 AWDT


1987 AWDT


1997 AWDT


2010 AWDT


## I-90/Mass Turnpike Eastbound: I-495, Hopkinton, to l-95/Route 128, Weston

 AM Peak Period Traffic Flow Diagrams: 2010
## Route/Lane Schematic



Int. 11A
l-495 Hopkinton

Int. 12
Framingham

Int. 13
Natick

Int. 14 Int. 15 Int. 55 Weston

6-7 AM


7-8 AM


8-9 AM


9-10 AM


## I-90/Mass Turnpike Eastbound: I-495, Hopkinton, to l-95/Route 128, Weston

PM Peak Period Traffic Flow Diagrams: 2010
Route/Lane Schematic

Int. 11A
I-495 Hopkinton

Int. 12
Framingham

Int. 13
Natick


Int. 14 Int. 15 Int. 55 Weston

3-4 PM


4-5 PM


5-6 PM


6-7 PM


## Route/Lane Schematic



1977 AWDT


1987 AWDT


1997 AWDT


2010 AWDT


## Route/Lane Schematic



6-7 AM


7-8 AM


8-9 AM


## 9-10 AM



## I-90/Mass Turnpike Westbound: I-95/Route 128, Weston, to I-495, Hopkinton

## Route/Lane Schematic



3-4 PM


4-5 PM


5-6 PM


6-7 PM


# Appendix B: Traffic Signal Timing Information and As-Built Traffic Signal Plans 







| LOOP DETECTOR DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OETECTOR | NEO. OF\| | $\underset{\substack{\text { coop } \\ \text { Size }}}{\text { coser }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {Satue }}$ | Noom | - calleo | - ExT. |  | Dictic |  |
| (1) | 4 | ${ }^{6} \times 66^{\circ}$ | s | 3 | 5 | 5 | 8 | - | - |
| (2) | 2 | ${ }^{6} \times 6^{\circ}$ | s | 3 | 2 | 2 | в | - | - |
| (3) | 4 | ${ }^{6} \times 6^{\prime}$ | p | ${ }^{4}$ | 2 | 2 | в | 6 | - |
| (4) | 2 | ${ }^{6} \times 6^{\prime}$ | s | 3 | 2 | 2 | A | - | - |
| (5) | 2 | ${ }^{5} \times 6^{\prime}$ | s | 3 | 2 | 2 | A | - | $-$ |
| (6) | 2 | ${ }^{\prime} \times 66^{\prime}$ | $s$ | 3 | 2 | 2 | A | - | 2 |
| (7) | 4 | $6^{6 \times 66^{\prime}}$ | P | 3 | 1 | 1 | B | - | - |
| (8) | 2 | $6^{\prime} \times 6{ }^{\prime}$ | s | 3 | 6 | 6 | в | - | - |
| (9) | 2 | $8^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ | s | 3 | - | 6 | A | - | - |
| (10) | 2 | $6^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ | s | 3 | 6 | 6 | A | - | - |
| (11) | 1 | $8^{6 \times \times 5^{\prime}}$ | s | 3 | 6 | 6 | A | - | 2 |
| (12) | 4 | ${ }^{6} \times 166^{\circ}$ | P | 3 | 3 | 3 | в | - | - |
| (3) | 4 | $6^{\prime} \times 17{ }^{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 7 | 7 | в | - | - |
| (14) | 4 | $5^{6 \times 6}$ | s | 3 | 8 | 8 | B | - | - |
| (15) | 4 | 6* $\times 17$ | s | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 | - | - |
| (16) | ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-6.6 \times 10^{\prime \prime} \\ 3-6 \times 6^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ | s | 3 | 4 | 4 | в | ${ }^{6}$ | $-$ |
| (51) | 1 | ${ }^{\circ} \times 6^{\circ}$ |  | Ssstem | eetectors |  | A | - | $-$ |
| (92) | 1 | $6^{\circ} \times 6^{\circ}$ |  | S:STEM | octectors |  | A | - | $-$ |
| (53) | 1 | $8^{\circ} \times 6$ |  | srsiem 0 | detectiors |  | A | - | - |
| (54) | , | 6*6 |  | srscem | oetictors |  | A | - | $-$ |
| (55) | 1 | ${ }^{6 \times 6} \times 6^{\circ}$ |  | Srsiem | deitictors |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | $-$ |
| (96) | 1 | 6; $5^{\prime}$ |  | sistem | oetectors |  | A | - | - |












FRAMINGHAM COCHITUATE ROAD (ROUTE 30) AT CONCORD ROA

 | MASS | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |

TRAFFIC SIGNAL DATA

| EMERGENCY VEHICLE <br> PRE-EMPTION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIRECTION | PHASE CALL |
| EB - REC\#1 | $\phi 2$ |
| WB - REC\#2 | $\phi 6$ |
| NB - REC\#3 | $\phi 8$ |
| SB - REC\#4 | $\phi 4 \& \phi 7$ |


| COORDINATION DATA FOR INTERSECTION 5 ROUTE 30 (SUB-SYSTEM ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUnCtion | PURPOSE |  | offset value IN SECONDS | CYCLE LENGTH |  |  |
| OFFSET 1 | coordinated pattern no. 1 |  | 78 | 80 |  |  |
| OFFSET 2 | coordinated pattern no. 2 |  | 54 | 110 |  |  |
| OFFSET 3 | cooroinated pattern no. 3 |  | 68 | 110 |  |  |
| COORd Point Shall be at the start of the yellow of the first phase, shown by * below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| maximum phase time in seconds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FUnction | PHASE 2 * | PHASE 4 | PHASE 6 * | PHASE 7 | PHASE 8 | PHASE 9 |
| SPLIT 1 | 12 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 26 |
| SPLIT 2 | 18 | 38 | 27 | 14 | 24 | 27 |
| SPLIT 3 | 18 | 38 | 27 | 14 | 24 | 27 |

* see cooorination data table for split

PREFERENTIAL PHASE SEQUENCE


- DEEECTON CONTROLLNG PHASE


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DETECTOR } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO. OF } \\ & \text { SEGMENTS } \end{aligned}$ | Loop | ¢ CALLED | ¢ EXT. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MODE } \\ & \text { A=PULESE } \\ & B=P R E S . \end{aligned}$ | DELAY | EXT <br> TIME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | 3 | QUADRUPOLE | 6 | 6 | B | 0 | 0 |
| (2) | 3 | $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ | 6 | 6 | A | 0 | 2 |
| (3) | 3 | $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ | 6 | 6 | A | 0 | 2 |
| 4 | 3 | $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ | 6 | 6 | B | 0 | 0 |
| (5) | 2 | QUADRUPOLE | 2 | 2 | B | 0 | 0 |
| (6) | 2 | Quadrupole | 2 | 2 | B | 0 | 0 |
| (7) | 2 | Quadrupole | 4 | 4 | B | 0 | 0 |
| (8) | 2 | QUADRUPOLE | 7 | 7 | B | 0 | 0 |
| (9) | 2 | QUADRUPOLE | 8 | 8 | B | 0 | 0 |
| (10) | 2 | QUADRUPOLE | 8 | 8 | B | 0 | 0 |
| (51) | 2 | $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ |  | Stem | A | 0 | 0 |


|  | MAJOR ITEMS |
| :---: | :---: |
| QUANTTY | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 | CONTROLLER \& CAB. TYPE 8DW W/FDN. MODEL: EAGLE EPAC 300 |
| 1 | SERVICE CONNECTION |
| 2 | STRAIN POLE W/SPAN WIRE ASSEMBLY |
| 1 | 10' SIGNAL POST, BASE, \& FDN. |
| 3 | 8' PEDESTRIAN POST, BASE, \& FDN. |
| 5 | SIGNAL HEAD, 3 SECTİN |
| 6 | SIGNAL HEAD, 4 SECTION |
| 6 | PEDESTRIAN HOUSING, PUSH BUTTON, SIGN \& SADDLE |
| 23 | ROADWAY LOOP DETECTOR (INCLUDES SYSTEM DETECTORS) |
| 5 | DUAL CHANNEL LOOP DETECTOR AMPLFIER |
| 12 | PULL BOX $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 1 | OPTICOM SYSTEM CHASSIS |
| 2 | OPTICOM DUAL CHANNEL PHASE SELECTOR |
| 1 | OPTICOM PREEMPTION CONFIRMATION |
| 4 | OPTICOM UNIDIRECTIONAL SINGLE OPTICAL DETECTOR |
| PLUS ALL MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT AND MATERIAL NECESSARY TO PROVIDE A COMPLETE OPERATING TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL |  |
|  |  |
| UTLITY POLE No. 93 |  |

plan prepared by:
GREENMAN-PEDERESE, INC.
BOO SOUHH MAN STREET,
st FLOOR

## Appendix C: Crash Rates

 Worksheets and Collision Diagrams
## INTERSECTION CRASH RATE WORKSHEET

CITY/TOWN : Framingham
DISTRICT: 3
UNSIGNALIZED :
COUNT DATE :
11/29/2012
$\square$ SIGNALIZED : X

## ~ INTERSECTION DATA ~

| MAJOR STREET : | Route 30 (Cochituate Road) |
| :--- | :--- |
| MINOR STREET(S): | Speen Street |



PEAK HOUR VOLUMES

| APPROACH : | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIRECTION: | SB | EB | NB | WB |  |  |
| PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (AM/PM) | 1,205 | 1,450 | 1,290 | 1,075 |  | 5,020 |
| " K " FACTOR | 0.090 | INTERSECTION ADT ( $\mathbf{V}$ ) = TOTAL DAILY APPROACH VOLUME : |  |  |  | 55,778 |
| TOTAL \# OF CRASHES : | 85 | \# OF YEARS | 6 | AVER CRASHES | OF YEAR | 14.17 |
| CRASH RATE CALCU | TION : | 0.70 | RATE | $\frac{1 A^{*}}{1}$ |  |  |

Comments : $\qquad$
Project Title \& Date: $\qquad$

## INTERSECTION CRASH RATE WORKSHEET

CITY/TOWN : Framingham
DISTRICT : 3 UNSIGNALIZED : $\quad \square$ SIGNALIZED : $\quad \mathbf{X}$

MAJOR STREET : Route 30 (Cochituate Road)
MINOR STREET(S) :
Burr Street
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


PEAK HOUR VOLUMES

| APPROACH : |  |  |  | VOLUMES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume |
| DIRECTION: <br> PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (AM/PM) : | SB | EB | NB | WB |  |  |
|  | 580 | 1,020 | 460 | 1,750 |  | 3,810 |
| " K " FACTOR : | 0.090 | INTERSECTION ADT ( V ) = TOTAL DAILY APPROACH VOLUME : |  |  |  | 42,333 |
| TOTAL \# OF CRASHES : | 47 | \# OF YEARS: | 6 | AVERAGE \# OF CRASHES PER YEAR ( A) : |  | 7.83 |

CRASH RATE CALCULATION :
0.51

RATE $=\frac{(A * 1,000,000)}{(V * 365)}$
Comments : $\qquad$
Project Title \& Date: $\qquad$

## INTERSECTION CRASH RATE WORKSHEET

CITY/TOWN : Framingham
DISTRICT : 3 UNSIGNALIZED : $\quad \square$ SIGNALIZED : $\quad \mathbf{X}$

MAJOR STREET : Route 30 (Cochituate Road)
MINOR STREET(S): Whittier Street
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


PEAK HOUR VOLUMES
APPROACH:

DIRECTION :
PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (AM/PM) :

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Total Peak <br> Hourly <br> Approach <br> Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | EB | NB | WB |  | $\mathbf{3 , 4 2 0}$ |
| 740 | 765 | 450 | 1,465 |  |  |

" K " FACTOR :


INTERSECTION ADT ( V ) = TOTAL DAILY APPROACH VOLUME :

38,000

TOTAL \# OF CRASHES : 63 \# OF YEARS : $\square$ AVERAGE \# OF CRASHES PER YEAR (
A) :
10.50

## CRASH RATE CALCULATION :

0.76

RATE $=\frac{\left(A^{*} 1,000,000\right)}{(\mathrm{V} * 365)}$

Comments : $\qquad$
Project Title \& Date: $\qquad$

## INTERSECTION CRASH RATE WORKSHEET

CITY/TOWN : Framingham
$\qquad$ UNSIGNALIZED : $\square$
COUNT DATE : 11/29/2012

DISTRICT : 3

SIGNALIZED : X

MAJOR STREET : $\quad$ Route 30 (Cochituate Road)
MINOR STREET(S) :
Ring Road
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


PEAK HOUR VOLUMES


CRASH RATE CALCULATION :
0.34

RATE $=\frac{\left(A^{*} 1,000,000\right)}{(V * 365)}$
Comments : $\qquad$
Project Title \& Date: $\qquad$

## INTERSECTION CRASH RATE WORKSHEET

CITY/TOWN : Framingham
$\qquad$ UNSIGNALIZED : $\square$
COUNT DATE : 11/29/2012

DISTRICT : 3

SIGNALIZED : X

MAJOR STREET : Route 30 (Cochituate Road)
MINOR STREET(S): TJX Companies Driveway
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


PEAK HOUR VOLUMES
APPROACH:
DIRECTION:
PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (AM/PM) :

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Total Peak <br> Hourly <br> Approach <br> Volume <br> SB EB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 580 | 630 | 0 | NB |  | $\mathbf{5 1 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

" K " FACTOR :
INTERSECTION ADT ( V ) = TOTAL DAILY APPROACH VOLUME :

19,111
2.60
$\square$ AVERAGE \# OF CRASHES PER YEAR ( A) :

TOTAL \# OF CRASHES : 13 YEARS :

RATE $=\frac{(A * 1,000,000)}{(V * 365)}$
Comments : $\qquad$
Project Title \& Date: $\qquad$

| CITY/TOWN: | Framingham | DATE PREPARED: | 4/10/2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGION: | District 3 | PREPARED BY: | Ryan Hicks |
| ROADWAY NAMES : |  | Route 30 and Whittier St. |  |
| TIME PERIOD | LYZED: 200 |  |  |

SOURCE OF CRASH REPORTS :
Town of Framingham and MassDOT RMV


| CITY/TOWN: | Framingham | DATE PREPARED: | 4/08/2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGION: | District 3 | PREPARED BY: | Ryan Hicks |
| ROADWAY NAMES: R |  | Route 30 between Masspike Ramps to Speen Street |  |
| TIME PERIOD | LYZED : 200 |  |  |

## SOURCE OF CRASH REPORTS :






| Collision Diagram ID | Crash <br> Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Crash } \\ \text { Date } \end{array}$ | Crash Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Road } \\ \text { Surface } \end{array}$ | Ambient Lghting | Weather Condition | Non motorized | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bike } \\ & \text { Ped } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9100000 | 29-Jun-2011 | 6:43:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end |  | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 2 | 9100001 | 31-Jul-2007 | 2:20:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2331794 | 04-Jun-2008 | 3:03:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 4 | 2287898 | 08-Feb-2008 | 4:49:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Wet | Dusk | Clear |  |  |
| 5 | 2337043 | 14-Jun-2008 | 1:07:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 6 | 2337059 | 18-Jun-2008 | 9:22:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 7 | 2342440 | 03-Jul-2008 | 1:00:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same dir | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 8 | 2345119 | 09-Jul-2008 | 5:20:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 9 | 9100002 | 7-Aug-2008 | 4:50:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Wet |  |  |
| 10 | 2375331 | 12-Sep-2008 | 11:40:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 11 | 2269130 | 14-Jan-2008 | 8:00:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
| 12 | 2575112 | 08-Mar-2010 | 4:17:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Sideswipe, opposite | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 13 | 2588874 | 21-Apr-2010 | 2:29:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 14 | 2674268 | 26-Nov-2010 | 5:59:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Angle | Dry | Unknown | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 15 | 2581544 | 23-Mar-2010 | 9:41:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same dirt | Dry | Daylight | Rain/Rain |  |  |
| 16 | 2600508 | 23-May-2010 | 1:45:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 17 | 2643847 | 04-Sep-2010 | 5:24:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 18 | 2631484 | 18-Aug-2010 | 2:10:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 19 | 2675755 | 26-Dec-2010 | 4:49:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 20 | 9100003 | 11-May-2011 | 7:15:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Wet |  |  |
| 21 | 9100004 | 31-Mar-2011 | 11:50:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Snow | Dark - lighted ris | Snow |  |  |
| 22 | 9100005 | 29-Mar-2011 | 4:25:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 23 | 9100006 | 22-Mar-2011 | 5:24:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 24 | 9100007 | 6-Jan-2011 | 5:13:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle |  | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
| 25 | 9100008 | 3-Dec-2011 | 11:40:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe |  | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
| 26 | 9100009 | 1-Mar-2011 | 1:05:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Single vehicle crash |  | Dark - lighted r | Clear |  |  |
| 27 | 9100010 | 18-Mar-2011 | 2:39:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 28 | 9100011 | 4-Aug-2011 | 2:25:00 PM |  |  |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 29 | 9100012 | 23-Dec-2011 | 1:31:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 30 | 9100013 | 22-Mar-2012 | 12:16:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 31 | 9100014 | 31-Aug-2011 | 8:09:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 32 | 9100015 | 31-Oct-2012 | 10:15:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Collision } \\ \text { Diagram ID } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Crash } \\ \text { Number } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crash } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Crash Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Road } \\ \text { Surface } \end{array}$ | Ambient <br> Lghting | Weather Condition | Non motorized | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bike } \\ & \text { Ped } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 9100016 | 8-May-2012 | 7:00:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Wet |  |  |
| 34 | 9100017 | 1-Jun-2012 | 4:23:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 35 | 9100018 | 7-Jul-2012 | 4:29:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 36 | 9100019 | 28-Apr-2012 | 1:42:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle |  | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 37 | 9100020 | 28-Nov-2012 | 5:25:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle |  | Dark - lighted r | clear |  |  |
| 38 | 9100021 | 18-Aug-2010 | 8:51:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 2189770 | 08-May-2007 | 5:30:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 41 | 2228484 | 21-Aug-2007 | 1:20:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 42 | 2392240 | 26-Dec-2007 | 2:55:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 43 | 2487461 | 06-Jul-2009 | 4:41:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 44 | 2220583 | 20-Jul-2007 | 7:40:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 45 | 2222468 | 13-Jul-2007 | 3:46:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 46 | 2220979 | 31-Jul-2007 | 8:53:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 47 | 2236921 | 03-Oct-2007 | 2:25:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Cloudy |  |  |
| 48 | 2250851 | 11-Jun-2007 | 10:11:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same dir | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 49 | 2373444 | 29-Jun-2007 | 4:18:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 50 | 2401522 | 02-Dec-2008 | 10:30:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same dir | Wet | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 51 | 2446568 | 24-Mar-2009 | 3:24:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 52 | 2450305 | 06-Apr-2009 | 7:59:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Wet | Dark - lighted r | Cloudy/Rain |  |  |
| 53 | 2461910 | 04-May-2009 | 9:00:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 54 | 2467822 | 16-May-2009 | 1:43:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 55 | 2468393 | 13-May-2009 | 5:00:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same dir | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 56 | 2476889 | 04-Jun-2009 | 11:10:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 57 | 2476890 | 04-Jun-2009 | 1:29:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 58 | 2478353 | 03-Jun-2009 | 2:30:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 59 | 2482390 | 22-Jun-2009 | 2:30:00 PM | Not Reported | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain/Cloudy |  |  |
| 60 | 2171478 | 28-Mar-2007 | 10:57:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
|  | 2353348 | 19-Jul-2007 | 5:30:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2252475 | 26-Nov-2007 | 12:47:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2169653 | 23-Feb-2007 | 3:16:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2313108 | 04-Apr-2007 | 5:00:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Not reported | Ice | Dusk | Snow/Sleet, |  |  |
|  | 2350248 | 17-Aug-2007 | 11:45:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same dirı | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Collision } \\ \text { Diagram ID } \end{array}$ | Crash <br> Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crash } \\ \text { Date } \end{gathered}$ | Crash Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Road } \\ \text { Surface } \end{array}$ | Ambient Lghting | Weather Condition | Non motorized | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Bike } \\ & \text { Ped } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2346219 | 10-Sep-2007 | 6:00:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Dusk | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2370446 | 19-Oct-2007 | 1:05:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Wet | Dark - lighted ri | Rain/Cloudy |  |  |
|  | 2272188 | 27-Feb-2007 | 11:25:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Not reported | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2455603 | 13-Jan-2008 | 9:05:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2482965 | 22-Feb-2008 | 4:00:00 AM | Not Reported | Rear-end | Snow | Daylight | Snow |  |  |
|  | 2512687 | 27-Mar-2008 | 12:50:00 PM | Property damage only ( | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2516600 | 19-Jun-2008 | 9:20:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2464666 | 31-Jul-2008 | 2:20:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Not reported | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
|  | 2365837 | 23-Aug-2008 | 1:20:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2480684 | 27-Dec-2008 | 9:30:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2415597 | 29-Apr-2008 | 5:45:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2542697 | 03-Dec-2009 | 12:48:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2503361 | 08-Aug-2009 | 10:55:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Head-on | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2503364 | 14-Aug-2009 | 6:24:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Head-on | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2605177 | 14-Aug-2009 | 6:24:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2530665 | 10-Sep-2009 | 4:54:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | P1:Pedalcycl |  |
|  | 2608285 | 22-Sep-2009 | 8:55:00 AM | Not Reported | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2523667 | 30-Sep-2009 | 9:08:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2532719 | 04-Nov-2009 | 7:18:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2542015 | 27-Nov-2009 | 1:46:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2614130 | 27-Jun-2010 | 1:03:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same dir | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |


| Collision <br> Diagram ID | Crash Number | Crash Date | Crash Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | Road Surface | Ambient Light | Weather Condition | Non motorized | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bike } \\ & \text { Ped } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8000035 | 4-Jan-2010 | 8:05:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Wet | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
| 2 | 8000032 | 3-May-2012 | 9:06:00 AM | Property damage only ( n | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 3 | 8000031 | 26-Apr-2012 | 8:56:00 AM | Property damage only (n | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 4 | 8000030 | 17-Feb-2012 | 1:53:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 5 | 8000029 | 27-Apr-2012 | 9:30:00 AM | Property damage only (n | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 6 | 8000028 | 20-May-2011 | 4:30:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 7 | 8000027 | 27-Apr-2011 | 1:10:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Single Vehicle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 8 | 8000026 | 30-Oct-2011 | 3:53:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 9 | 8000025 | 22-May-2011 | 3:35:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 10 | 8000024 | 25-Nov-2011 | 5:20:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Sideswipe, sam | Dry | Dark - lighted rı | Clear |  |  |
| 11 | 8000023 | 22-Dec-2010 | 3:00:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Sideswipe, sam | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 12 | 2633717 | 26-Aug-2010 | 6:05:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Single vehicle c | Other | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 13 | 2561603 | 29-Jan-2010 | 9:17:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
| 14 | 2327813 | 02-Jun-2008 | 2:32:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Single vehicle c | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 15 | 8000022 | 23-Jun-2012 | 4:00:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Single Vehicle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 16 | 8000021 | 11-May-2012 | 12:38:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 17 | 8000020 | 10-Sep-2012 | 12:00:00 AM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
| 18 | 8000019 | 24-May-2011 | 5:51:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 19 | 8000018 | 20-May-2011 | 8:24:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Sideswipe, sam | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
| 20 | 8000017 | 15-Mar-2011 | 5:28:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 21 | 8000016 | 20-Jan-2011 | 3:50:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 22 | 8000015 | 2-Apr-2011 | 1:07:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 23 | 8000014 | 14-Oct-2011 | 5:23:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
| 24 | 8000013 | 2-Feb-2010 | 3:29:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 25 | 8000012 | 24-Mar-2010 | 2:00:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 26 | 8000011 | 1-Sep-2010 | 8:08:00 AM | Property damage only ( n | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 27 | 8000010 | 5-Aug-2010 | 5:05:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
| 28 | 8000009 | 20-Mar-2010 | 8:05:00 AM | Property damage only (n | Sideswipe, sam | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 29 | 8000008 | 19-Feb-2010 | 3:56:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 30 | 8000007 | 10-Dec-2008 | 5:35:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Wet | Dark - lighted rı | Rain |  |  |
| 31 | 8000006 | 18-Sep-2008 | 5:51:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 32 | 8000005 | 11-Jun-2008 | 6:15:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |

Route 30 between Burr Street and Speen Street

| Collision Diagram ID | Crash Number | Crash Date | Crash Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Road } \\ & \text { Surface } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Ambient Light | Weather Condition | Non motorized | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Bike } \\ \text { Ped } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 8000004 | 5-Apr-2008 | 10:26:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
| 34 | 8000002 | 28-Feb-2008 | 5:10:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 35 | 8000001 | 2-Oct-2008 | 5:15:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 8000003 | 19-Mar-2008 | 11:28:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8000033 | 9-Jul-2010 | 2:37:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8000034 | 8-Aug-2010 | 10:44:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2226960 | 09-Aug-2007 | 8:48:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Head-on | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2264472 | 28-Dec-2007 | 6:40:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2415374 | 10-Jan-2009 | 3:34:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear/Clear |  |  |


| Collision Diagram ID | Crash Number | Crash Date | Crash Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | Road Surface | Ambient Light | Weather Condition | Non <br> Motorized | Bike Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2341966 | 02-Jul-2008 | 9:36:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Wet | Dark - lighted ri | Rain/Rain |  |  |
| 2 | 2408232 | 22-Dec-2008 | 2:17:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 3 | 2602770 | 22-May-2010 | 12:30:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 4 | 2630054 | 16-Aug-2010 | 12:11:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 5 | 2662831 | 18-Nov-2010 | 12:45:00 PM | Property damage only (non | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 6 | 2632598 | 24-Aug-2010 | 8:18:00 PM | Property damage only (non¢ | Single vehicle crast | Wet | Dark - lighted re | Rain/Cloudy |  |  |
| 7 | 2653424 | 21-Oct-2010 | 11:21:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 8 | 2655261 | 20-Oct-2010 | 6:50:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Dawn | Fog, smog |  |  |
| 9 | 2658609 | 08-Nov-2010 | 2:15:00 PM | Property damage only (non | Single vehicle crast | Wet | Daylight | Cloudy/Clou |  |  |
| 10 | 9000001 | 15-Oct-2011 | 6:45:00 PM | Property damage only (non | Rear-end | Dry | Dusk | Clear |  |  |
| 11 | 9000002 | 7-Feb-2011 | 3:55:00 PM | Property damage only (non¢ | Sideswipe, same di | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 12 | 9000003 | 3-May-2011 | 9:00:00 PM | Property damage only (non | Angle | Dry | Dark - lighted rc | Clear |  |  |
| 13 | 9000004 | 2-Sep-2011 | 10:48:00 PM | Property damage only (non¢ | Single vehicle crast | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
| 14 | 9000005 | 13-Sep-2011 | 8:55:00 AM | Property damage only (non | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 15 | 9000006 | 26-Dec-2011 | 12:57:00 PM | Property damage only (non | Sideswipe, opposit | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 16 | 9000007 | 15-Jan-2011 | 2:23:00 PM | Property damage only (non¢ | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 17 | 9000008 | 10-Dec-2012 | 5:20:00 PM | Property damage only (non¢ | Rear-end | Wet | Dark - lighted ri | Rain |  |  |
| 18 | 9000009 | 16-Apr-2012 | 8:17:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 19 | 9000010 | 18-Jan-2012 | 9:26:00 AM | Property damage only (non | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 20 | 9000011 | 2-Jul-2012 | 7:50:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 21 | 2568679 | 24-Feb-2010 | 10:32:00 PM | Property damage only (non | Sideswipe, same di | Wet | Dark - lighted ri | Rain |  |  |
| 22 | 2597298 | 11-May-2010 | 7:20:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy | P4:Pedalcyc | cyc |
| 23 | 2162901 | 11-Mar-2007 | 2:39:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 24 | 2162905 | 09-Mar-2007 | 5:37:00 PM | Property damage only (non | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 25 | 2471100 | 21-May-2009 | 5:08:00 PM | Property damage only (non | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 26 | 2227020 | 11-Aug-2007 | 3:17:00 PM | Property damage only (non¢ | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 27 | 2228427 | 23-Aug-2007 | 6:00:00 PM | Property damage only (non¢ | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 28 | 2247976 | 19-May-2007 | 8:25:00 AM | Property damage only (non¢ | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
| 29 | 2275085 | 20-Dec-2007 | 1:23:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Head-on | Wet | Daylight | Snow |  |  |
| 30 | 2299061 | 24-Mar-2008 | 8:03:00 AM | Property damage only (non | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 31 | 2399738 | 23-Nov-2008 | 12:00:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted ri | Clear |  |  |
| 32 | 2422556 | 27-Jan-2009 | 1:03:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 33 | 2437587 | 28-Feb-2009 | 3:07:00 PM | Property damage only (non¢ | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 34 | 2379949 | 08-Oct-2008 | 4:54:00 PM | Property damage only (non | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 35 | 2493435 | 16-Jul-2009 | 10:57:00 AM | Property damage only (non | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Cloudy/Rain |  |  |
| 36 | 2502974 | 13-Aug-2009 | 4:33:00 PM | Property damage only (nont | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
| 37 | 9000012 | 19-Apr-2012 | 2:43:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 38 | 2226048 | 03-Aug-2007 | 8:57:00 AM | Property damage only (non¢ | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2357166 | 08-May-2007 | 2:00:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |

Route 30 @ Burr Street

| Collision Diagram ID | Crash <br> Number | Crash Date | Crash Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | Road <br> Surface | Ambient Light | Weather Condition | Non <br> Motorized | Bike Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2383748 | 29-Sep-2007 | 4:30:00 PM | Property damage only (non¢ | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2260364 | 16-Nov-2007 | 3:18:00 PM | Property damage only (non | Sideswipe, same di | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2262102 | 27-Dec-2007 | 1:34:00 PM | Property damage only (non $\epsilon$ | Sideswipe, same di | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2467639 | 19-Oct-2008 | 3:30:00 PM | Property damage only (non¢ | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2496048 | 23-Jul-2009 | 8:26:00 AM | Property damage only (non¢ | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2517554 | 23-Sep-2009 | 7:37:00 AM | Property damage only (non¢ | Sideswipe, same di | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
|  | 2549738 | 30-Dec-2009 | 6:50:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2744251 | 30-Sep-2010 | 11:30:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Not reported | Wet | Daylight | Cloudy/Rain |  |  |


| Collision Diagram ID | Crash <br> Number | Crash Date | Crash Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | Road Surface | Ambient Light | Weather Condition | Non <br> Motorized | Bike <br> Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2407937 | 20-Dec-2008 | 10:57:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Snow | Daylight | Cloudy/Snow |  |  |
| 2 | 2578548 | 17-Mar-2010 | 6:42:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 3 | 2615173 | 01-Jul-2010 | 5:07:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 4 | 2567113 | 14-Feb-2010 | 11:58:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 5 | 2627647 | 04-Aug-2010 | 6:49:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same directior | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 6 | 2618106 | 12-Jul-2010 | 9:32:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 7 | 2390906 | 30-Oct-2008 | 12:36:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 8 | 2396095 | 11-Nov-2008 | 3:10:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same directior | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 9 | 2293962 | 01-Mar-2008 | 6:42:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Single vehicle crash | Snow | Daylight | Snow |  |  |
| 10 | 2618103 | 09-Jul-2010 | 7:12:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 11 | 1000002 | 6-Oct-2010 | 6:37:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Single vehicle crash | Wet | Dark - lighted rc | Rain |  |  |
| 12 | 2673295 | 03-Dec-2010 | 5:24:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same directior | Dry | Dark - lighted rc Cloudy/Clear |  |  |  |
| 13 | 2581588 | 30-Mar-2010 | 9:35:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted rcRain |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1000003 | 8-Dec-2012 | 9:02:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted rc Clear |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1000004 | 21-Aug-2012 | 6:50:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 16 | 1000005 | 30-Jun-2012 | 11:55:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Sideswipe, same directior | Dry | Dark - lighted rc Clear |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1000006 | 10-Mar-2011 | 10:15:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 18 | 1000007 | 22-Jan-2011 | 3:11:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 19 | 2484936 | 01-Jul-2009 | 5:43:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
| 20 | 2432594 | 17-Feb-2009 | 2:35:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 21 | 2262826 | 30-Nov-2007 | 5:30:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Wet | Dark - lighted rc | Cloudy |  |  |
| 22 | 2230807 | 20-Apr-2007 | 10:30:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted rc Clear |  |  |  |
| 23 | 2230477 | 22-May-2007 | 11:56:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same directior | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 24 | 2195387 | 04-Jun-2007 | 10:17:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
| 25 | 2481766 | 12-Jun-2009 | 12:22:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 26 | 2493428 | 14-Jul-2009 | 12:04:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 27 | 2266447 | 27-Dec-2007 | 6:00:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Wet | Dark - lighted reRain/Cloudy |  |  |  |
| 28 | 2232841 | 07-Sep-2007 | 9:04:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 29 | 2511319 | 04-Sep-2009 | 9:44:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 30 | 2614028 | 24-Jun-2010 | 2:00:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 31 | 2151161 | 12-Feb-2007 | 2:31:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same directior | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 35 | 2174066 | 05-Apr-2007 | 9:42:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Single vehicle crash | Snow | Dark - lighted rc Snow |  |  |  |
|  | 2333628 | 16-Jun-2008 | 1:11:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2364051 | 27-Aug-2008 | 10:05:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2523234 | 26-Sep-2009 | 3:51:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2369762 | 28-Feb-2007 | 10:00:00 AM | Not Reported | Not reported | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |


| Collision Diagram ID | Crash <br> Number | Crash Date | Crash Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | Road <br> Surface | Ambient Light | Weather Condition | Non <br> Motorized | Bike <br> Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2194494 | 25-May-2007 | 2:37:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Single vehicle crash | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2347890 | 15-Nov-2007 | 6:01:00 AM | Not Reported | Rear-end | Wet | Dark - lighted rc | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2406068 | 14-Jan-2008 | 8:30:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Not reported | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
|  | 2296047 | 09-Jan-2007 | 3:10:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2145757 | 27-Jan-2007 | 10:37:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
|  | 2329297 | 15-Aug-2007 | 1:00:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same directior | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2233096 | 13-Sep-2007 | 3:12:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2364729 | 15-Sep-2007 | 6:30:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2376539 | 19-Dec-2007 | 5:45:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same directior | Wet | Dark - lighted rc | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2393581 | 20-Dec-2007 | 10:00:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Snow | Daylight | Snow |  |  |
|  | 2454873 | 18-Jan-2008 | 11:30:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2500106 | 31-Jan-2008 | 9:50:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, opposite direc | Dry | Dark - lighted rc | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2444106 | 05-Mar-2008 | 2:00:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2457701 | 01-Apr-2008 | 7:53:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted rc | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2473744 | 30-May-2008 | 10:20:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same directior | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2507317 | 25-Jun-2008 | 8:40:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2481999 | 22-Aug-2008 | 1:40:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2525597 | 09-Oct-2009 | 10:16:00 PM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Wet | Dark - lighted rc | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2536623 | 13-Nov-2009 | 10:21:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2551040 | 16-Nov-2009 | 11:43:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2542039 | 30-Nov-2009 | 12:50:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2551620 | 18-Dec-2009 | 11:10:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2567119 | 15-Feb-2010 | 3:30:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2605417 | 04-Jun-2010 | 1:40:00 AM | Property damage only (nc | Single vehicle crash | Dry | Dark - lighted rc | Clear/Clear |  |  |
|  | 2622627 | 21-Jul-2010 | 9:45:00 AM | Unknown | Unknown | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2627635 | 26-Jul-2010 | 10:57:00 AM | Non-fatal injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2674267 | 18-Nov-2010 | 5:31:00 PM | Property damage only (nc | Sideswipe, same directior | Dry | Dark - roadway | Clear/Clear |  |  |


| Collision Diagram ID | Crash <br> Number | Crash Date | Crash Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Road } \\ \text { Surface } \end{array}$ | Ambient Light | Weather Condition | Non <br> Motorized | Bike <br> Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2674270 | 21-Dec-2010 | 12:40:00 PM | Property damage only ( $n$ | Sideswipe, same dil | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 2 | 2551004 | 02-Jan-2010 | 9:37:00 AM | Property damage only ( n | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Snow |  |  |
| 3 | 1000003 | 15-Feb-2010 | 3:30:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 4 | 1000002 | 4-Jun-2010 | 1:40:00 AM | Property damage only ( $n$ | Single Vehicle Crast | Dry | Dark - lighted r | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 5 | 1000001 | 28-Nov-2011 | 4:20:00 PM | Property damage only (n) | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 6 | 1000000 | 7-Jan-2011 | 7:50:00 AM | Property damage only ( $n$ | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy/Cloudy |  |  |
| 7 | 2252303 | 20-Nov-2007 | 10:30:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Angle | Wet | Dark - lighted I | Rain |  |  |
| 9 | 2220834 | 25-Jul-2007 | 5:52:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear/Clear |  |  |
| 10 | 2400574 | 01-Dec-2008 | 12:24:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
| 11 | 2342451 | 08-Jul-2008 | 3:55:00 PM | Property damage only (n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
| 14 | 2634760 | 24-Aug-2010 | 12:23:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain |  |  |
|  | 2295834 | 13-Mar-2008 | 2:36:00 PM | Property damage only ( $n$ | Sideswipe, opposit¢ | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2576736 | 09-Mar-2010 | 9:12:00 AM | Property damage only (n) | Head-on | Wet | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2575277 | 11-Mar-2010 | 3:41:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Rear-to-rear | Wet | Daylight | Cloudy |  |  |
|  | 2638606 | 07-Sep-2010 | 4:45:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2341059 | 19-Jul-2007 | 10:30:00 AM | Property damage only ( n | Sideswipe, same dil | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2303334 | 23-Dec-2007 | 11:00:00 AM | Property damage only ( n | Sideswipe, same di | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2449765 | 22-Feb-2008 | 2:40:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Sideswipe, same dil | Snow | Daylight | Snow |  |  |
|  | 2540970 | 26-Nov-2009 | 10:06:00 PM | Property damage only (n) | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted 1 | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2625351 | 02-Aug-2010 | 3:15:00 PM | Property damage only ( n | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear |  |  |
|  | 2684958 | 29-Dec-2010 | 10:59:00 AM | Property damage only ( n | Unknown | Wet | Daylight | Cloudy/Cloudy |  |  |

# Appendix D: Intersection Capacity and Levels of Service Analyses 

## Existing Conditions: AM Peak Hour

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Ring Rd/Shoppers World Way \& Cochituate Rd


|  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | $\underline{69}$ |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 4 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | F | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | F＇ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 120 | 800 | 65 | 25 | 710 | 85 | 35 | 30 | 40 | 15 | 100 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | $\mathrm{pt}+0 \mathrm{v}$ | Split | NA | Split | NA | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 9.0 |  | 5.0 | 9.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.2 | 15.3 |  | 11.2 | 15.3 |  | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 28.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 16.0 |  | 12.0 | 16.0 |  | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 28.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 15．0\％ | 20．0\％ |  | 15．0\％ | 20．0\％ |  | 15．0\％ | 15．0\％ | 15．0\％ | 15．0\％ | 15．0\％ | 35\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.1 |  | 3.0 | 3.1 |  | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.2 | 6.3 |  | 6.2 | 6.3 |  | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | Min |  | None | None | None | None | None | None |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 80
Actuated Cycle Length： 80
Offset： 73 （91\％），Referenced to phase 6：EBT，Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle： 90
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Shopper World Dr/Whittier St \& Cochituate Rd


|  | $\psi$ |  |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | 69 |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 「「「 | \％ | 个t | $\dagger^{4}$ | 「 | \％ | ＊$\uparrow$ | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 75 | 740 | 55 | 180 | 760 | 85 | 20 | 165 | 130 | 40 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA | pt＋ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 85 | 4 | 4 | 41 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 85 | 4 | 4 | 41 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 29.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 13.0 |  | 12.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 29.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 15．0\％ | 16．3\％ |  | 15．0\％ | 16．3\％ | 16．3\％ |  | 16．3\％ | 16．3\％ |  | 36\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max |  | None | Max | None |  | None | None |  | None |

Cycle Length： 80
Actuated Cycle Length： 80
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 6：EBT，Start of Yellow，Master Intersection
Natural Cycle： 90
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated




## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 80
Actuated Cycle Length: 80
Offset: 56 (70\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: $\quad$ : Burr St \& Cochituate Rd


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | $\varnothing 9$ |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个t | F | \％ | 个t | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \％ | 个4 | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 285 | 920 | 930 | 150 | 320 | 580 | 495 | 105 | 335 | 240 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | Prot | NA | Prot | NA | pt＋ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 85 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 85 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.5 | 11.0 |  | 10.5 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 11.0 |  | 33.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 40.0 | 52.0 |  | 20.0 | 32.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 33.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 25．0\％ | 32．5\％ |  | 12．5\％ | 20．0\％ | 21．9\％ | 21．9\％ | 12．5\％ | 12．5\％ |  | 21\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.5 | 5.5 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 |  |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None | None | None | None | None |  | None |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 132.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：6：Speen St \＆Cochituate Rd


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\longleftarrow$ | 4 | $\mathrm{V}$ | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 610 | 735 | 480 | 115 | 10 | 20 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 394 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 663 | 799 | 522 | 125 | 11 | 22 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 12 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 663 | 799 | 522 | 53 | 11 | 10 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | pt+ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 2 |  | 3 | 31 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 64.1 | 64.1 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 2.2 | 37.6 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 64.1 | 64.1 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 2.2 | 37.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.44 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 776 | 1382 | 618 | 525 | 45 | 688 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.30 | 0.43 | 0.28 |  | c0.01 | 0.01 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.33 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.01 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 17.8 | 5.0 | 26.8 | 19.9 | 41.3 | 13.9 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 |  |
| Delay (s) | 26.9 | 5.6 | 37.1 | 20.0 | 44.1 | 13.9 |  |
| Level of Service | C | A | D | C | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 15.3 | 33.8 |  | 24.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B | C |  | C |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 21.0 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of Service | C |
|  |  |  | 0.81 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 86.4 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) | 20.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 76.6\% |  | CU Level of | Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | $\emptyset 9$ |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 610 | 735 | 480 | 115 | 10 | 20 |  |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | pt+ov |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 2 |  | 3 | 31 | 9 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 31 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 12.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 12.0 |  | 21.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 34.0 | 67.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 12.0 |  | 21.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.0\% | 67.0\% | 33.0\% | 33.0\% | 12.0\% |  | 21\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | Min | Min | Min | None |  | None |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 79.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 7: Cochituate Rd \& TJX Driveway


## Existing Conditions: PM Peak Hour

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3：Ring Rd／Shoppers World Way \＆Cochituate Rd
7／9／2013

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 9 | $p$ | （ | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7 \% 1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 150 | 625 | 130 | 65 | 920 | 140 | 180 | 115 | 45 | 95 | 65 | 190 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.9 |  | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.96 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1785 |  | 1681 | 1751 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1785 |  | 1681 | 1751 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 158 | 658 | 137 | 68 | 968 | 147 | 189 | 121 | 47 | 100 | 68 | 200 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 158 | 658 | 76 | 68 | 968 | 147 | 189 | 155 | 0 | 82 | 86 | 13 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA |  | Split | NA | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 15.2 | 54.2 | 61.3 | 7.7 | 46.7 | 53.8 | 7.1 | 7.1 |  | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 15.2 | 54.2 | 61.3 | 7.7 | 46.7 | 53.8 | 7.1 | 7.1 |  | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.07 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.06 | 0.06 |  | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.2 | 6.3 |  | 6.2 | 6.3 |  | 5.9 | 5.9 |  | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 244 | 1743 | 882 | 123 | 1502 | 774 | 221 | 115 |  | 108 | 113 | 102 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．09 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.04 | c0．27 | 0.09 | 0.06 | c0．09 |  | 0.05 | c0．05 | 0.01 |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.19 | 0.86 | 1.35 |  | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.13 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 44.9 | 17.4 | 11.3 | 49.5 | 25.1 | 15.8 | 50.9 | 51.5 |  | 50.6 | 50.6 | 48.5 |
| Progression Factor | 1.31 | 1.47 | 4.58 | 1.11 | 1.53 | 1.78 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 26.1 | 202.9 |  | 25.9 | 25.6 | 0.6 |
| Delay（s） | 64.2 | 26.1 | 51.9 | 58.7 | 39.1 | 28.2 | 77.0 | 254.4 |  | 76.5 | 76.2 | 49.1 |
| Level of Service | E | C | D | E | D | C | E | F |  | E | E | D |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 36.1 |  |  | 38.9 |  |  | 160.5 |  |  | 61.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | E |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  | E |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 56.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | 29.3 |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.68 |  | C |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 110.0 | Sum of lost time（s） |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $67.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\downarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | $\emptyset 9$ |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 种 | F＇ | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 150 | 625 | 130 | 65 | 920 | 140 | 180 | 115 | 95 | 65 | 190 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA | Split | NA | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 9.0 |  | 5.0 | 9.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.2 | 15.3 |  | 11.2 | 15.3 |  | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 29.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 40.0 |  | 15.0 | 43.0 |  | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 29.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．9\％ | 36．4\％ |  | 13．6\％ | 39．1\％ |  | 11．8\％ | 11．8\％ | 11．8\％ | 11．8\％ | 11．8\％ | 26\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.1 |  | 3.0 | 3.1 |  | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.2 | 6.3 |  | 6.2 | 6.3 |  | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | Min |  | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 108 （98\％），Referenced to phase 6：EBT，Start of Yellow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Shopper World Dr/Whittier St \& Cochituate Rd


|  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | $p$ |  | $\ddagger$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | 69 |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | 「「 | \％ | 性 | $\uparrow_{4} \uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\dagger_{4} \uparrow$ | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 60 | 595 | 110 | 325 | 940 | 230 | 115 | 295 | 350 | 95 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA | pt＋ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 85 | 4 | 4 | 41 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 85 | 4 | 4 | 41 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.0 | 11.0 |  | 12.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 29.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 24.0 | 39.0 |  | 12.0 | 27.0 | 14.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 29.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 21．8\％ | 35．5\％ |  | 10．9\％ | 24．5\％ | 12．7\％ |  | 14．5\％ | 14．5\％ |  | 26\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | Min |  | None | C－Min | None |  | None | None |  | None |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：WBT，Start of Yellow，Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：4：Shopper World Dr／Whittier St \＆Cochituate Rd



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 44 | 「 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |
| Volume (vph) | 80 | 920 | 1430 | 320 | 65 | 385 | 455 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | NA | Perm | NA | Free | Split | NA |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  | Free |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 11.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 79.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 12.0 |  | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 22.7\% | 71.8\% | 49.1\% | 49.1\% | 10.9\% |  | 17.3\% | 17.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None |  | None | None |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 69 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: $\quad$ : Burr St \& Cochituate Rd




Splits and Phases: 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $v$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 「 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 30 | 600 | 500 | 10 | 80 | 500 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1400 | 1400 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1373 | 1167 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 523 | 1863 | 1373 | 1167 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 32 | 632 | 526 | 11 | 84 | 526 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 32 | 632 | 526 | 4 | 84 | 526 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | pt+ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 2 |  | 3 | 31 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 50.8 | 50.8 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 6.1 | 27.5 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 50.8 | 50.8 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 6.1 | 27.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.37 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 627 | 1263 | 538 | 458 | 144 | 581 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.34 | c0.38 |  | 0.05 | c0.33 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.02 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.98 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 0.91 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 9.9 | 5.9 | 22.4 | 13.9 | 33.2 | 22.5 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 17.6 |  |
| Delay (s) | 10.0 | 6.2 | 55.2 | 13.9 | 39.1 | 40.1 |  |
| Level of Service | A | A | E | B | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 6.4 | 54.3 |  | 39.9 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | D |  | D |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 31.9 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 74.9 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) | 20.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 75.2\% |  | CU Level of | Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group


## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 70.8
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Splits and Phases: 7: Cochituate Rd \& TJX Driveway


Retimed Conditions: AM Peak Hour

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Ring Rd/Shoppers World Way \& Cochituate Rd


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | 7 | － | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | － | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | 69 |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 44 | 「 | \％ 7 | $\uparrow$ | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |  |
| Volume（vph） | 120 | 800 | 65 | 25 | 710 | 85 | 35 | 30 | 40 | 15 | 100 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA | Split | NA | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（ $s$ ） | 5.0 | 9.0 |  | 5.0 | 9.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.2 | 15.3 |  | 11.2 | 16.0 |  | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 28.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 11.2 | 17.0 |  | 11.2 | 17.0 |  | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 28.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 14．0\％ | 21．3\％ |  | 14．0\％ | 21．3\％ |  | 14．9\％ | 14．9\％ | 14．9\％ | 14．9\％ | 14．9\％ | 35\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.1 |  | 3.0 | 3.1 |  | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.2 | 6.3 |  | 6.2 | 6.3 |  | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None | None | None | None | None |

Cycle Length： 80
Actuated Cycle Length： 80
Offset： 51.2 （64\％），Referenced to phase 2：WBT and 6：EBT，Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle： 90
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated

Splits and Phases：3：Ring Rd／Shoppers World Way \＆Cochituate Rd


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Shopper World Dr/Whittier St \& Cochituate Rd


|  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | 69 |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | 「「 | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 75 | 740 | 55 | 180 | 760 | 85 | 20 | 165 | 130 | 40 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA | pt＋ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 85 | 4 | 4 | 41 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 85 | 4 | 4 | 41 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 29.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 13.0 |  | 12.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 29.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 15．0\％ | 16．3\％ |  | 15．0\％ | 16．3\％ | 16．3\％ |  | 16．3\％ | 16．3\％ |  | 36\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max |  | None | C－Max | None |  | None | None |  | None |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 80
Actuated Cycle Length： 80
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：WBT and 6：EBT，Start of Yellow，Master Intersection
Natural Cycle： 90
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated

Splits and Phases：4：Shopper World Dr／Whittier St \＆Cochituate Rd



|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 性 | 个个 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |
| Volume（vph） | 140 | 710 | 990 | 1010 | 75 | 155 | 80 | 25 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | NA | Perm | NA | Free | Split | NA |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  | Free |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 6.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 11.0 | 55.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 12.0 |  | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 13．8\％ | 68．8\％ | 55．0\％ | 55．0\％ | 15．0\％ |  | 16．3\％ | 16．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | None |  | None | None |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 80
Actuated Cycle Length： 80
Offset： 65 （81\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBT and 6：WBT，Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle： 90
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Splits and Phases：$\quad$ ：Burr St \＆Cochituate Rd


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | $\varnothing 9$ |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个t | F | \％ | 个t | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \％ | 个4 | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 285 | 920 | 930 | 150 | 320 | 580 | 495 | 105 | 335 | 240 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | Prot | NA | Prot | NA | pt＋ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 85 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 85 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.5 | 11.0 |  | 10.5 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 11.0 |  | 33.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 36.4 | 44.0 |  | 20.0 | 27.6 | 33.0 | 37.0 | 16.0 | 20.0 |  | 33.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 24．3\％ | 29．3\％ |  | 13．3\％ | 18．4\％ | 22．0\％ | 24．7\％ | 10．7\％ | 13．3\％ |  | 22\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.5 | 5.5 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 |  |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None | None | None | None | None |  | None |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 122.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：6：Speen St \＆Cochituate Rd


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 |  | $1$ | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 「 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 610 | 735 | 480 | 115 | 10 | 20 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.23 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 421 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 663 | 799 | 522 | 125 | 11 | 22 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 12 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 663 | 799 | 522 | 54 | 11 | 10 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | pt+ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 2 |  | 3 | 31 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 63.2 | 63.2 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 2.3 | 37.2 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 63.2 | 63.2 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 2.3 | 37.2 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.45 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 807 | 1420 | 635 | 540 | 49 | 710 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.30 | 0.43 | 0.28 |  | c0.01 | 0.01 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.33 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.56 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.01 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 15.6 | 4.1 | 25.0 | 18.6 | 39.4 | 12.7 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 6.7 | 0.5 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  |
| Delay (s) | 22.3 | 4.6 | 33.4 | 18.7 | 41.8 | 12.7 |  |
| Level of Service | C | A | C | B | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 12.7 | 30.6 |  | 22.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B | C |  | C |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 18.2 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of Service | B |
|  |  |  | 0.81 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 82.9 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) | 20.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 76.6\% |  | CU Level of | Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group


Splits and Phases: 7: Cochituate Rd \& TJX Driveway


Retimed Conditions: PM Peak Hour

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Ring Rd/Shoppers World Way \& Cochituate Rd
7/9/2013


|  | 4 |  | 7 | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | $\boxed{\square 9}$ |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{*} 1$ | $\hat{+}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 150 | 625 | 130 | 65 | 920 | 140 | 180 | 115 | 95 | 65 | 190 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA | Split | NA | $\mathrm{pt}+0 \mathrm{v}$ |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 41 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 41 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 9.0 |  | 5.0 | 9.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.2 | 15.3 |  | 11.2 | 15.3 |  | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 |  | 29.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 39.0 |  | 13.0 | 40.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 29.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．9\％ | 35．5\％ |  | 11．8\％ | 36．4\％ |  | 12．7\％ | 12．7\％ | 13．6\％ | 13．6\％ |  | 26\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.1 |  | 2.7 | 3.1 |  | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |  | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.2 | 6.3 |  | 5.9 | 6.3 |  | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None | None | None |  | None |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 110
Actuated Cycle Length： 110
Offset： 83 （75\％），Referenced to phase 2：WBT and 6：EBT，Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Shopper World Dr/Whittier St \& Cochituate Rd


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | $\underline{9}$ |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 个4 | 「「「 | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | ＊$\uparrow$ | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 60 | 595 | 110 | 325 | 940 | 230 | 115 | 295 | 350 | 95 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | NA | pt＋ov | Split | NA | $\mathrm{pt}+0 \mathrm{v}$ |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | － | 68 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 85 | 4 | ， | 41 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 68 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 85 | 4 | 4 | 41 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 29.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 32.0 |  | 12.0 | 32.0 | 16.0 |  | 21.0 | 21.0 |  | 29.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．9\％ | 29．1\％ |  | 10．9\％ | 29．1\％ | 14．5\％ |  | 19．1\％ | 19．1\％ |  | 26\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max |  | None | C－Max | None |  | None | None |  | None |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：WBT and 6：EBT，Start of Yellow，Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：4：Shopper World Dr／Whittier St \＆Cochituate Rd



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 44 | 「 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |
| Volume (vph) | 80 | 920 | 1430 | 320 | 65 | 385 | 455 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | NA | Perm | NA | Free | Split | NA |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  | Free |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 11.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 |  | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 12.0 | 65.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 21.0 |  | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 10.9\% | 59.1\% | 48.2\% | 48.2\% | 19.1\% |  | 21.8\% | 21.8\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None |  | None | None |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 92 (84\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: $\quad$ : Burr St \& Cochituate Rd



|  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR | $\emptyset 9$ |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | 「 | \％ | 个 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 性 | \％ | 个4 | 「 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 80 | 435 | 935 | 305 | 730 | 750 | 360 | 95 | 540 | 570 |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | pt＋ov | Prot | NA | Prot | NA | Prot | NA | pt＋ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 85 | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 85 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.5 | 12.0 |  | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 11.0 |  | 31.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 31.0 |  | 30.0 | 46.0 | 32.0 | 37.4 | 20.6 | 26.0 |  | 31.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．0\％ | 20．7\％ |  | 20．0\％ | 30．7\％ | 21．3\％ | 24．9\％ | 13．7\％ | 17．3\％ |  | 21\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.5 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 |  |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | Min |  | Min | Min | None | None | None | None |  | None |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 125.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：6：Speen St \＆Cochituate Rd


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $v$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 「 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 30 | 600 | 500 | 10 | 80 | 500 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1400 | 1400 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1373 | 1167 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 523 | 1863 | 1373 | 1167 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 32 | 632 | 526 | 11 | 84 | 526 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 32 | 632 | 526 | 4 | 84 | 526 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | pt+ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 2 |  | 3 | 31 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 50.8 | 50.8 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 6.1 | 27.5 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 50.8 | 50.8 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 6.1 | 27.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.37 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 627 | 1263 | 538 | 458 | 144 | 581 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.34 | c0.38 |  | 0.05 | c0.33 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.02 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.98 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 0.91 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 9.9 | 5.9 | 22.4 | 13.9 | 33.2 | 22.5 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 17.6 |  |
| Delay (s) | 10.0 | 6.2 | 55.2 | 13.9 | 39.1 | 40.1 |  |
| Level of Service | A | A | E | B | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 6.4 | 54.3 |  | 39.9 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | D |  | D |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 31.9 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of Service | C |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 74.9 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) | 20.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 75.2\% |  | CU Level of | Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group


## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 70.8
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Splits and Phases: 7: Cochituate Rd \& TJX Driveway


Route 30 Westbound, Third Lane from TJX Driveway to the MassPike On-Ramp

## 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 26.8 | 42.4 | 75.6 | 45.7 | 49.4 |

Intersection: 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | R | L | T | T | TR | L | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 220 | 328 | 392 | 325 | 221 | 158 | 175 | 216 | 353 | 475 | 688 | 601 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 127 | 172 | 188 | 122 | 125 | 63 | 102 | 113 | 219 | 345 | 428 | 402 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 212 | 261 | 282 | 231 | 205 | 115 | 154 | 180 | 333 | 521 | 602 | 576 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 654 | 654 |  |  | 272 | 272 | 272 |  |  | 2084 | 2084 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 450 | 450 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 300 | 300 |  |  |  | 0 | 7 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 41 |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 174 | 259 | 252 | 292 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 101 | 130 | 130 | 119 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 171 | 208 | 205 | 204 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 446 | 446 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 300 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 9 |  | 0 |

## 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.2 | 0.0 | 9.0 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 29.6 | 40.1 | 179.7 | 136.8 | 94.1 |

Intersection: 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | R | L | T | T | TR | L | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (tt) | 323 | 339 | 290 | 290 | 225 | 334 | 276 | 284 | 462 | 475 | 1746 | 1722 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 76 | 202 | 190 | 187 | 194 | 200 | 162 | 167 | 425 | 442 | 1273 | 1224 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 164 | 292 | 267 | 267 | 249 | 344 | 230 | 243 | 547 | 554 | 2315 | 2273 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 681 | 681 |  |  | 272 | 272 | 272 |  |  | 1683 | 1683 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 37 | 15 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 300 | 200 |  |  |  | 450 | 450 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 1 |  |  | 10 | 40 | 2 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 4 |  |  | 19 | 73 | 16 |  |

Intersection: 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | B49 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 174 | 773 | 796 | 325 | 1268 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 78 | 273 | 771 | 325 | 1136 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 174 | 626 | 803 | 325 | 1659 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 706 | 706 |  | 1216 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  | 63 |  | 63 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  | 300 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 13 | 0 | 83 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 13 | 1 | 230 |  |

## 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied DelVeh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.7 | 40.7 | 72.6 | 44.5 | 46.5 |

Intersection: 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | R | L | T | T | TR | L | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 308 | 284 | 264 | 203 | 235 | 161 | 182 | 238 | 447 | 475 | 814 | 748 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 142 | 150 | 166 | 116 | 122 | 70 | 99 | 113 | 235 | 322 | 397 | 382 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 237 | 223 | 236 | 175 | 205 | 135 | 151 | 197 | 390 | 492 | 669 | 621 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 654 | 654 |  |  | 272 | 272 | 272 |  |  | 2084 | 2084 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 300 | 300 |  |  |  | 450 | 450 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 41 |  |

Intersection: 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 158 | 158 | 205 | 234 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 80 | 112 | 118 | 126 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 137 | 158 | 168 | 193 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 446 | 446 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 2 |  |  |

## 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied DelVeh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 26.3 | 38.7 | 166.2 | 120.1 | 88.4 |

Intersection: 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | R | L | T | T | TR | L | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 114 | 248 | 261 | 279 | 225 | 327 | 240 | 259 | 462 | 475 | 1722 | 1698 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 47 | 182 | 182 | 192 | 190 | 175 | 167 | 181 | 444 | 461 | 1212 | 1095 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 89 | 248 | 240 | 257 | 252 | 307 | 229 | 250 | 534 | 533 | 2174 | 2089 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 681 | 681 |  |  | 272 | 272 | 272 |  | 1683 | 1683 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 0 |  | 14 | 3 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 300 | 200 |  |  |  | 450 | 450 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 16 | 1 |  |  | 14 | 46 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 41 | 2 |  |  | 27 | 86 | 11 |  |

Intersection: 6: Speen St \& Cochituate Rd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | B49 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 175 | 774 | 814 | 325 | 1268 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 128 | 298 | 748 | 325 | 879 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 218 | 564 | 872 | 325 | 1653 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 706 | 706 |  | 1216 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  | 50 |  | 30 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 150 |  |  | 300 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 35 | 1 | 75 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 8 | 34 | 4 | 209 |  |

## Cochituate Rail Trail Crossing Analysis




|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $1$ | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 「 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 610 | 735 | 480 | 115 | 10 | 20 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 394 | 1863 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 663 | 799 | 522 | 125 | 11 | 22 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 12 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 663 | 799 | 522 | 53 | 11 | 10 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | pt+ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 2 |  | 3 | 31 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 64.1 | 64.1 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 2.2 | 37.6 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 64.1 | 64.1 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 2.2 | 37.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.44 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 776 | 1382 | 618 | 525 | 45 | 688 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.30 | 0.43 | 0.28 |  | c0.01 | 0.01 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.33 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.01 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 17.8 | 5.0 | 26.8 | 19.9 | 41.3 | 13.9 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 |  |
| Delay (s) | 26.9 | 5.6 | 37.1 | 20.0 | 44.1 | 13.9 |  |
| Level of Service | C | A | D | C | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 15.3 | 33.8 |  | 24.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B | C |  | C |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 21.0 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of Service | C |
|  |  |  | 0.81 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 86.4 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) | 20.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 76.6\% |  | CU Level of | Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $1$ | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 「 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 30 | 600 | 500 | 10 | 80 | 500 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1400 | 1400 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1373 | 1167 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.26 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 490 | 1863 | 1373 | 1167 | 1770 | 1583 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 32 | 632 | 526 | 11 | 84 | 526 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 32 | 632 | 526 | 4 | 84 | 526 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | pt+ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 2 |  | 3 | 31 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 51.2 | 51.2 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 6.1 | 27.6 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 51.2 | 51.2 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 6.1 | 27.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.08 | 0.35 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 587 | 1212 | 518 | 440 | 137 | 555 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.01 | 0.34 | c0.38 |  | 0.05 | c0.33 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.02 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.52 | 1.02 | 0.01 | 0.61 | 0.95 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 12.0 | 7.3 | 24.5 | 15.3 | 35.2 | 24.8 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 43.5 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 25.5 |  |
| Delay (s) | 12.1 | 7.7 | 68.0 | 15.3 | 43.0 | 50.4 |  |
| Level of Service | B | A | E | B | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 7.9 | 66.9 |  | 49.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | E |  | D |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 39.4 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of Service | D |
|  |  |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 78.7 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) | 20.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 75.2\% |  | CU Level of | Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

|  |  | T | $\cdots$ | $\Perp$ | $\cdots$ | + |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NWL | NWR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ¢4 | M |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 1345 | 50 | 10 | 500 | 40 | 25 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.96 |  |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frt | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.97 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3506 |  |  | 3536 | 1638 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 1.00 |  |  | 0.92 | 0.97 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3506 |  |  | 3242 | 1638 |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1462 | 54 | 11 | 543 | 43 | 27 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1514 | 0 | 0 | 554 | 58 | 0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 50 |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 50 |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| Turn Type | NA |  | Perm | NA | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 4 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 43.3 |  |  | 43.3 | 11.4 |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 43.3 |  |  | 43.3 | 11.4 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.65 |  |  | 0.65 | 0.17 |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 2276 |  |  | 2104 | 279 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.43 |  |  |  | c0.04 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | 0.17 |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.67 |  |  | 0.26 | 0.21 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 7.2 |  |  | 5.0 | 23.8 |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.7 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.4 |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 8.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 24.1 |  |  |
| Level of Service | A |  |  | A | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 24.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A | C |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 7.7 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.57 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 66.7 |  | Sum of lost | ime (s) | 12.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 66.1\% |  | CU Level o | Service | C |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Appendix E: Mitigation Improvements and Actions for Route 30

## FedEx

FedEx Ground - Natick, MA Summary of Traffic Improvement Measures Discussed with the Planning Board, Town Staff and Peer Review Consultant

VHB Vanasse Hangen Brustlin. Inc.
Last Revised: 6/20/2012

| \# | Location | Approximate <br>  <br> Construction <br> Cost by Beta | Revised Approx. <br>  <br> Construction <br> Cost by VHB | Maximum Increase in Hourly Traffic Volume | Improvement in Traffic Operations due to Suggested Mitigation | Scannell Responsibility | Town of Natick Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Route 30 / Route 27 (Wayland) - Contribution to the Town of Wayland - ASSUMED | \$10,000 | \$25,000 | 1\% | Monetary contribution to interim or long term improvements; project adds approx. 20 vehicles to the approx. $2,300+$ vehicles during the peak hour. | Funding | None |
| 2 | Speen Street / Route 30 (Framingham) | \$55,000 | \$145,000 | 3\% | Intersection delay during AM peak hour reduced by 39 seconds per vehicle (LOS improves from F to E ) when compared to the No-Build condition; delay during PM peak reduced by 26 seconds per vehicle; monetary contribution towards a planning study for long term improvements. All traffic through the intersection will benefit from these improvements. | Design \& Construction | None <br> Permitting / Securing rights-of-way |
|  | Modify signal timings/controller settings | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Contribution to planning study for long term improvements ASSUMED | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |  |  | Funding |  |
|  | Sidewalk from Courtyard by Marriott to Route 30 |  | \$90,000 |  | The monetary contribution will be put into escrow and used by the applicant to construct the sidewalk upon receipt of the permits by the Town. | Design \& Construction | None |
| 3 | Speen Street Northbound / Route 9 WB Off-ramp - Install advance queue detector | \$35,000 | \$42,000 | 2\% | Advance detector would assist in addressing holiday period queue spillbacks onto Route 9 during peak shopping hours. Project traffic does not trigger the need for this detector. | Design \& Construction | None |
| 4 | Speen Street / Chrysler Road - Modify signal timings/controller settings | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | 2\% | Mitigation at this location will improve vehicle progression between the intersections and help with queue management. Both site traffic as well as future residential traffic on Speen Street will benefit from this improvement. | Design \& Construction | None |
| 5 | Speen Street / Nouvelle Way - Modify signal timings/controller settings | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | 2\% | Mitigation at this location will improve vehicle progression between the intersections and help with queue management. Both site traffic as well as future residential traffic on Speen Street will benefit from this improvement. | Design \& Construction | None |
| 6 | Speen Street Southbound / Natick Mall Road | \$24,000 | \$24,000 | 5\% | Signal adjustments at this location will improve vehicle progression between the intersections and help with queue management. Both site traffic as well as future residential traffic on Speen Street will benefit from this improvement. Pedestrian improvement will benefit all tenants on the east side of Speen Street by providing a safe, signalized crossing. | Design \& Construction | None |
|  | Modify signal timings/controller settings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian crossing across Speen Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Speen Street Southbound / Superior Dr | \$6,000 | \$23,000 | 6\% | Improvement will help reduce current lane usage confusion noted by the Safety Officer and weaving conflicts in the southbound direction. Truck turning will be improved. | Design \& Construction | None |
|  | - Signage and Pavement Marking Improvement |  | \$8,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | - Geometric improvement to facilitate truck turning |  | \$15,000 |  |  |  |  |

FedEx Ground - Natick, MA Summary of Traffic Improvement Measures Discussed with the Planning Board,
Town Staff and Peer Review Consultant (Cont.)

| \# | Location | Approximate <br>  <br> Construction <br> Cost by Beta | Revised Approx. <br>  <br> Construction <br> Cost by VHB | Maximum <br> Increase in Hourly Traffic Volume | Improvement in Traffic Operations due to Suggested Mitigation | Scannell Responsibility | Town of Natick Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Speen Street Northbound / Superior Dr |  |  |  | New geometry and state of the art traffic signal hardware offsets impact caused by Site traffic. <br> Northbound vehicular queues reduced by 60+ feet during the morning peak hour and $20+$ feet during the evening peak hour when compared to the No-Build condition. LOS is maintained at C. Sidewalk and crosswalk improvements will benefit all properties in the area. Change in the signal phasing would help reduce conflicts between eastbound and westbound turning traffic. | Design \& Construction | None |
|  | Geometric improvements including pedestrian crossings | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | 9\% |  |  |  |
|  | Upgrade traffic signal hardware |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Modify signal phasing to implement a lead phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Reconstruct Superior $\mathrm{Dr}+$ sidewalk on the south side of the roadway | \$720,000 | \$720,000 | 52\% | Improvement will bring the easterly section of Superior Drive to town standards. Existing awkward geometry at the BSC gated entry will be improved by defining Superior Drive as the primary roadway and the driveways as the secondary/stop controlled approaches | Design \& Construction | None |
| 10 | Speen St sidewalk between Superior Dr \& Natick Mall Road | \$60,000 | \$90,000 | Minimal | Sidewalk will be constructed by the applicant. This will benefit all properties in the area by providing a pedestrian connection between the east and west sides of Speen Street. | Design \& Construction | Securing rights-of-way |
| 11 | December Timing Plan for Speen Street between Route 30 and Superior Drive | \$35,000 | \$35,000 | Less than nonpeak | This will help ease some of the congestion on Speen Street by prioritizing northbound and southbound through traffic over the side streets. The improvement would complement the Mall's Holiday Traffic Management Plan. | Design \& Construction | None |
| 12 | Miscellaneous signage / pavement markings on the beetleback ASSUMED | \$25,000 | \$25,000 | 1\% | Improvement will help reduce current confusion noted by the Safety Officer related to worn pavement markings and inadequate lane usage signs. | Design \& Construction | None |
| 13 | Town design review \& final inspection of field work | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | Not applicable | Cost of town's consultant services relative to review of offsite traffic improvement plans and inspections | Funding | None |
|  | TOTAL ORDER OF MAGNITUDE DESIGN \& CONSTRUCTION | \$1,350,000 | \$1,509,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

C Includes an approximate allowance for design of the improvements
 the analysis.

NOTE: Improvements at locations under MassDOT jurisdiction are subject to their review and approval.
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Fiaure 3

## Item \#|| Route 30 Water Line - Site to Caldor Road

Purpose: Increase the capacity of the existing water line located in Route 30.

Scope: $\quad$ Replace the existing 6-inch water main located within the Route 30 median with a 12 -inch water main in the same location as the existing line. Length of project is approximately 1,100 feet.

- Temporary bypass to be provided during construction.
- Adjacent sewer line to be video inspected before and after construction. Post construction inspection must be done before the contractor has left the site.
- Sewer line to be cleaned during first inspection (pipe was recently cleaned).
- Median islands must be reconstructed to meet ADA standards if necessary at Route 30 crosswalks.
- Overlay will not be required except adjacent to the project site. (See Item \# 3 above).
- Specifications to be submitted to the Town for review prior to bidding the job.
- Pressure test will be conducted by a third party at applicant's expense.
- Bypass to be chlorinated and tested prior to being put into service.
- All hydrants, laterals and gates to be replaced with new.
- Curbing and asphalt median materials to be replaced consistent with Item \#10 above.

Cost: Construction: $\$ 240,000$
Comments: Cost estimate attached. This work is not required to provide adequate water supply and pressure at the site.

ITEM \#11
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## Item \#16 Route 30 - Whittier Street to Site

Purpose: Increase the capacity of the existing water line located in Route 30 to meet the water needs of the proposed project.

Scope: $\quad$ Replace the existing 6-inch water main located within the Route 30 median with a 12 -inch water main in the same location as the existing line. Length of project is approximately 700 feet.

- Temporary bypass to be provided during construction.
- Adjacent sewer line to be video inspected before and after construction. Post construction inspection must be done before the contractor has left the site.
- Sewer line to be cleaned during first inspection (pipe was recently cleaned).
- Median islands must be reconstructed to meet ADA standards if necessary at Route 30 crosswalks.
- Overlay will not be required except adjacent to the project site. (See Item \# 3 above).
- Specifications to be submitted to the Town for review prior to bidding the job.
- Pressure test will be conducted by a third party at applicant's expense.
- Bypass to be chlorinated and tested prior to being put into service.
- All hydrants, laterals and gates to be replaced with new.
- Curbing and asphalt median materials to be replaced consistent with Item \#10 above.

Cost: Construction: $\$ 150,000$
Comments: Cost estimate attached.

ITEM \#16

| PROJECT NAME: Replace $6^{\prime \prime}$ water line w/12" water line, (Whittier St to Site-700 Feet) PROJECT NUMBER: 9689 <br> PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE |  |  | DATE: | 21-Jan-05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description: | Quantity |  | Unit Cost | Total |
| Sawcut Bit. Concrete | 700 | LF | \$3.00 | \$2,100 |
| Trench Excavation | 1,011 | CY | \$25.00 | \$25,278 |
| Bedding | 26 | CY | \$40.00 | \$1,037 |
| Remove and Dispose Existing Pipe | 700 | LF | \$5.00 | \$3,500 |
| Install 12" CLDI Pipe | 700 | LF | \$40.00 | \$28,000 |
| Backfill | 1,011 | CY | \$15.00 | \$15,167 |
| Trench Repair | 53 | Ton | \$100 | \$5,300 |
| Median reconstruction | 39\% (700/1800 feet) of \$64,160 (see ltem \#10) |  |  | \$25,022 |
| 12" Valves and Fittings | 5 | EA | \$800 | \$4,000 |
| Test and Disinfect | 1 | LS | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
| Temporary 8" PVC Service | 700 | LF | \$25 | \$17,500 |
| Temporary Services | 1 | EA | \$200 | \$200 |
| Police Detail | 4 | Days | \$350 | \$1,400 |
| Water line Replacement Subtotal Contingency at $15 \%$ |  |  |  | $\$ 132,103$ $\$ 19,816$ |
| Total |  |  |  | \$151,919 |
| SAY |  |  |  | \$150,000 |



## Appendix F: Trail User Counts on Various Trails in Massachusetts

Table 1 Counts Done on Various Trails across Massachusetts, Two-Way Volumes, All Users

Saturday, Sept 8, 2007
for hour beginning at:

| Trail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { avg. vol. } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { hour** } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manhan Rail Trail Easthampton, Union-Payson | 18 | 49 | 61 | 46 | 65 | 49 | 40 | 58 | 44 | 33 | 13 | 43 | 43 |
| City of Northampton Bikeway | 33 | 35 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  | 45 | 59 | 42 | 14 | 40 |
| Norwottuck Rail Trail | 32 | 38 | 60 | 77 | 98 | 84 | 129 | 93 | 83 | 88 | 42 | 55 | 73 |
| Nashua River Rail Trail Ayer, Groton St. |  |  |  | 54 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |
| Nashua River Rail Trail* Groton @ Station Ave. | 40 | 77 | 403 | 238 | 93 | 60 | 42 | 41 | 37 | 70 | 18 | 3 | 94 |
| Nashua River Rail Trail Pepperell Center | 39 | 84 | 111 | 112 | 92 | 92 | 42 | 45 |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| Upper Charles Trail Milford @ Louisa Lake | 22 | 45 | 65 | 85 | 55 | 38 | 51 | 33 | 41 | 119 | 50 | 47 | 54 |
| Upper Charles Trail Milford @ Fino Field | 26 | 44 | 60 | 54 | 47 | 31 | 31 | 56 | 25 | 70 | 43 | 54 | 45 |
| Minuteman Commuter Bikeway Lexington @ depot | 170 | 262 | 298 | 291 | 270 | 264 | 207 | 155 | 161 | 162 | 157 | 53 | 204 |
| Minuteman Commuter Bikeway Lexington @ Camellia Place |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142 | 149 |  |  |  |  | 146 |
| Minuteman Commuter Bikeway Arlington @ Park Avenue |  |  | 337 | 295 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 316 |
| Dr. Paul Dudley White Path Cambridge, 300' east of Mass Ave | 118 | 217 | 285 | 270 | 209 | 148 | 127 | 132 | 90 | 95 | 144 | 139 | 165 |
| Shining Sea Falmouth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84 | 111 | 75 |  |  | 90 |
| Beach Road, Edgartown near Blue Heron Way |  |  |  | 33 | 21 | 61 | 56 |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |

sunny, hot, humid, high of 96F, some scattered thunderstorms in the late afternoon or early evening
*The counts on the Nashua River Rail Trail are unusually high from 9 to 11 AM due to a charity event.
**The average hourly volumes for trails with 12-hour counts are in larger type.
For further information, contact Cathy Buckley Lewis, Boston Metropolitan Planning Organization staff, cathy@ctps.org, 617-973-7118.

Table 2 Percentage of Users by Category, Various Trails across Massachusetts

| Saturday, Sept 8, 2007 |  | Share of Users by Mode of Travel (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trail | Total Volume | Baby Carriage | Bicyclist | Jogger | Skater | Walker | Wheelchair User | Other | Total* | $\begin{gathered} \text { Walking with } \\ \text { dogs }^{* *} \end{gathered}$ |
| Manhan Rail Trail Easthampton, Union-Payson | 519 | 1 | 54 | 4 | 5 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 100 |  |
| City of Northampton Bikeway | 341 | 2 | 42 | 7 | 2 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 101 |  |
| Norwottuck Rail Trail | 879 | 1 | 74 | 4 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 99 |  |
| Nashua River Rail Trail Ayer, Groton St. | 117 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 33\% |
| Nashua River Rail Trail Groton @ Station Ave. | 1,122 | 3 | 44 | 16 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 100 |  |
| Nashua River Rail Trail Pepperell Center | 617 | 1 | 79 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 101 |  |
| Upper Charles Trail Milford @ Louisa Lake | 651 | 4 | 41 | 4 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 13\% |
| Upper Charles Trail Milford @ Fino Field | 541 | 3 | 54 | 4 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 19\% |
| Minuteman Commuter Bikeway Lexington @ depot | 2,450 | 1 | 71 | 11 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 99 |  |
| Minuteman Commuter Bikeway Lexington @ Camellia Place | 291 | 0 | 82 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 99 |  |
| Minuteman Commuter Bikeway Arlington @ Park Avenue | 632 | 1 | 60 | 24 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |
| Dr. Paul Dudley White Path Cambridge, 300' east of Mass Ave | 1,975 | 1 | 25 | 51 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |
| Shining Sea Falmouth | 280 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 100 |  |
| Beach Road, Edgartown near Blue Heron Way | 171 | 0 | 92 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |

sunny, hot, humid, high of 96F, some scattered thunderstorms in the late afternoon or early evening

* Total may not equal $100 \%$ due to rounding.
** Indicates the percentage of the pedestrians who were walking dogs, if data available.

For further information, contact Cathy Buckley Lewis, Boston Metropolitan Planning Organization staff, cathy@ctps.org, 617-973-7118.

Table 3 Counts of Trail Users, by Type of User, for Selected Trails, Saturday, September 8, 2007

| Trail | Baby Carriage | Bicyclist | Jogger | Skater | Walker | Wheelchair | Other | Total | Hours Counted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manhan Rail Trail Easthampton, Union-Payson | 6 | 278 | 22 | 27 | 170 | 0 | 16 | 519 | 12 |
| City of Northampton Bikeway | 7 | 142 | 25 | 6 | 130 | 2 | 29 | 341 | 8 |
| Norwottuck Rail Trail | 9 | 654 | 38 | 10 | 165 | 3 | 0 | 879 | 12 |
| Nashua River Rail Trail Ayer, Groton St. | 0 | 89 | 1 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 2 |
| Nashua River Rail Trail Groton @ Station Ave. | 39 | 489 | 183 | 35 | 366 | 1 | 9 | 1,122 | 12 |
| Nashua River Rail Trail Pepperell Center | 4 | 485 | 17 | 17 | 83 | 5 | 6 | 617 | 8 |
| Upper Charles Trail Milford @ Louisa Lake | 23 | 269 | 26 | 12 | 312 | 0 | 9 | 651 | 10 |
| Upper Charles Trail Milford @ Fino Field | 17 | 290 | 20 | 8 | 197 | 0 | 9 | 541 | 10 |
| Minuteman Commuter Bikeway <br> Bedford, Wiggins Ave-South Rd |  | 113 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  | 45 min |
| Minuteman Commuter Bikeway <br> Lexington @ depot | 24 | 1740 | 264 | 130 | 281 | 0 | 11 | 2,450 | 12 |
| Minuteman Commuter Bikeway <br> Lexington @ Camellia Place | 0 | 240 | 8 | 30 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 291 |  |
| Minuteman Commuter Bikeway <br> Arlington @ Park Avenue | 9 | 377 | 149 | 12 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 632 | 2 |
| Dr. Paul Dudley White Path Cambridge, 300' east of Mass Ave | 12 | 492 | 1016 | 10 | 442 | 0 | 3 | 1,975 | 12 |
| Shining Sea Falmouth | 1 | 212 | 4 | 14 | 47 | 0 | 2 | 280 | 2,+15 min |
| Beach Road, Edgartown near Blue Heron Way | 0 | 158 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 4 |
| Total | 151 | 6,028 | 1,782 | 326 | 2,315 | 11 | 94 | 10,586 |  |
| Average <br> using data from all trail counts | 1\% | 57\% | 17\% | 3\% | 22\% | 0\% | 1\% | 101\% |  |

For further information, contact Cathy Buckley Lewis, Boston Metropolitan Planning Organization staff, cathy@ctps.org, 617-973-7118.

Table 4 User Counts, Two Locations, Upper Charles Trail, Milford, Massachusetts, Various Dates, 2007

## Two-Way Volumes, All Users

| for hour beginning at: |  | $1$ |  | /5 |  | $\%$ |  |  | $10$ |  | $10$ |  | avg. vol. per hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Upper Charles Trail, 2007

| Louisa Lake | 22 | 45 | 65 | 85 | 55 | 38 | 51 | 33 | 41 | 119 | 50 | 47 | 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fino Field | 26 | 44 | 60 | 54 | 47 | 31 | 31 | 56 | 25 | 70 | 43 | 54 | 45 |



## Saturday, Oct 13

| Louisa Lake |  |  | 38 | 52 | 67 | 114 | 127 | 103 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fino Field |  |  | 33 | 23 | 23 |  | 75 | 77 | 43 |  |  |  |

Sunday, Oct 14

| Louisa Lake |  |  | 39 | 67 | 145 | 147 | 98 | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fino Field |  |  | 21 | 58 | 76 |  | 82 | 77 | 54 |  |  |  |

## Minuteman Commuter Bikeway, Lexington, 1997 \& 2007

| Bow Street | 120 | 122 | 91 | 135 | 136 | 128 | 100 | 108 | 122 | 136 | 263 | 390 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Saturday, Sept 8, 2007



For further information, contact Cathy Buckley Lewis, Boston Metropolitan Planning Organization staff, cathy@ctps.org, 617-973-7118.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The AM peak period is 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM, and the PM peak period is 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM.

[^1]:    2 Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization, Unified Planning Work Program, Federal Fiscal Year 2013, Endorsed by the Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization on June 28, 2012.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ ATR counts are 24 -hour counts conducted at a location for two or more consecutive days.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Trafficware Inc., Synchro Studio 8, Snychro plus SimTraffic, Build 801, Version 563, Sugar Land, Texas.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Delay in seconds per vehicle. ${ }^{b}$ 95th percentile queue length in feet.
    LOS = Level of service. $m=$ Volume for the 95 th percentile queue is metered by an upstream signal. . $\#=$ The 95 th percentile volume exceeds capacity. Source: Central Transportation Planning Staff.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5}$ EMME 3, Transport Modeling, INRO, Westmount, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ Iowa State University, Iowa Access Management Research and Awareness Project, CTRE, 1997.
    ${ }^{7}$ Peter S. Parsonson, Development of Policies and Guidelines Governing Median Selection, School of Civil Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Sponsored Research Project No. E-20-841,1990.

[^7]:    8 Total average traffic growth in the study area is projected to be approximately 3.0 percent by 2020; this factor was used to expand existing peak-hour turning-movement volumes into 2020 future turning-movement volumes.

[^8]:    9 Town of Natick, MA, Cochituate Rail Trail, Conceptual Design Study, by Fay, Spofford \& Thorndike, Draft November 2009.

